

900 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, SE, WASHINGTON, DC 20003 · 202-337-2332 · AWIONLINE.ORG

January 30, 2025

American Veterinary Medical Association 1931 North Meacham Road, Suite 100 Schaumburg, IL 60173

Re: AWI Comments on AVMA Draft Guidelines for the Depopulation of Animals

The Animal Welfare Institute submitted the following comments and references through the AVMA's online comment form:

Lines	Specific language to be	Specific language	Rationale for suggested	References uploaded
	changed	to replace current	change	
		language		
162-166	0.1 Depopulation as part of	0.1 Depopulation as	A major change from the 2019	https://publichealth.tulane.edu/
	Unified Incident Command	part of the Disaster	edition is introducing the topic of	blog/disaster-management-
	(Emergency Management)	Management Cycle	depopulation in terms of its role	cycle/
	Operations Depopulation	Depopulation refers	in a "large-scale emergency or	2022 One Health Animal
	refers to the implementation	to the rapid	disaster management plan" and	Disaster Management An Ethics
	of a unique, large-scale	termination of a	introducing the concept of	of Care Approach
	emergency or disaster	population(s) of	"unified incident command"	2021 COVID-19 effects on
	management plan involving	animals with the	prior to even defining	livestock production - a one
	the rapid termination of a	least amount of	depopulation. This is	welfare issue
	population(s) of animals with	negative welfare	problematic for a number of	
	the least amount of negative	impacts to the	reasons. Depopulations are	
	welfare impacts to the	animals. It typically	often carried out without the	
	animals.	occurs during the	unified incident command	
		"response" phase of	structure, for example, when	
		the disaster	pigs and poultry were	
		management cycle,	depopulated following	
		which encompasses	slaughterhouse closure due to	

prevention, preparedness, response, and recovery phases. While this document focuses on considerations pertinent to the "response," the **AVMA** recognizes the importance of the other phases of the disaster management cycle, including prevention (developing measures that prevent or mitigate the risk of circumstances that result in depopulation) and preparedness (developing strategies, plans, and procedures to effectively deal with situations in which it is necessary to resort to depopulation).

COVID-19 outbreaks among workers or when depopulations are carried out due to financial considerations. Moreover, while it makes sense to invoke the disaster management cycle in the context of depopulation, much of the discussion in this section of the Guidelines assumes that considerations regarding depopulation are pertinent only in the "response" phase of the disaster management cycle. The impact of depopulation on animal welfare and human psychological well-being is often determined by what measures are taken during earlier phases of the cycle, such as prevention and preparedness.

179-181	Thus, the destruction of	Thus, the	Stronger language is needed to	2022 One Health Animal
	animals en masse in	destruction of	emphasize the importance of	Disaster Management An Ethics
	response to an emergency	animals en masse in	veterinary oversight. The use of	of Care Approach
	should ideally be performed	response to an	"should ideally" implies that,	
	under the supervision of a	emergency must be	since ideal circumstances often	
	veterinarian or appropriate	performed under the	are not obtained, routine lack of	
	veterinary service entity.	supervision of a	veterinary oversight is	
		veterinarian or	acceptable. In addition,	
		appropriate	veterinarians will essentially	
		veterinary service	"have their hands tied" if they	
		entity. Operations	become involved only during the	
		managing large	"response" phase of an	
		numbers of animals	emergency. Veterinary	
		must proactively	involvement in earlier phases of	
		ensure, well before	the disaster management cycle	
		any emergency or	is essential, and the importance	
		disaster, that	of these phases must be made	
		comprehensive	clear in the Guidelines.	
		prevention,		
		planning, and		
		preparedness		
		measures are in		
		place. These		
		measures should be		
		developed under		
		veterinary oversight		
		to safeguard animal		
		welfare during		
		emergencies,		
		including those		
		requiring		
		depopulation.		

198-199	Ideally, the development of a	A depopulation plan	Stronger language is needed to	2022 Mass Depopulation of
	depopulation plan should	must be developed	emphasize the importance of	Swine during COVID-19 - An
	occur before an emergency	well in advance of	advanced planning. We have	Exploration of Swine Vet's
	and include the involvement	any emergency, with	seen the outcome of failure to	Perspective
	of all the relevant	all necessary	plan and prepare for	2024 USDA APHIS VS Emergency
	stakeholders.	preparedness steps	depopulation— animal welfare	Preparedness & Response
		in place to ensure	inevitably suffers, as does	Training & Exercise Strategy &
		its successful	human psychological well-	Plan
		execution. This	being, because moral distress	
		includes securing	and secondary trauma are	
		contracts, access to	heightened when low-welfare	
		equipment and	methods of depopulation are	
		supplies, training,	used. For example, the attached	
		conducting drills	reference on swine	
		and exercises, and	veterinarians' perspective on	
		involving all relevant	COVID-related depopulations	
		stakeholders.	states, "All participants talked	
			about the lack of preparation	
			and the need to be better	
			equipped to manage emergency	
			public health livestock events."	
			In addition, as demonstrated by	
			Figure 1 in USDA APHIS VS's	
			"Emergency Preparedness and	
			Response Training and Exercise	
			Strategy and Plan," drawing up	
			plans alone is insufficient to	
			ensure they can be carried out if	
			and when necessary. Rather,	
			training, drills, and exercises	
			must be conducted well in	
			advance of the need to deploy	
			depopulation methods.	

203-206	While the AVMA believes	Recommend	This runs counter to numerous	2022 One Health Animal Disaster
	these Guidelines contain	deletion of this	statements made throughout	Management An Ethics of Care
	valuable information that can	sentence.	the introduction section, which	Approach
	help safeguard animals'		note that depopulation is often	Morand S, Lajaunie C. Linking
	welfare during depopulation,		used as a strategy to end or	Biodiversity with Health and
	it is important to understand		prevent animal suffering and	Well-being: Consequences of
	that the primary objective of		emphasize the importance of	Scientific Pluralism for Ethics,
	depopulation plans is to		integrating numerous,	Values and Responsibilities.
	protect human well-being.		sometimes disparate, ethical	Asian Bioeth Rev. 2019 Mar
			duties. For example, the	12;11(2):153-168. doi:
			statement at lines 238–241:	10.1007/s41649-019-00076-4.
			"Emergency management	PMID: 33717309; PMCID:
			operations involving	PMC7747447.
			depopulation includes a	
			commitment that decisions are	
			ethically grounded. This requires	
			recognizing and balancing three	
			directives: 1) protecting public	
			health; 2) minimizing animal	
			pain and distress and 3)	
			safeguarding the physical and	
			mental health of responders."	
			Lines 732–733, in the Veterinary	
			Ethics section, read: "The	
			primary responsibility of the	
			veterinary community during a	
			public health emergency is to	
			minimize harm to both humans	
			and animals and to reduce their	
			deaths." And this statement at	
			lines 851–855: "Developing an	
			ethical decision-making	
			framework that accommodates	
			animals and their welfare during	
			an emergency broadens the	
			scope of who counts during an	

			emergency, enabling alternative understandings of vulnerability and suffering. It can advance what must be done to save as many human and animal lives as possible."	
263-264	Depopulation may employ euthanasia or slaughter methods, especially when the number of animals is low or the emergency has been contained.	Depopulation must employ euthanasia or slaughter methods when the number of animals is low or the emergency has been contained.	The current wording runs counter to lines 259-260: "The AVMA Guidelines for the Euthanasia of Animals should be referred to in circumstances where the primary aim is to end the actual or anticipated suffering of individual animals." Given the moral commitments articulated in this and other AVMA guidance documents/policies, and given that depopulation methods often involve greater risks to animal welfare compared to euthanasia and slaughter methods, the Guidelines must use stronger language to ensure that euthanasia or slaughter methods are used when the	AVMA principles of veterinary medical ethics AVMA animal welfare principles AVMA statement on the roles of vets in promoting animal welfare (https://www.avma.org/resource s-tools/avma-policies/joint-avma-fve-cvma-roles-veterinarians-promoting-animal-welfare)

		number of animals is small or	
		the emergency is contained.	
		1	
		1	
		1	
		1	

While it is the responsibility of veterinarians, veterinary services and other responders to develop and deploy depopulation methods that minimize animals' pain and distress and to ultimately save as many animals' lives as possible, there may be events (e.g., an outbreak of Highly Pathogenic Avian Influenza (HPAI)) that expand faster than they can be controlled using scientifically supported depopulation methods. Using methods that currently lack broad evidentiary support, but which result in a quick death for animals and advance disease containment, may become necessary.

269-275

Once HPAI has been detected on a premises, it is the responsibility of veterinarians, APHIS Veterinary Services, and other responders to deploy depopulation methods that minimize animals' pain and distress and to ultimately save as many animals' lives as possible. This occurs during the Response phase of the disaster/emergency management cycle. However, the options available to these personnel during the Response phase will, to a large extent, be predetermined by choices made during other phases of the cycle, particularly during Mitigation (reducing the risk that an event requiring depopulation will

It is inappropriate to point to HPAI as an example of a disease for which use of non-standard depopulation methods, which fail to minimize animals' pain and distress, is excusable. At this stage, HPAI is recognized as a constant threat in the United States, as the poultry industry has recognized that it has become endemic in wild birds in North America (see attached reference, from Jan 2024). Consistent with "responsible use" of animals, operations responsible for the health and welfare of large bird populations have an ethical obligation to ensure that, should their operation become infected with this fatal disease, they are equipped to end the lives under their care using validated methods that prioritize and maximize animal welfare. It has been almost 3 years since HPAI was confirmed on a U.S. poultry operation, so poultry operations have had ample opportunity to prepare such that the highest welfare methods of depopulation are rapidly accessible should their farm become infected. Failure to plan and prepare for known and somewhat predictable risks is

2024 Egg Industry report WATT Poultry

https://training.fema.gov/emiwe b/downloads/is111_unit%204.p df occur) and Preparedness (ensure that sufficient planning has been undertaken, that equipment and supplies will be rapidly available if needed, and that responsible parties have been sufficiently trained to respond rapidly and competently in an emergency). For sectors in which emergency depopulations occur regularly (e.g., HPAI in the U.S. poultry industry) or are anticipated to be needed in the foreseeable future (U.S. swine industry, in anticipation of African Swine Fever), it is imperative that mitigation and preparedness are prioritized by animal owners and incentivized by appropriately

insufficient justification for using methods of depopulation that severely compromise animal welfare. This paragraph should emphasize the need for attention to other phases of the disaster management cycle, including planning, preparation and risk mitigation.

crafted governmental policy. Adequate mitigation and preparedness can ensure that events do not expand faster than they can be controlled using scientifically supported depopulation methods that safeguard animal welfare and the psychological well- being of responders.	

324-325	The circumstances	The circumstances	It may sometimes be the case	https://www.aphis.usda.gov/site
	surrounding depopulation are	surrounding	that "the circumstances	s/default/files/hpai-2022-2023-
	unusual and will involve	depopulation have	surrounding depopulation are	summary-depop-analysis.pdf
	extraordinary intervention	historically been	unusual and will involve	https://www.aphis.usda.gov/me
	measures.	uncommon and, in	extraordinary intervention	dia/document/2086/file
		many animal	measures," but at present,	-
		sectors, still are.	emergency depopulation is a	https://www.meatpoultry.com/a
		However, urgent or	standard part of agriculture in	rticles/31000-former-pure-
		emergency	the US. For example, it is	prairie-poultry-chickens-
		depopulation has	estimated that approximately 1	<u>depopulated</u>
		become	million dogs are euthanized in	-
		increasingly	animal shelters annually and	https://arkansasadvocate.com/2
		common in animal	approximately 6 million pet dogs	024/09/25/arkansas-chicken-
		agriculture. Since	are euthanized by veterinarians	growers-sue-poultry-execs-for-
		2020, millions or	for health or welfare reasons	damages-from-closure-
		tens of millions of	(Pearson 2023). In contrast, well	depopulated-flocks/
		agricultural animals	over 130 million poultry have	-
		have been	been depopulated since Feb	https://www.avma.org/resource
		depopulated	2022 due to HPAI. All evidence	s-tools/avma-policies/avma-
		annually in the U.S.,	suggests that HPAI is now	animal-welfare-principles
		with most being	endemic in wild birds in North	-
		chickens or turkeys.	America, meaning that	https://avmajournals.avma.org/v
		Animals used in	depopulation of poultry will	iew/journals/javma/262/2/javma
		food depopulation	remain a regular part of poultry	<u>.23.07.0366.xml</u>
		often reside in high	production for the foreseeable	
		numbers and at high	future. To continue to treat	- 2021 Marchant - Covid 19 - one
		stocking densities	depopulation as an unusual	welfare paper
		on agricultural	occurrence and use that as	
		operations, which	justification for the use of	- 2020 NPPC The Tragic Impact
		can pose logistical	methods that cause pain and	of COVID-19 on US Hog Farmers
		challenges to	suffering is inconsistent with the	- The Need to Euthanize
		depopulation.	veterinary profession's	
		Events resulting in	commitment to advancing	
		depopulation in	animal welfare and providing "a	
		recent years include	humane death."	
		animal disease		

outbreaks, delays in slaughter or movement of animals, corporate bankruptcy or other financial issues, barn fires, and feed contamination events.	Online References: - https://www.aphis.usda. gov/livestock-poultry- disease/avian/avian- influenza/hpai- detections/wild-birds - https://efsa.onlinelibrary. wiley.com/doi/10.2903/j. efsa.2020.6195	

460-462	Each facility where	Each facility where	This paragraph opens with a	Delaware state records
	depopulation is performed	depopulation is	discussion of factors that impact	Baysinger - VSD+TH paper
	must ensure that their	performed must	the selection of the most	2022 Mass Depopulation of
	personnel receive appropriate	ensure that their	appropriate method of	Swine during COVID-19 - An
	training beforehand, including	personnel receive	depopulation. Research strongly	Exploration of Swine Vet's
	in the humane restraint of the	appropriate training	supports the contention that	Perspective
	species of animal.	beforehand,	insufficient planning and	·
	•	including in the	preparedness, and waiting to	
		humane restraint of	provide personnel training until a	
		the species of	depopulation is imminent,	
		animal. Any facility	results in the use of lower	
		with a large number	welfare (non-preferred)	
		of animals (i.e.,	depopulation methods, as well	
		more than could be	as depopulation delays. For	
		reasonably and	example, Baysinger's paper on	
		rapidly euthanized	VSD+ demonstrates how	
		in the event of an	VSD+TH ended up being relied	
		emergency) must	upon due to the inability to	
		preemptively	access, over the course of a	
		engage in planning	month, higher welfare methods	
		and preparation to	of depopulation. During the on-	
		ensure that the	going HPAI outbreak, state	
		highest welfare	records show that, in some	
		method(s) of	cases, higher welfare methods	
		depopulation are	like whole house gassing were	
		readily accessible	not utilized due to lack of	
		within hours after a	equipment, supplies, and	
		potential need for	training. The following are	
		them is identified.	quotations explaining why VSD+	
			needed to be used, from p. 9 of	
			the attached Delaware public	
			records: "We have never tried to	
			access CO2 trucks or manifolds	
			until this moment," "We have not	
			been able to get an answer on	
			how long it will take to get CO2	

479-480	The POD gave serious consideration to the following criteria in their assessment of the appropriateness of depopulation methods: 1. Ability to induce loss of consciousness followed by death with minimum pain or distress	The POD gave serious consideration to the following criteria in their assessment of the appropriateness of depopulation methods: 1. Ability to induce loss of consciousne ss followed by death with minimum	The current wording fails to provide a framework for assessing animal welfare impacts of the depopulation method. Such a framework must include consideration of all potential negative affective states, their intensity, and duration, from the point at which the depopulation process is initiated until the animal loses consciousness. This consideration is well-recognized by animal welfare experts as essential in determining the	2022 Challenges of animal welfare assessment for controlled atmosphere killing methods; 2015 Advantages & limitations of the Five Domains model for assessing welfare impacts assoc w vertebrate pest control 2016 A good death? report of the second newcastle meeting on lab animal euth
			trucks, or even if they are available," and "CO2 requires personnel knowledgeable about setting up a manifold system and we do not have anyone in the state with that type of training." Furthermore, research on the experience of swine veterinarians involved in depopulation supports a strong emphasis on better preparedness, e.g., the top theme that emerged from interviews with swine vets regarding depopulation during COVID-19 as "the need to be better prepared for crisis events."	

		pain and	relative "humaneness" or level of	
		distress,	animal welfare associated with	
		where this is	killing methods (see attached	
		assessed by	references; a list of negative	
		considering	affective states can be found in	
		the intensity	Figure 1 of Mellor 2016). In	
		and duration	determining the appropriateness	
		of negative	of depopulation methods, and	
		affective	how to categorize them, this	
		states	consideration seems to be at	
		including but	least as important as how long	
		not limited to	the method requires to cause	
		pain, anxiety,	death. Since this framework for	
		overheating,	assessing animal welfare	
		fear, nausea,	associated with depopulation	
		and	was not provided to the POD, it's	
		breathlessne	unclear what edits would be	
		ss (dyspnea).	appropriate in this section.	
			Asking the Panel to reconsider	
			each method in light of this	
			framework is a suggested	
			option.	
498-499	In this edition, the POD	In this edition, the	Presumably, any method that	
	recommends a tier system to	POD recommends a	results in most or all of an	
	guide veterinarians identify	tier system to guide	animal population being killed	
	the most effective	veterinarians in	within a relatively short window	
	depopulation method.	identifying the best	is considered "effective." The	
		depopulation	intention of the Guidelines is (or	
		method for the	should be) to aid veterinarians in	
		specific	choosing the method that is best	
		circumstance.	in terms of animal welfare while	
			also being feasible given the	
			myriad considerations that must	
			be considered, including	
			available resources, personnel,	

			and the accessibility of the animals.	
506-508	The decisions about depopulation methods should be made with consideration of professional, ethical, and technical aspects as well as the availability of infrastructure, equipment, and trained personnel; human and animal welfare; and disposal and environmental outcomes.	The disaster management cycle includes several different phases, including planning, risk mitigation, and preparedness. During the response phase of an emergency, the depopulation options available will often be predetermined by the degree to which those responsible for populations of animals have attended to other phases of the disaster management cycle, especially planning and preparedness. Veterinarians must advocate for prioritization of animal welfare considerations during each of the	Research strongly supports the contention that insufficient planning and preparedness, and waiting to provide personnel training until a depopulation is imminent, results in use of lower welfare (non-preferred) depopulation methods, as well as depopulation delays. For example, Baysinger's paper (attached) demonstrates how VSD+TH ended up being relied upon due to the inability to access, over the course of a month, higher welfare methods of depopulation. During the ongoing HPAI outbreak, state records show that, in some cases, higher welfare methods like whole house gassing were not utilized due to lack of equipment, supplies, and training. The following are quotations explaining why VSD+ needed to be used, from p. 9 of the attached Delaware public records: "We have never tried to access CO2 trucks or manifolds until this moment," "We have not been able to get an answer on	2022 One Health Animal Disaster Management An Ethics of Care Approach Delaware state records Baysinger - VSD+TH paper 2022 Mass Depopulation of Swine during COVID-19 - An Exploration of Swine Vet's Perspective

phases of the how long it will take to get CO2 disaster trucks, or even if they are available," and "CO2 requires management cycle. Once the decision to personnel knowledgeable about depopulate has setting up a manifold system been made, the and we do not have anyone in choices regarding the state with that type of depopulation training." Furthermore, research methods should be on the experience of swine veterinarians involved in made with consideration of depopulation supports a strong professional, emphasis on better ethical, and preparedness, e.g., the top theme that emerged from technical aspects as well as the interviews with swine vets availability of regarding depopulation during infrastructure, COVID-19 as "the need to be equipment, and better prepared for crisis trained personnel; events." human and animal welfare; and disposal and environmental outcomes.

512-519

Tier 1 Methods These methods are given highest priority and should be utilized preferentially when developing emergency response plans and when circumstances allow reasonable implementation during emergencies. These methods are supported by multiple sources of evidence suggesting that they result in rapid loss of consciousness and optimize animal welfare outcomes. They may correspond to methods within the AVMA Guidelines for the Euthanasia of Animals or the AVMA Guidelines for the Humane Slaughter of Animals, but with adjustments so that they may be applied in more challenging situations.

Tier 1 Methods These methods are given highest priority and must be utilized when developing emergency response plans and preparedness activities are undertaken. Every effort should be made to utilize Tier 1 methods when depopulation is required. These methods are supported by multiple sources of evidence suggesting that they result in rapid loss of consciousness and optimize animal welfare outcomes. They may correspond to methods within the AVMA Guidelines for the Euthanasia of Animals or the **AVMA Guidelines for** the Humane Slaughter of Animals, but with adjustments so that

they may be applied

Changing "should" to "must" emphasizes the importance of engaging in planning and preparedness such that the most humane methods (Tier 1 methods) can be utilized during an emergency. Strengthening the wording in the description of Tier 1 ensures that the Guidelines are not misinterpreted to express approval of the routine use of lower-tier methods in the circumstances most likely to result in depopulation. Because Tier 1 methods generally require a higher level of preparedness than lower-tier methods, the description of Tier 1 should underscore the importance of planning and preparedness.

https://www.avma.org/resource s-tools/avma-policies/jointavma-fve-cvma-rolesveterinarians-promoting-animalwelfare

to situations involving groups of animals.	

520-528	Tier 2 Methods	Tier 2 Methods
	These methods have	These methods
	moderate to limited evidence	must result in rapid
	available to demonstrate	loss of
	rapid loss of consciousness	consciousness, but
	or have other constraints that	may (1) have limited
	do not support their	evidence available
	prioritization to tier 1.	regarding their
	Potential constraints that	animal welfare
	might result in use of	impacts, (2) be
	methods in this category	associated with
	include, but are not limited to,	negative animal
	zoonotic disease risk,	welfare outcomes,
	response time, human safety,	such as negative
	depopulation efficiency,	affective states, for
	deployable resources,	a brief period of
	equipment, animal access,	time, or (3) have a
	disruption of infrastructure,	negative impact on
	disease transmission risk,	human operators, o
	environmental concerns, and	(4) have other
	carcass disposal.	constraints that do
		not support their
		prioritization to Tier
		1. Potential
		constraints that
		might result in the
		use of methods in
		this category
		include, but are not
		limited to, zoonotic
		disease risk that
		cannot be
		adequately
		mitigated, response
		time, human safety,
		lack of deployable

It appears that the intention of Tier 1 is to identify methods that maximize animal welfare so that those responsible for the welfare of an animal population will work to ensure that such methods are rapidly accessible in an emergency. Tier 2 methods are available in situations where Tier 1 methods cannot be used, but should nonetheless protect animal welfare, if by no other means than to ensure suffering is brief. If a method requires animals to experience negative affective states for a prolonged period prior to loss of consciousness, such a method belongs in Tier 3. Therefore, the description of what constitutes a Tier 2 method should be clarified. In addition, the circumstances in which Tier 2 methods can be used must be clarified. As we have seen in the past few years, a method classified by the AVMA as "permitted in constrained circumstances" (VSD+Heat) rapidly became the primary method used to depopulate commercial egg farms impacted by HPAI (USDA report). This transpired despite language in the 2019 Guidelines that explicitly stated, "The use of less

- USDA report
- The rise of heatstroke paper

_				
		resources or	preferred methods should not	
		equipment, lack of	become synonymous with	
		animal access,	standard practice" (p 7). This	
		disruption of	occurred at least in part because	
		infrastructure, or	of the overly broad list of	
		disease	justifications for the use of	
		transmission risk	lower-tier methods. The	
		that cannot be	"constraints" described in the	
		mitigated.	2019 edition, and proposed in	
			the revised version, cover	
			virtually all scenarios in which	
			depopulation is likely to be	
			required. It is crucial that the	
			same mistake not be made in	
			the revised edition of the	
			Guidelines.	
- 1		1		1

529-537 Tier 3 Methods These methods have limited to no evidence to support their use or evidence may be contrary to good animal welfare. Tier 3 should be considered only when circumstances preclude the reasonable implementation of any of the Tier 1 or Tier 2 methods and when the risk of doing nothing is likely to have a reasonable chance of resulting in significantly more animal suffering than that associated with the proposed depopulation method. Examples of such situations include, but are not limited to, structural collapse or compromise of buildings housing animals, complete inability to safely access animals for a prolonged period of time or any

circumstance that poses a

severe threat to human life.

Tier 3 Methods These methods have limited to no evidence to support their use or are likely to cause animals to experience significant negative affective states for a prolonged period prior to loss of consciousness. Tier 3 must be considered only when all options for implementing Tier 1 or Tier 2 methods have been exhausted and when the risk of doing nothing is likely to result in significantly more animal suffering than that associated with the proposed depopulation method. Tier 3 methods are not recommended by the American Veterinary Medical Association. Examples of situations in which Tier 3 methods

It is essential that the impact of Tier 3 methods on animal welfare be clear in this description. The current wording indicates that a method will be classified in Tier 3 if there is evidence that it "may be contrary to good animal welfare." However, Tier 2 methods do not provide "good" animal welfare; rather they compromise animal welfare for a limited time (e.g., CO2-based methods) or at least risk doing so (e.g., long-range gunshot). In addition, because Tier 3 methods may cause significant animal suffering, strong language must be used to discourage their use in all but the most extreme situations. Given advancements in depopulation methods, the examples of circumstances in which Tier 3 methods might need to be considered should be revised. The example of "structural collapse or compromise of buildings housing animals" should be removed because foaming methods (including high expansion nitrogen foam) can, with the use of machinery, be utilized in at least some

situations involving structural

	.	
might nonetheless	compromise of buildings. In	
be considered	addition, for the sake of clarity, it	
include (1) complete	is important to specify that the	
inability to safely	use of Tier 3 methods is ethically	
access animals or	justified only if such use	
their housing areas	mitigates the threat to human	
for a prolonged	life, while the use of Tier 1 or 2	
period of time or (2)	methods does not.	
any circumstance		
that would pose a		
severe threat to		
human life if Tier 1		
or Tier 2 methods		
were to be		
employed, and		
where the threat to		
human life can only		
be mitigated by		
application of a Tier		
3 method.		

550-551	2) direct depression of	2) direct or indirect	In the case of hyperthermia	The Rise of Heatstroke as a
330-331	3) direct depression of neurons necessary for life	3) direct or indirect	In the case of hyperthermia, death is not effected by direct	Method of Depopulating Pigs and
	<u> </u>	depression of	-	Poultry;
	function (e.g., CO2,	neurons necessary	depression of neurons, at least not at the temperatures	Foultry,
	hyperthermia)	for life function (e.g.,	•	Domanuaci M. Calda I D
		CO2, hyperthermia)	described for	Romanucci, M.; Salda, L.D.
			VSD+heat/humidity. Necropsies	Pathophysiology and
			of animals who have expired	pathological findings of
			from heatstroke indicate that the	heatstroke in dogs. Vet. Med.
			cause of death is not brain injury	Auckl. NZ 2013, 4, 1–9. ;
			but rather hypovolemic or	B 1: V 11 : M A 1
			distributive shock, DIC, SIRS,	Bruchim, Y.; Horowitz, M.; Aroch,
			and respiratory failure due to	I. Pathophysiology of heatstroke
			accumulation of frothy,	in dogs—Revisited. Temperature
			hemorrhagic fluid in the airways.	2017, 4, 356–370.;
				Durchine Vallach F. Constructiv
				Bruchim, Y.; Loeb, E.; Saragusty,
				J.; Aroch, I. Pathological findings
				in dogs with fatal heatstroke. J.
				Comp. Pathol. 2009, 140, 97–
				104.;
				Fratain V. Vanaviah D
				Epstein, Y.; Yanovich, R.
				Heatstroke. N. Engl. J. Med.
				2019, 380, 2449–2459.;
				Contalor Divos D.A. Chaubar
				Gonzalez-Rivas, P.A.; Chauhan,
				S.S.; Ha, M.; Fegan, N.; Dunshea,
				F.R.; Warner, R.D. Effects of heat
				stress on animal physiology,
				metabolism, and meat quality: A
				review. Meat Sci. 2020, 162,
				108025.;
				Via O Miakalaan A Maale
				Xie, S.; Nicholson, A.; Woolford,
				L.; McWhorter, T.J. Physiological,
				biochemical and

		histopathological changes associated with heatstroke in the galah (Eolophus roseicapilla) and rock dove (Columba livia). Avian Pathol. 2019, 48, 57–72.

2011 Perpetration-induced 641-643 Veterinarians and front-line Veterinarians and Baysinger et al. found that traumatic stress—a risk for personnel involved in killing front-line personnel veterinarians who were involved animals due to a large-scale involved in killing in depopulating pigs with VSD+ veterinarians involved in incident can experience a animals due to a (a low-welfare depopulation destruction of healthy animals; heavy moral burden and be large-scale incident method) experienced greater substantially impacted psychological distress (as 2022 Mental Health Impact of can experience a psychologically. heavy moral burden measured by the validated Mass Depopulation of Swine on and be substantially distress scales) and burnout. Veterinarians During COVID-19 impacted Bussolari et al. found in a study Infrastructure Breakdown; psychologically. of veterinarians involved in Moral distress is COVID-related depopulation of 2022 Mass depopulation of pigs that all veterinarians "talked swine during COVID-19: An defined as arising "when individuals about the lack of preparation exploration of swine are aware of the and the need to be better veterinarians' perspectives; right action but are equipped." Research on moral hindered by 2024 Moral distress distress has found that it is distinct from other types of institutional measurement in animal care constraints" (Baysal negative psychological impacts. workers: a systematic review et al., 2024). There is Because the options available to 2020 Compassion Fatigue in some evidence that veterinarians and other frontline depopulation workers involved in **Animal Care Workers** workers experience depopulation are in large part a higher level of determined by decisions made by others in the past (for moral distress, mental distress, and example, whether they have prepared such that higherburnout when welfare depopulation methods lower-welfare depopulation are rapidly accessible when methods are used, needed), they would appear to particularly when be at high risk for moral distress, they must be in addition to other resorted to due to psychological impacts such as lack of adequate secondary/vicarious trauma, perpetration-induced traumatic preparation. stress, post-traumatic stress

747 740	Votoringriano who garvo og	Votorinoriono who	disorder, compassion fatigue, etc.	
747-749	Veterinarians who serve as members on an emergency team should have operational experience in animal welfare, ideally at the group level, and familiarity with incident command principles.	Veterinarians who serve as members on an emergency team must have operational experience in animal welfare, ideally at the group level, and familiarity with incident command principles.	This change emphasizes that these qualifications are nonnegotiable and critical for effective emergency response. Requiring operational experience in animal welfare at the group level ensures that veterinarians are prepared to address the complex and often time-sensitive challenges encountered during emergencies, minimizing the risk of errors that could lead to compromised animal welfare.	
802-803	An emergency that involves depopulation is thus not an ordinary situation.	While many emergencies that involve depopulation are not ordinary situations, depopulation is a regular occurrence	At this stage, depopulation due to HPAI is common and occurs frequently; with HPAI likely endemic in wild birds in North America (O'Keefe 2024), it is likely that depopulation will continue to be a routine component of poultry-based	2024 Egg Industry report WATT Poultry

		in some sectors of	agriculture Recourse the	
			agriculture. Because the	
		animal agriculture,	predictability of situations	
		particularly as high	involving depopulation is an	
		pathogenicity avian	important ethical consideration,	
		influenza has	this should be made clear.	
		become endemic in		
		wild birds (Watt		
		Poultry).		
2305-	Development and exercise of	Development and	Mandating such plans ensures	
2306	detailed response plans	exercise of detailed	that teams are well-trained,	
	before their use is extremely	response plans well	coordinated, and capable of	
	beneficial to a depopulation	in advance of an	executing humane, safe, and	
	response.	actual emergency is	efficient actions in emergency	
		crucial.	situations. By making it a	
			requirement rather than an	
			option, it establishes a	
			consistent standard of care and	
			preparedness, enhancing the	
			effectiveness and ethical	
			integrity of response efforts.	
2313	A needs assessment for	A needs assessment	Requiring a needs assessment	
	personnel and resources	for personnel and	for personnel and resources	
	should be included in the	resources must be	helps ensure operational	
	planning phase.	included in the	readiness, effective	
		planning phase.	coordination, and humane	
		p.agp.	outcomes by guaranteeing the	
			necessary tools and staff are in	
			place before an emergency	
			response.	
2378-	Availability and procurement	Because availability	Both COVID-19- and HPAI-	
2379	of needed equipment is an	and procurement of	related depopulations have	
20,0	important component of	needed equipment	demonstrated that "failure to	
	planning and execution.	is an important	plan" equates to "planning to	
	parining and oxoodition.	component of	fail," at least when it comes to	
		planning and	protecting animal welfare. All	
		execution, it is	operations responsible for	
		6760000011, 1019	operations responsible tol	

		essential that well	caring for significant numbers of	
		before there is any	animals must ensure they have	
		identified need for	the means of implementing	
		depopulation,	higher-welfare depopulation	
		operations caring	methods, including access to	
		for large numbers of	personnel, equipment, and	
		bovids secure	supplies. This will help ensure	
		access to all needed	that methods that compromise	
		equipment and	animal welfare are not resorted	
		ensure they have a	to.	
		means of rapidly		
		securing needed		
		supplies.		
2406-	A psychological first-aid field	A psychological	Evidence suggests that	2011 Perpetration-induced
2407	guide training module for first	first-aid field guide	depopulation workers	traumatic stress—a risk for
	responders is available.27	training module for	experience a higher level of	veterinarians involved in
		first responders is	moral distress, mental distress,	destruction of healthy animals;
		available. Planning	and burnout when lower-welfare	2022 Mental Health Impact of
		and preparation far	depopulation methods are used,	Mass Depopulation of Swine on
		in advance of any	particularly when such methods	Veterinarians During COVID-19
		need for	are required due to inadequate	Infrastructure Breakdown;
		depopulation can	preparation. For example,	2022 Mass depopulation of
		help ensure that	Baysinger et al. found that	swine during COVID-19: An
		higher welfare	veterinarians who were involved	exploration of swine
		depopulation	in depopulating pigs with a low-	veterinarians' perspectives;
		methods are easily	welfare method (VSD+)	2024 Moral distress
		accessible and this,	experienced greater	measurement in animal care
		in turn, can reduce	psychological distress (as	workers: a systematic review
		moral distress and	measured by the validated	
		secondary trauma	distress scales) and burnout.	
		for personnel	Bussolari et al. found in a study	
		involved in	of veterinarians involved in	
		implementing	COVID-related depopulation of	
		depopulation.	pigs that all veterinarians "talked	
			about the lack of preparation	
			and the need to be better	

equipped." Moral distress is defined as arising "when individuals are aware of the right action but are hindered by institutional constraints" (Baysal et al., 2024). Research on moral distress has found that it is distinct from other types of negative psychological impacts. Because the options available to veterinarians and other frontline workers involved in depopulation are in large part determined by decisions made by others in the past (for example, whether they have prepared such that higherwelfare depopulation methods are rapidly accessible when needed), they would appear to be at high risk for moral distress, in addition to other psychological impacts such as secondary/vicarious trauma, perpetration-induced traumatic stress, post-traumatic stress disorder, compassion fatigue, etc.

0000	·			
2629-	In cases where known	In cases where	It is essential to include	
2632	exposure to adulterants or	known exposure to	language emphasizing that	
	intoxicants has occurred but	adulterants or	depopulation methods should	
	the animals do not	intoxicants has	not be used in non-urgent	
	demonstrate clinical signs of	occurred but the	circumstances. Doing so	
	illness or suffering, are not a	animals do not	upholds the veterinary	
	threat to human health, and	demonstrate clinical	profession's responsibility to	
	are not likely to negatively	signs of illness or	protect animal welfare and helps	
	affect the environment, then	suffering, are not a	ensure the coherence of the	
	depopulation may not need to	threat to human	Guidelines. At lines 263–264, the	
	occur immediately.	health, and are not	draft Guidelines currently say,	
		likely to negatively	"Depopulation may employ	
		affect the	euthanasia or slaughter	
		environment, then	methods, especially when the	
		depopulation may	number of animals is low or the	
		not need to occur	emergency has been contained."	
		immediately; in	At 164–166, the definition of	
		such instances,	depopulation specifies,	
		euthanasia or	"Depopulation refers to the	
		slaughter methods,	implementation of a unique,	
		rather than	large-scale emergency or	
		depopulation	disaster management plan	
		methods, must be	involving the rapid termination of	
		used.	a population(s) of animals." The	
			example cited here specifically	
			indicates that there is not a need	
			for depopulation to occur	
			"rapidly"; therefore, use of	
			depopulation methods would be	
			inappropriate and, given that	
			"not all depopulation methods	
			meet the AVMA criteria for	
			euthanasia" (lines 263–265) or	
			slaughter, and are thus more	
			likely to compromise animal	
			welfare, this would be unethical.	

			These changes would be consistent with lines 5552–5555 in the poultry section, which indicate that euthanasia methods, not depopulation methods, should be used for culling of end-of-lay hens on commercial egg operations because this situation is not an emergency.	
2663- 2665	Decisions to depopulate potentially contaminated animals may occur even if no clinical signs of illness are demonstrated, owing to public perception that the animal protein may not be wholesome or because of risk aversion	Decisions to depopulate potentially contaminated animals may occur even if no clinical signs of illness are demonstrated, owing to public perception that the animal protein may not be wholesome or because of risk aversion. In such a circumstance, methods of euthanasia and slaughter, rather than depopulation	It is essential to include language emphasizing that depopulation methods should not be used in non-urgent circumstances. Doing so upholds the veterinary profession's responsibility to protect animal welfare and is necessary for the Guidelines to be logically coherent. At lines 263–264, the draft Guidelines currently say, "Depopulation may employ euthanasia or slaughter methods, especially when the number of animals is low or the emergency has been contained." At 164–166, the definition of depopulation refers	

		methods, must be used.	unique, large-scale emergency or disaster management plan involving the rapid termination of a population(s) of animals." The example cited here specifically indicates that there is not a need for depopulation to occur "rapidly"; therefore, use of depopulation methods would be inappropriate and, given that "not all depopulation methods meet the AVMA criteria for euthanasia" (lines 263–265) or slaughter, and are thus more likely to compromise animal welfare, this would be unethical. These changes would be consistent with lines 5552–5555 in the poultry section, which indicate that euthanasia methods, not depopulation methods, should be used for culling of end-of-lay hens on commercial egg operations because this situation is not an emergency.	
2761- 2763	Anatomic landmarks for the proper placement of the muzzle of captive bolt devices can be referenced from the 2020 AVMA Euthanasia Guidelines.44	Anatomic landmarks for the proper placement of the muzzle of captive bolt devices can be referenced from the 2020 AVMA Euthanasia Guidelines. Bison have a very thick	Because this section refers to bovids rather than only cattle, it is important to note species differences that may significantly affect welfare.	https://www.hsa.org.uk/downloa ds/technical-notes/tn25- slaughter-and-killing-of- minority-farmed-species.pdf

frontal bone (up to	
• •	
six times that of a	
domestic bovine at	
any given age),	
therefore effective,	
captive-bolt	
stunning might be	
difficult to achieve	
and must be	
avoided.	

2867	Water-based foam	Move to tier 3	Water-based foam as a	16 Beausoleil, N. J., & Mellor, D.
			depopulation method should be	J. (2015). Introducing
			downgraded to Tier 3. It is	breathlessness as a significant
			currently identified as Tier 2 for	animal welfare issue. New
			cattle on the basis of one sole	Zealand Veterinary Journal,
			study in this species. Available	63(1), 44–51.
			evidence indicates that welfare	https://doi.org/10.1080/0048016
			is severely compromised when	9.2014.940410
			death occurs via obstruction of	
			the airway (Beausoleil 2015;	17 Ludders, J. W., Schmidt, R. H.,
			Ludders 1999). The expert Panel	Dein, F. J., & Klein, P. N. (1999).
			on Animal Health and Welfare of	Drowning Is not euthanasia.
			the European Food Safety	Wildlife Society
			Authority (EFSA) has found that	Bulletin, 27(3), 666–670.
			water-based foam should not be	
			used because it is "highly	18 EFSA Panel on Animal Health
			painful" and, as a "method	and Welfare (2020). Welfare of
			designed to cause occlusion of	pigs during killing for purposes
			the trachea," is "equivalent to	other than
			death by drowning or	slaughter. EFSA Journal.
			suffocation." The AVMA's 2020	European Food Safety Authority,
			Guidelines for the Euthanasia of	18(7), e06195.
			Animals list both asphyxiation	https://doi.org/10.2903/j.efsa.20
			and drowning as methods that	<u>20.6195</u>
			are "unacceptable as primary	
			methods of euthanasia," noting	19 EFSA Panel on Animal Health
			specifically that drowning is	and Welfare. (2019). Killing for
			"inhumane."	purposes other than slaughter:
				poultry. EFSA
			In addition, the United	Journal. European Food Safety
			Kingdom's governmental Animal	Authority, 17(11), e05850.
			Welfare Committee states that	https://doi.org/10.2903/j.efsa.20
			water-based foam should not be	<u>19.5850</u>
			used for killing animals, noting	
			that "[w]elfare concerns arise	20 EFSA Panel on Animal Health
			from this mode of action which	and Welfare. (2024). The use of

is equivalent to drowning or suffocation . . . neither of which are recognised as humane under European legislation nor the 2018 World Organisation for Animal Health guidelines on the killing of animals for disease control purposes." Further, even precautions such as ensuring the foam level rapidly rises to two times the animal's head height do not decrease the average time to unconsciousness much below three minutes from the start of foaming (Campler 2023, Korenyi-Both 2022)—a relatively long period for animals to suffer pain, respiratory distress, fear, anxiety, and helplessness. In fact, the sole study performed on the use of water-based foam in cattle suggests it takes even longer for cattle to lose consciousness: the container containing the cattle required an average of 89 seconds to fill, and cattle did not cease moving for an average of 2.5 to 3 minutes after the container was full (Capria 2023).

There is thus significant evidence that using water-based foam to depopulate cattle (or other livestock) is "contrary to high expansion foam for stunning and killing pigs and poultry. EFSA Journal. European Food Safety Authority, 22(7), e8855. https://doi.org/10.2903/j.efsa.2024.8855.

21 AVMA. (2020). AVMA Guidelines for the Euthanasia of Animals: 2020 Edition. Page 112.

22 United Kingdom Animal Welfare Committee (2024). Opinion on the use of high expansion nitrogen foam delivery systems for depopulation of poultry flocks affected by notifiable disease in the UK. Available at: https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/awc-opinion-on-high-expansion-nitrogen-foam-for-cullingpoultry/awc-opinion-

on-the-use-of-high-expansion-

nitrogen-foam-for-culling-poultry

23 Campler, M. R., Cheng, T.-Y., Arruda, A. G., Flint, M., Kieffer, J. D., Youngblood, B., & Bowman, A. S. (2023).
Refinement of water-based foam depopulation procedures for finisher pigs during field conditions: Welfare implications and logistical

	good animal welfare." For this reason, and because of the availability of other practical, scalable, higher-welfare methods, the use of water-based foam should be designated a Tier 3 method.	aspects. Preventive Veterinary Medicine, 217, 105974. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.prevet med.2023.105974 24 Korenyi-Both, J., Vidaurre, J., Held, T., Campler, M. R., Kieffer, J., Cheng, T. Y., Moeller, S. J., Bowman, A. S., & Arruda, A. G. (2022). Description of electroencephalographic data gathered using water-based medium-expansion foam as a depopulation method for nursery pigs. Scientific Reports, 12(1), 16798. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598- 022-21353-7 Capria VM, Arruda AG, Cheng TY, et al. Water-based medium- expansion foam depopulation of adult cattle. Trans An Sci. 2023:7(1):txad065
--	--	---

Complications with the use of 2888-A sole paper 2892 water-based foam in cattle investigating the use of water-based include the time to unconsciousness of the foam in cattle did animals undergoing not investigate depopulation. While animal welfare outcomes, but did cessation of movement (COM) is a current proxy for note that time to unconsciousness in "Necropsies of a cattle, further research is subset of cattle needed to determine the revealed foam timepoint at which cattle extending to at least become unconscious as the tracheal bifurcation in all agonal movement can cattle and distal to continue for several minutes this level in 67% after loss of postural tone. (8/12) animals" (Capria 2023). As with other causes of tracheal obstruction, obstructive asphyxiation from water-based foam results in severe noncardiogenic pulmonary edema. Disadvantages of water-based foam in cattle include the time to unconsciousness of the animals undergoing depopulation and

the severe negative

Water-based foam as a depopulation method should also be downgraded to Tier 3. It is currently identified as Tier 2 for cattle on the basis of one study in this species. According to the Guidelines, Tier 1 methods "are supported by multiple sources of evidence suggesting that they result in rapid loss of consciousness and optimize animal welfare outcomes." However, available evidence indicates that welfare is severely compromised when death occurs via obstruction of the airway (Beausoleil 2015; Ludders 1999). The expert Panel on Animal Health and Welfare of the European Food Safety Authority (EFSA) has found that water-based foam should not be used because it is "highly painful" and, as a "method designed to cause occlusion of the trachea," is "equivalent to death by drowning or suffocation." The AVMA's 2020 Guidelines for the Euthanasia of Animals list both asphyxiation and drowning as methods that are "unacceptable as primary methods of euthanasia," noting specifically that drowning is "inhumane." In addition, the United Kingdom's governmental

-2023 Water-based medium-expansion foam depopulation of adult cattle -Beausoleil, N. J., & Mellor, D. J. (2015). Introducing breathlessness as a significant animal welfare issue.

NewZealand Veterinary Journal, 63(1), 44–51.

https://doi.org/10.1080/0048016
9.2014.940410
-Ludders, J. W., Schmidt, R. H., Dein, F. J., & Klein, P. N. (1999).

Drowning Is not euthanasia.

Wildlife SocietyBulletin, 27(3),

666-670. - United Kingdom Animal Welfare Committee (2024). Opinion on the use of high expansion nitrogen foam deliverysystems for depopulation of poultry flocks affected by notifiable disease in the UK. Available at:https://www.gov.uk/governme nt/publications/awc-opinion-onhigh-expansion-nitrogen-foamfor-cullingpoultry/awc-opinionon-the-use-of-high-expansionnitrogen-foam-for-culling-poultry - 2016 Nondrowning asphyxia in veterinary forensic pathology suffocation, strangulation & mech asphyxia

affective states experienced by the animals prior to loss of consciousness. The type of dyspnea caused by airway obstruction characterized by an increased drive to breathe due to hypercarbia, along with an inability to expand the lungs is known to result in "air hunger" in mammals. Air hunger is described by humans as the most unpleasant form of respiratory distress (Beausoleil 2015); killing via such a method is considered highly likely to be painful by UK and EU governmental animal welfare expert panels, and its use in these countries is not permitted. While cessation of movement (COM) is a current proxy for time to

Animal Welfare Committee states that water-based foam should not be used for killing animals, noting that "[w]elfare concerns arise from this mode of action which is equivalent to drowning or suffocation . . . neither of which are recognised as humane under European legislation nor the 2018 World Organisation for Animal Health guidelines on the killing of animals for disease control purposes." Further, even precautions such as ensuring the foam level rapidly rises to two times the animal's head height do not decrease the average time to unconsciousness much below three minutes from the start of foaming (Campler 2023, Korenyi-Both 2022)—a relatively long period for animals to suffer pain, respiratory distress, fear, anxiety, and helplessness. In fact, the sole study performed on use of water-based foam in cattle suggests it takes even longer for cattle to lose consciousness: the container containing the cattle required an average of 89 seconds to fill, and cattle did not cease moving for an average of 2.5 to 3 minutes after the container was full

unconsciousness in cattle, further research is needed to determine the time point at which cattle become unconscious, as agonal movement can continue for several minutes after loss of postural tone.

(Capria 2023). Thus, there is significant evidence that using water-based foam to depopulate cattle (or other livestock) is "contrary to good animal welfare." For this reason, and because of the availability of other practical, scalable, higherwelfare methods, the use of water-based foam should be designated a Tier 3 method.

Online references (too large to attach):

- -EFSA Panel on Animal Health and Welfare (2020). Welfare of pigs during killing for purposes other than slaughter. EFSA Journal. European Food Safety Authority, 18(7), e06195. https://doi.org/10.2903/j.efsa.20 20.6195
- EFSA Panel on Animal Health and Welfare. (2019). Killing for purposes other than slaughter: poultry. EFSA Journal. European Food Safety Authority, 17(11), e05850. https://doi.org/10.2903/j.efsa.20

19.5850

- EFSA Panel on Animal Health and Welfare. (2024). The use of high expansion foam for stunning and killing pigs and

 T		
	poultry. EFSA Journal. European	
	Food Safety Authority, 22(7),	
	e8855.	
	https://doi.org/10.2903/j.efsa.20	
	24.8855.	
	- AVMA. (2020). AVMA	
	Guidelines for the Euthanasia of	
	Animals: 2020 Edition. Page 112.	
	https://www.avma.org/sites/def	
	ault/files/2020-02/Guidelines-	
	on-Euthanasia-2020.pdf	

	1	I	I	
2922-	While no research studies are	No research studies	Pigs are very susceptible to	2008 Additional toxins for feral
2924	available to examine the use	are available to	sodium nitrite because they	pig
	of nitrates or nitrites in cattle	examine the use of	have uniquely low levels of	
	as depopulation agents, one	nitrates or nitrites in	methemoglobin reductase	
	such study in swine indicates	cattle as	(Cowled 2008). Pigs have	
	the variability in performance	depopulation	significantly lower natural levels	
	of sodium nitrite as a	agents. Several	of methemoglobin reductase	
	depopulation agent.	sodium nitrite	than cattle, and cattle have been	
		studies have been	found to be less sensitive than	
		performed on pigs,	pigs to nitrite poisoning (Cowled	
		but both the	2008). Given its negative animal	
		unreliability of this	welfare impacts (vomiting and	
		killing method and	prolonged respiratory distress)	
		its potential to	and unreliability as a killing	
		negatively impact	method in pigs, sodium nitrite is	
		animal welfare by	categorized as Tier 3 for pigs.	
		causing respiratory	Since it is known to be even less	
		distress and	effective for killing in cattle, it	
		vomiting have	must not be listed or suggested	
		resulted in sodium	for use in bovids.	
		nitrite being		
		categorized as Tier 3		
		and "not		
		recommended" for		
		pigs in this updated		
		version of the AVMA		
		Depopulation		
		Guidelines. Porcine		
		studies should not		
		be extrapolated to		
		cattle because of		
		key physiologic		
		species differences		
		that impact the		
		metabolism of		
		sodium nitrite and		

		make it even less effective as a killing agent for cattle than it is for pigs.		
2924-2926	Pepin et al98 reported a 181.4 mg/lb oral dose (delivered by gavage at 1x, 2x, 2.5x and 3x this rate) with success (death) rates ranging from 60 to 90% and time to death ranging from 34 to 83 minutes.	Delete this sentence.	This sentence is irrelevant given the physiologic and metabolic differences between pigs and cattle. Pigs are very susceptible to sodium nitrite because they have uniquely low levels of methemoglobin reductase (Cowled 2008). Pigs have significantly lower natural levels of methemoglobin reductase than cattle, and cattle have been found to be less sensitive than pigs to nitrite poisoning (Cowled 2008). Given its negative animal welfare impacts (vomiting and prolonged respiratory distress) and unreliability as a killing method in pigs, sodium nitrite is categorized as Tier 3 for pigs. Since it is known to be even less effective for killing in cattle, it	2008 Additional toxins for feral pig

		must not be listed or suggested for use in bovids.	
2942- 2943 Water-based foam, where of the stage of life for bovines are stage of life for bovines.	attle, while efficacious for adult cattle,	Water-based foam as a depopulation method should be downgraded to Tier 3. It is currently identified as Tier 2 for cattle on the basis of one study in this species. According to the Guidelines, Tier 1 methods "are supported by multiple sources of evidence suggesting that they result in rapid loss of consciousness and optimize animal welfare outcomes." However, available evidence indicates that welfare is severely compromised when death occurs via obstruction of the airway (Beausoleil 2015; Ludders 1999). The expert Panel on Animal Health and Welfare of the European Food Safety Authority (EFSA) has found that water-based foam should not be used because it is "highly painful" and, as a "method designed to cause occlusion of the trachea," is "equivalent to death by drowning or suffocation." The AVMA's 2020	16 Beausoleil, N. J., & Mellor, D. J. (2015). Introducing breathlessness as a significant animal welfare issue. New Zealand Veterinary Journal, 63(1), 44–51. https://doi.org/10.1080/0048016 9.2014.940410 17 Ludders, J. W., Schmidt, R. H., Dein, F. J., & Klein, P. N. (1999). Drowning Is not euthanasia. Wildlife Society Bulletin, 27(3), 666–670 United Kingdom Animal Welfare Committee (2024). Opinion on the use of high expansion nitrogen foam delivery systems for depopulation of poultry flocks affected by notifiable disease in the UK. Available at: https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/awc-opinion-on-high-expansion-nitrogen-foam-for-cullingpoultry/awc-opinion-on-the-use-of-high-expansion-

Guidelines for the Euthanasia of Animals list both asphyxiation and drowning as methods that are "unacceptable as primary methods of euthanasia," noting specifically that drowning is "inhumane."

In addition, the United Kingdom's governmental Animal Welfare Committee states that water-based foam should not be used for killing animals, noting that "[w]elfare concerns arise from this mode of action which is equivalent to drowning or suffocation . . . neither of which are recognised as humane under European legislation nor the 2018 World Organisation for Animal Health guidelines on the killing of animals for disease control purposes." Further, even precautions such as ensuring the foam level rapidly rises to two times the animal's head height do not decrease the average time to unconsciousness much below three minutes from the start of foaming (Campler 2023, Korenyi-Both 2022) —a relatively long period for animals to suffer pain, respiratory distress, fear, anxiety, and helplessness. In fact, the sole study performed

nitrogen-foam-for-culling-poultry

Campler, M. R., Cheng, T.-Y.,
Arruda, A. G., Flint, M., Kieffer, J.
D., Youngblood, B., & Bowman,
A. S. (2023).
Refinement of water-based foam
depopulation procedures for
finisher pigs during field
conditions: Welfare
implications and logistical
aspects. Preventive Veterinary
Medicine, 217, 105974.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.prevet
med.2023.105974

Korenyi-Both, J., Vidaurre, J., Held, T., Campler, M. R., Kieffer, J., Cheng, T. Y., Moeller, S. J., Bowman, A. S., & Arruda, A. G. (2022). Description of electroencephalographic data gathered using water-based medium-expansion foam as a depopulation method for nursery pigs. Scientific Reports, 12(1), 16798. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-022-21353-7

Capria VM, Arruda AG, Cheng TY, et al. Water-based medium-expansion foam depopulation of adult cattle. Trans An Sci. 2023:7(1):txad065

on use of water-based foam in cattle suggests it takes even longer for cattle to lose consciousness: the cattle containing the cattle required an average of 89 seconds to fill, and cattle did not cease moving for an average of 2.5 to 3 minutes after the container was full (Capria 2023).

There is thus significant evidence that using water-based foam to depopulate cattle (or other livestock) is "contrary to good animal welfare." For this reason, and because of the availability of other practical, scalable, higher-welfare methods, the use of water-based foam should be designated a Tier 3 method.

Online references (too large to attach):

- -EFSA Panel on Animal Health and Welfare (2020). Welfare of pigs during killing for purposes other than slaughter. EFSA Journal. European Food Safety Authority, 18(7), e06195. https://doi.org/10.2903/j.efsa.20 20.6195
- EFSA Panel on Animal Health and Welfare. (2019). Killing for

			purposes other than slaughter: poultry. EFSA Journal. European Food Safety Authority, 17(11), e05850. https://doi.org/10.2903/j.efsa.20 19.5850 - EFSA Panel on Animal Health and Welfare. (2024). The use of high expansion foam for stunning and killing pigs and poultry. EFSA Journal. European Food Safety Authority, 22(7), e8855. https://doi.org/10.2903/j.efsa.20 24.8855. - AVMA. (2020). AVMA Guidelines for the Euthanasia of Animals: 2020 Edition. Page 112. https://www.avma.org/sites/def ault/files/2020-02/Guidelines- on-Euthanasia-2020.pdf	
3277	number of US commercial swine operations in 2022 totaled 60,809,000.1 As of March 1, 2024, the	number of US commercial swine operations in 2022 totaled 60,809. As of March 1, 2024, the	This is a typo. According to the NASS 2022 Census on Agriculture (p 20), there were 52,984 independent hog growers, 652 contractor or integrator hog farms, and 7,273 contract growers.	

	T	1	1	T
			Online references – too big to	
			upload:	
			2022 NASS Census on	
			Agriculture -	
			https://www.nass.usda.gov/Pub	
			lications/AgCensus/2022/Full_R	
			eport/Volume_1,_Chapter_1_US	
			/usv1.pdf	
3571	Carbon dioxide	Carbon dioxide	This section fails to discuss the	- 1997 Raj Welfare Implications
		should be moved to	welfare concerns associated	of Gas Stunning Pigs: 3. the Time
		Tier 2.	with use of CO2, including pain,	to Loss of Somatosensory
			respiratory distress, anxiety and	Evoked Potentials &
			other negative affective states.	Spontaneous Electrocorticogram
			Inclusion of such a discussion	of Pigs During Expsoure to Gases
			would indicate why gassing with	
			CO2 should be moved into Tier	- 1996 Raj Welfare Implications
			2, rather than Tier 1. For	of the gas stunning of pigs: 2
			example, "Based on the time to	stress of induction of
			loss of SEPs [somatosensory	anesthesia."
			evoked potentials], it is	- 2018 Strangulation,
			concluded that during killing	Suffocation, and Asphyxia book
			with a high concentration of	chapter:
			carbon dioxide, pigs would have	Chapter.
			to endure a moderate to severe	
			respiratory distress induced with	
			this gas for a considerable	
			period of time prior to the loss of	
			brain responsiveness" (Raj	
			1999). "The results indicated	
			that exposure to 2 per cent	
			oxygen in argon (anoxia) induced	
			minimal respiratory distress, 30	
			per cent carbon dioxide in argon	
			with 2 per cent residual oxygen	
			induced a moderate distress and	
			exposure to all the	

concentrations of carbon dioxide in air induced severe respiratory distress in the pigs" (Raj 1996). "Inhaled CO2 causes respiratory acidosis and is painful due to the formation of carbonic acid on mucous membranes of the respiratory tract and conjunctiva. It also causes breathlessness (air hunger) and induces a fear response due to its effect on the amygdala" (McEwen 2018). And "[i]t has been demonstrated that pigs find CO2 in high concentrations aversive and, given a free choice, they avoid such atmospheres (Raj and Gregory, 1995; EFSA, 2004). CO2 itself causes irritation of the nasal mucosa, and exposure induces a painful sensation (Steiner et al., 2019). CO2 has the potential to cause welfare consequences via three different mechanisms: (1) pain due to formation of carbonic acid on respiratory and ocular membranes, (2) production of so-called air hunger and a feeling of breathlessness and (3) direct stimulation of ion channels within the amygdala associated with the fear response (Raj, 2006; Beausoleil and Mellor, 2015; AVMA, 2020)"

	Online reference - too big to upload: EFSA Panel on Animal Health and Welfare (AHAW), Nielsen SS, Alvarez J, et al. Welfare of pigs at slaughter. EFSA J. 2020;18(6):e06148. Published 2020 Jun 17. doi:10.2903/j.efsa.2020.6148	
--	--	--

3572-	Carbon dioxide is a practical	Carbon dioxide has	This section omits discussion of	2019 Humanely Ending the Life
3573	means for depopulation	been considered a	the affective states, such as	of Animals - Research Priorities
0070	provided certain criteria are	practical means for	pain, respiratory distress, and	to Identify Alternatives to C02
	met to address the numbers	depopulation	fear, experienced prior to loss of	- 1997 Raj Welfare Implications
	and size of swine and overall	provided certain	consciousness in pigs killed with	of Gas Stunning Pigs: 3. the Time
	throughput.	criteria are met to	CO2. Given that one role of the	to Loss of Somatosensory
	tinougnput.	address the	Guidelines is to guide	Evoked Potentials &
		numbers and size of	veterinarians and others in	Spontaneous Electrocorticogram
		swine and overall	comparing depopulation	of Pigs During Expsoure to Gases
		throughput.	methods on the basis of animal	- 1996 Raj Welfare Implications of
		However, carbon	welfare, it is essential that this	the gas stunning of pigs: 2 stress
		dioxide is	issue be clearly and	of induction of anesthesia."
		controversial due to	<u> </u>	
			comprehensively presented.	- 2018 Strangulation,
		its reported impacts on animal welfare,	Online reference too big to	Suffocation, and Asphyxia book
			Online reference - too big to	chapter:
		specifically its aversive nature and	upload: EFSA Panel on Animal Health	
		the fear, pain, and	and Welfare (AHAW), Nielsen	
		air hunger it causes	SS, Alvarez J, et al. Welfare of	
		in animals such as	pigs at slaughter. EFSA J.	
		pigs (Steiner 2019).	2020;18(6):e06148. Published	
		Research has found	2020 Jun 17.	
		that, relative to	doi:10.2903/j.efsa.2020.6148	
		other gaseous		
		methods of inducing		
		unconsciousness,		
		CO2 causes pigs		
		"moderate to severe		
		respiratory distress		
		inducedfor a		
		considerable period		
		of time prior to the		
		loss of brain		
		responsiveness"		
		(Raj 1999). Another		
		study characterized		

the respiratory distress caused by CO2 in pigs to be "severe " (Raj 1996). Another study reports: "Inhaled CO2 causes respiratory acidosis and is painful due to the formation of carbonic acid on mucous membranes of the respiratory tract and conjunctiva. It also causes breathlessness (air hunger) and induces a fear response due to its effect on the amygdala" (McEwen 2018). In its report on pig slaughter, the EFSA evaluates CO2 and reports: "It has been demonstrated that pigs find CO2 in high concentrations aversive and, given a free choice, they avoid such atmospheres" and they identify three different mechanisms by which it negatively

	impacts animal	
	welfare: "(1) pain	
	due to formation of	
	carbonic acid on	
	respiratory and	
	ocular membranes,	
	(2) production of so-	
	called air hunger	
	and a feeling of	
	breathlessness and	
	(3) direct	
	stimulation of ion	
	channels within the	
	amygdala	
	associated with the	
	fear response (Raj,	
	2006; Beausoleil	
	and Mellor, 2015;	
	AVMA, 2020)" (EFSA	
	2020 Welfare of pigs	
	at slaughter - doi:	
	10.2903/j.efsa.2020	
	.6148).	
	,	
1		

3729	Manual blunt force trauma	This method should	Manual blunt force trauma for	Dolla Coata E A Cibaan T I
3/29	Manual blunt force trauma	be moved to Tier 3	pigs should be reassigned from	- Dalla Costa, F. A., Gibson, T. J., Oliveira, S. E. O., Gregory, N. G.,
		and the Guidelines	Tier 1 to Tier 3 and described as	Coldebella, A., Faucitano, L.,
		should specify that	"not recommended" for killing	Ludtke, C. B.,
		it should only be	large numbers of animals. This	Buss, L. P., & Dalla Costa, O. A.
		used on small	method should be used only as a	(2020). Evaluation of physical
		numbers of animals.	last resort for depopulation of	euthanasia for neonatal piglets
			piglets. It must be described	on-farm. Journal of
			accurately in the Guidelines.	Animal Science, 98(7), skaa204.
			Manual blunt force trauma is	https://doi.org/10.1093/jas/skaa
			typically performed "by striking	204
			the animal's head with a	- Grist, A., Lines, J. A., Knowles,
			hammer" or "swinging the young	T. G., Mason, C. W., & Wotton, S.
			animal against the floor or a	B. (2018). The Use of a Non-
			wall" (Dalla Costa 2020; Grist	Penetrating
			2018). While this method of	Captive Bolt for the Euthanasia
			killing may result in	of Neonate Piglets. Animals: an
			instantaneous loss of	open access journal from MDPI,
			consciousness when performed	8(4), 48.
			perfectly, it carries a high risk of	https://doi.org/10.3390/ani8040
			negative animal welfare	048
			outcomes because: (1) a high	- Velarde, A. & Dalmau, A. (2018).
			level of skill is required to	Chapter 10 - Slaughter of pigs. In
			perform it properly; (2) it can	M. Špinka (Ed.) Advances in Pig
			lead to prolonged and significant	Welfare.
			pain and distress when	Woodhead Publishing, pp. 295-
			performed imperfectly; and (3)	322.
			operators are highly prone to	https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-
			fatigue (Velarde 2018, Dalla	08-101012-9.00010-1
			Costa 2020, Anderson 2022).	
			The AVMA's Euthanasia	34 AVMA. (2020). AVMA
			Guidelines explain that "[f]atigue	Guidelines for the Euthanasia of
			can lead to inconsistency in	Animals: 2020 Edition.
			application, creating humane	https://www.avma.org/sites/def
			concerns about its efficacious	ault/files/2020-02/Guidelines-
			application to large numbers of	on-Euthanasia-2020.pdf. Page

animals." As a result, "the AVMA encourages those using manually applied blunt force trauma to the head as a euthanasia method to actively search for alternate approaches." Research has found that determining consciousness can be difficult when manual blunt force trauma is used as a killing method. Thus, piglets killed by this method often receive repeated blows—even under controlled research conditions. The EFSA notes that, because this method of killing is "prone to error...the probability of achieving an immediate and humane killing in all cases is low." In recognition that incomplete concussion leads to "pain and fear," the EFSA's expert animal welfare panel does not recommend manual blunt force trauma as an onfarm killing method. In the European Union, this method is not permitted to be used routinely, but only "where there are no other methods available" (Council Directive 1099/2009. 2009. Council Regulation No. 1099/2009 on the protection of animals at the time of killing. Off. J. Eur. Union L303:1-30).

42.
35 AVMA. (2020). AVMA
Guidelines for the Euthanasia of
Animals: 2020 Edition.
https://www.avma.org/sites/def
ault/files/2020-02/Guidelineson-Euthanasia-2020.pdf. Page
42.

- Whiting, T. L., Steele, G. G., Wamnes, S., & Green, C. (2011). Evaluation of methods of rapid mass killing of segregated early weaned piglets. The Canadian Veterinary Journal = La Revue Veterinaire Canadienne, 52(7), 753–758.
- EFSA Panel on Animal Health and Welfare. (2020). Welfare of pigs during killing for purposes other than slaughter. EFSA Journal. European Food Safety Authority, 18(7), e06195. https://doi.org/10.2903/j.efsa.20 20.6195
- Dalla Costa, F. A., Gibson, T. J., Oliveira, S. E. O., Gregory, N. G., Coldebella, A., Faucitano, L., & Dalla Costa,
- O. A. (2019). On-farm pig dispatch methods and stockpeople attitudes on their use. Livestock Science, 221, 1–5. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.livsci.2 019.01.007

			In addition to its impact on animals, performing manual blunt force trauma on a large number of animals carries unacceptable risks to the psychological well-being of operators. For this reason, under E.U. regulations, no one is permitted to kill more than 70 animals per day by this method. Accordingly, to protect both animal and human welfare, manual blunt force trauma should be designated a Tier 3 method.	
3734	This approach can have significant impact on mental health of employees.	This approach can have a significant impact on the mental health of employees. Because of this, under European Union regulations,	The discussion around psychological impacts on workers should be expanded. See page 23 of attached reference for requirements when "percussive blow to the head" is utilized in the EU.	COUNCIL REGULATION (EC) No 1099_2009

workers are not	
permitted to kill	
more than 70	
animals per day wi	th
manual blunt force	
trauma (Council	
Directive	
1099/2009. 2009.	
Council Regulation	
No. 1099/2009 on	
the protection of	
animals at the time	
of killing. Off. J. Eur	
Union L303:1–30.)	

3736	Water based foams	Water-based foam	Water-based foam kills by	Sign on letter
		should be moved to	obstructive asphyxia and/or	-Beausoleil, N. J., & Mellor, D. J.
		Tier 3.	drowning and should be	(2015). Introducing
			downgraded to Tier 3. It is	breathlessness as a significant
			currently identified as Tier 1 for	animal welfare issue.
			pigs. According to the draft	NewZealand Veterinary Journal,
			Guidelines, Tier 1 methods "are	63(1), 44–51.
			supported by multiple sources	https://doi.org/10.1080/0048016
			of evidence suggesting that they	9.2014.940410
			result in rapid loss of	
			consciousness and optimize	-Ludders, J. W., Schmidt, R. H.,
			animal welfare outcomes."	Dein, F. J., & Klein, P. N. (1999).
			However, available evidence	Drowning Is not euthanasia.
			indicates that welfare is severely	Wildlife SocietyBulletin, 27(3),
			compromised when death	666–670.
			occurs via obstruction of the	
			airway.	-EFSA Panel on Animal Health
				and Welfare (2020). Welfare of
			The expert Panel on Animal	pigs during killing for purposes
			Health and Welfare of the	other thanslaughter. EFSA
			European Food Safety Authority	Journal. European Food Safety
			(EFSA) has found that water-	Authority, 18(7), e06195.
			based foam should not be used	https://doi.org/10.2903/j.efsa.20
			because it is "highly painful"	<u>20.6195</u>
			and, as a "method designed to	-EFSA Panel on Animal Health
			cause occlusion of the trachea,"	and Welfare. (2019). Killing for
			is "equivalent to death by	purposes other than slaughter:
			drowning or suffocation." The	poultry. EFSAJournal. European
			AVMA's 2020 Guidelines for the	Food Safety Authority, 17(11),
			Euthanasia of Animals list both	e05850.
			asphyxiation and drowning as	https://doi.org/10.2903/j.efsa.20
			methods that are "unacceptable	19.5850-EFSA Panel on Animal
			as primary methods of	Health and Welfare. (2024). The
			euthanasia," noting specifically	use of high expansion foam for
			that drowning is "inhumane." In	stunning and killing pigsand
			addition, the United Kingdom's	poultry. EFSA Journal. European

governmental Animal Welfare
Committee states that waterbased foam should not be used
for killing animals, noting that
"[w]elfare concerns arise from
this mode of action which is
equivalent to drowning or
suffocation . . . neither of which
are recognised as humane under
European legislation nor the
2018 World Organisation for
Animal Health guidelines on the
killing of animals for disease
control purposes."

Further, even precautions such as ensuring the foam level rapidly rises to two times the animal's head height do not decrease the average time to unconsciousness much below three minutes from the start of foaming—a relatively long period for animals to suffer pain, respiratory distress, fear, anxiety, and helplessness. There is thus significant evidence that using water-based foam to depopulate pigs (or other livestock) is "contrary to good animal welfare." For this reason, and because of the availability of other practical, scalable, higherwelfare methods, the use of water-based foam should be designated a Tier 3 method.

Food Safety Authority, 22(7), e8855.

https://doi.org/10.2903/j.efsa.20 24.8855.

-AVMA. (2020). AVMA Guidelines for the Euthanasia of Animals: 2020 Edition. Page 112.

-United Kingdom Animal Welfare Committee (2024). Opinion on the use of high expansion nitrogen foam deliverysystems for depopulation of poultry flocks affected by notifiable disease in the UK. Available at:https://www.gov.uk/governme nt/publications/awc-opinion-onhigh-expansion-nitrogen-foamfor-cullingpoultry/awc-opinionon-the-use-of-high-expansionnitrogen-foam-for-culling-poultry - Campler, M. R., Cheng, T.Y., Arruda, A. G., Flint, M., Kieffer, J. D., Youngblood, B., & Bowman, A. S. (2023). Refinement of waterbased foam depopulation procedures for finisher pigs during field conditions: Welfareimplications and logistical aspects. Preventive Veterinary Medicine, 217, 105974.https://doi.org/10.1016/j .prevetmed.2023.10597424 Korenyi-Both, J., Vidaurre, J., Held, T., Campler, M. R., Kieffer,

					J., Cheng, T. Y., Moeller, S. J., Bowman, A. S., & Arruda, A. G. (2022). Description of electroencephalographic data gathered using water-based medium-expansionfoam as a depopulation method for nursery pigs. Scientific Reports, 12(1), 16798. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598- 022-21353-7
--	--	--	--	--	---

3740-	Death associated with water-	Death associated	Airway obstruction has not been	- 2023 Epidemiology, clinical
3741	based foam is due to	with water-based	confirmed to be the sole	aspects, and management of
	occlusion of the airway.	foam is believed to	mechanism of deathin pigs.	pediatric drowning:
		be due to occlusion	Drowning or laryngospasm (dry	- 2018 Strangulation,
		of the airway,	drowning) may be a cause of	suffocation, and asphyxia
		however, this has	death in some pigs. Necropsies	- Lunetta P, Modell JH.
		not been definitively	found "thick pink liquid	Macroscopical, microscopical,
		determined to be	containing bubbles derived from	and laboratory findings in
		the case for all	pulmonary edema" and alveolar	drowning victims a
		animals; drowning	hemorrhage in pigs killed with	comprehensive review. In:
		and laryngospasm	water-based foam (Campler	Tsokos M, editor. Forensic
		(dry drowning) have	2025, a preprint which has been	pathology reviews. Totowa, NJ:
		not been ruled out	submitted ot the panel), findings	Humana Press; 2005. p. 3–77.
		as additional	that are consistent with death	- 2018 Drowning and Bodies
		mechanisms. While	via obstructive asphyxia	Recovered from Water book
		post-mortem	(McEwen 2016, McEwen 2018).	chapter
		lesions include the	However, these findings can	- 2016 McEwen BJ. Nondrowning
		presence of a large	also be seen with drowning	asphyxia in veterinary forensic
		amount of	(Lunetta 2005). For example,	pathology: suffocation,
		pulmonary edema	Pellegrino (2023) reports:	strangulation, and mechanical
		fluid (Campler 2025	"During drowning, the individual	asphyxia
		preprint), this	holds their breath consciously	
		finding is	until the internal boost to inspire	
		compatible with	becomes irrepressible and they	
		both obstructive	inhale water. The fluid reaches	
		asphyxia and	the airways, stimulating the	
		drowning as a cause	cough reflex and laryngospasm.	
		of death (McEwen	At this point, water causes	
		2018, McEwen	surfactant loss, leading to	
		2016, Pellegrino	consumption of the alveolar-	
		2023).	capillary membrane, with an	
			increase in its permeability and	
			subsequent generalized	
			pulmonary edema." Lunetta	
			(2005) describes "interstitial and	
			intraalveolar edema and	

hemorrhages" as among the "main light microscopic (LM) signs of drowning" and also describes the pathophysiology of pulmonary edema in drowning ("The penetration of drowning media into the respiratory system increases airway pressures and causes a reactive pulmonary edema.") McEwen et al. (2018) notes that pulmonary edema is often found during necropsy and is considered part of the "drowning process." McEwen (2016) discusses how the pulmonary lesions resulting from strangulation, suffocation, and drowning can appear similar. Whether death occurs due to airway obstruction with foam or airway obstruction with water, the presence of a large amount of pulmonary edema fluid deep in the airways of animals killed with water-based foam supports the assertion by the expert Panel on Animal Health and Welfare of the European Food Safety Authority (EFSA) that waterbased foam should not be used because it is "highly painful" and, as a "method designed to cause occlusion of the trachea," is "equivalent to death by drowning or suffocation."

Online references (too large to attach): -EFSA Panel on Animal Health and Welfare (2020). Welfare of pigs during killing for purposes other than slaughter. EFSA Journal. European Food Safety Authority, 18(7), e06195. https://doi.org/10.2903/j.efsa.20 20.6195 - EFSA Panel on Animal Health and Welfare. (2019). Killing for purposes other than slaughter: poultry. EFSA Journal. European Food Safety Authority, 17(11), e05850. https://doi.org/10.2903/j.efsa.20 19.5850 - EFSA Panel on Animal Health and Welfare. (2024). The use of high expansion foam for stunning and killing pigs and poultry. EFSA Journal. European Food Safety Authority, 22(7), e8855. https://doi.org/10.2903/j.efsa.20 24.8855.

3770-	Time to unconsciousness in	Time to	The cited reference (Arruda	- 2022 Reliability of water-based
3771	sows is 2 min with brain death	unconsciousness	2022) states, "The average time	medium-expansion foam as a
	and 3 min and 10 seconds.30	has been estimated	(SD) to cessation of movement	depopulation method for nursery
		in various studies by	and fatal arrhythmia post foam-	pigs and cull sows
		time until cessation	filling completion was 2.2 min	- Lorbach J N, Campler MR,
		of movement and, in	(34.8 s) and 8.7 min (138.0 s),	Youngblood B, et al. Comparison
		one case, by EEG	respectively." Since "[f]oam was	of gaseous and water-based
		readings.	delivered until a visible overflow	medium-expansion foam
		Interpretation of	of either the bulk container or	depopulation methods in cull
		research findings is	trailer occurred" and "[t]he	sows. Animals (Basel).
		complicated by the	average time (mean ± SD) to fill	2021;11(11):3179.
		decision of	the enclosed trailer with foam	doi:10.3390/ani11113179
		researchers to begin	was 83.0 ± 12.0 s (minimum =	- Capria VM, Arruda AG, Cheng
		counting after the	67.0, maximum = 96.0," animals	TY, et al. Water-based medium-
		container holding	would have experienced the	expansion foam depopulation of
		the animals has	negative affective states (fear,	adult cattle. Trans An Sci.
		been completely	anxiety, respiratory distress, and	2023:7(1):txad065
		filled, to a height	possibly pain) for an average of	- Campler MR, Cheng TY, Arruda
		twice the animals'	3.7 minutes. Many of the other	AG, et al. Refinement of water-
		heads, which may	studies on water-based foam	based foam depopulation
		take up to 4 minutes	have adopted the same	procedures for finisher pigs
		depending on the	convention, "starting the clock"	during field conditions: Welfare
		size of the container	after the container is filled and	implications and logistical
		and the number of	the animals have been	aspects. Prev Vet Med.
		foam generators.	submerged for some time	2023:217:105974.
		Because negative	(Lorbach 2021, Capria 2023,	- Korenyi-Both J, Vidaurre J, Held
		animal welfare	Korenyi-Both 2022). One study	T, et al. Description of
		impacts likely begin	that started measuring at the	electroencephalographic data
		when the foam	start of fill reported a range of	gathered using water-based
		reaches snout	178-204 seconds until cessation	medium-expansion foam as a
		height, or perhaps	of motion, when the most rapid	depopulation method for nursery
		earlier, time to loss	speed of container filling was	pigs. Scientific Reports.
		of consciousness	used (Campler 2023).	2022;12(1):16798
		would better be		- 2023 Water-based foam – cattle
		measured from the		– Capria
		initiation of foam		

generation. The	
studies on water-	
based foam indicate	
that, even with rapid	
fill, time to loss of	
consciousness	
cannot be	
decreased much	
below 3 minutes.	
below 3 minutes.	

3776-	Further work is needed on the	Necropsies of	Water-based, medium	- Rozanski, E., Drobatz, K. J.,
3777	meaning of the presence of	animals	expansion foam causes death in	Hopper, K., & Silverstein, D. C.
	foam in the trachea to	depopulated with	poultry by occluding the airway,	(2018). Respiratory Distress. In
	understand the implications	water-based foam	resulting in obstructive	Textbook of Small Animal
	and the mechanism by which	demonstrate foam	asphyxiation. The porcine	Emergency Medicine (pp. 18–21).
	water-based foam causes	in the airway, and	research on this method has not	John Wiley & Sons, Inc.
	death.	post-mortem	definitively determined whether	https://doi.org/10.1002/9781119
		lesions include a	airway occlusion with foam (i.e.,	028994.ch4
		large amount of	obstructive asphyxiation),	- Beausoleil, N. J., & Mellor, D. J.
		hemorrhagic froth	drowning, or laryngospasm (i.e.,	(2015). Introducing
		throughout the	"dry drowning")—or all three—	breathlessness as a significant
		bronchial tree; such	are its mechanism(s) of killing	animal welfare issue. New
		lesions can be	pigs. As clinicians, many of us	Zealand Veterinary Journal,
		found in animals	are familiar with patients	63(1), 44–51.
		who die from airway	experiencing acute partial	https://doi.org/10.1080/0048016
		obstruction or	airway obstruction and/or	9.2014.940410- 17
		drowning. Based on	pulmonary edema; in either	
		what is known about	instance, such animals are	Ludders, J. W., Schmidt, R. H.,
		the physiologic and	typically in significant distress,	Dein, F. J., & Klein, P. N. (1999).
		clinical response to	showing signs of fear, anxiety,	Drowning Is not euthanasia.
		airway occlusion	and even panic. In emergency	Wildlife Society Bulletin, 27(3),
		(see attached	veterinary medicine, sedation is	666–670.
		references), it is	a standard part of treatment to	
		likely that animals	alleviate "fear and anxiety" in	- 2022 Complete tracheal
		experience fear,	patients with upper airway	obstruction during anaesthesia
		anxiety, and pain	obstruction (Rozanski 2018).	for ventral slot decompression-
		prior to losing	Research sheds light on the	
		consciousness.	physiological mechanisms	- United Kingdom Animal Welfare
		These negative	underlying these affective	Committee (2024). Opinion on
		animal welfare	states. For example, a 2015	the use of high expansion
		impacts require that	paper (Beausoleil) reviewing the	nitrogen foam deliverysystems
		higher welfare	animal welfare impacts of	for depopulation of poultry flocks
		methods be used in	"breathlessness" (often called	affected by notifiable disease in
		preference to water-	"dyspnea" in American	the UK. Available
		based foam, and	publications) explains: "During	at:https://www.gov.uk/governme
		that water-based	both drowning and asphyxia,	nt/publications/awc-opinion-on-

foam be used only when the suffering associated with the method is likely to be significantly less than the suffering resulting from doing nothing.

airflow is occluded and thoracic volume expansion is impossible. Pulmonary gas exchange stops and carbon dioxide and oxygen tensions equilibrate between blood and static air (or water) in the lungs (Ludders et al. 1999). Cellular metabolism continues, first using up the available oxygen and producing an accumulation of carbon dioxide: progressive hypoxaemia and hypercapnia will stimulate automatic drive to breathe. As oxygen is depleted, cells switch to glycolysis which generates a lactacidosis, further enhancing drive to breathe. The complete lack of afferent feedback from pulmonary stretch receptors, superimposed on rapidly rising automatic drive to breathe will produce severe air hunger before loss of consciousness (Ludders et al. 1999)." Rapid onset of hypercarbia has been demonstrated with airway occlusion (Murray 2022). The negative welfare impacts of airway occlusion and drowning are the reason water-based foam is considered "highly painful" and "equivalent to death by drowning or suffocation" by European animal welfare experts. This method is

high-expansion-nitrogen-foamfor-cullingpoultry/awc-opinionon-the-use-of-high-expansionnitrogen-foam-for-culling-poultry not permitted by European legislation nor the 2018 World Organisation for Animal Health guidelines on the killing of animals for disease control purposes.

Online references (too large to upload):

- EFSA Panel on Animal Health and Welfare (2020). Welfare of pigs during killing for purposes other than slaughter. EFSA Journal. European Food Safety Authority, 18(7), e06195.

https://doi.org/10.2903/j.efsa.20 20.6195

- EFSA Panel on Animal Health and Welfare. (2019). Killing for purposes other than slaughter: poultry. EFSAJournal. European Food Safety Authority, 17(11), e05850.

https://doi.org/10.2903/j.efsa.20 19.5850

- EFSA Panel on Animal Health and Welfare. (2024). The use of high expansion foam for stunning and killing pigsand poultry. EFSA Journal. European Food Safety Authority, 22(7), e8855.

https://doi.org/10.2903/j.efsa.20 24.8855.

3778	Nitrogen filled foam	High expansion	Extensive research has	
		nitrogen gas-filled	documented that, properly	2023 Culhane presentation
		foam	deployed such that bubble size	2022 complete tracheal
		[Note: This is a	and expansion ratio are correct,	obstruction
		nitrogen/anoxia	high expansion foam filled with	2015 introducing breathlessness
		based method and,	nitrogen gas causes death via	2016 nondrowning asphyxia in
		while it should be	creating an anoxic environment;	veterinary forensic
		included under Tier	the bubbles are a carrier for the	2018 strangulation, suffocation,
		1, it should <u>not</u> be	gas. Its mechanism of killing is	and asphyxia
		categorized as a	very different from water-based	
		water-based foam.	foam, which relies on airway	
		It should be moved	occlusion (obstructive	
		to Inhaled Methods.]	asphyxia). Physiologic changes,	
			necropsy findings, and affective	
			states differ considerably. To	
			avoid confusion, it is essential	
			that this method be	
			appropriately categorized.	
			When high expansion nitrogen	
			foam is used to create an anoxic	
			or near-anoxic environment, the	
			animals continue to be able to	
			inhale and exhale, and they	
			continue to be able to offload	
			carbon dioxide. Interestingly,	
			creating an anoxic environment	
			via the introduction of nitrogen	
			gas to displace oxygen has been	
			advocated by groups that	
			advance medically assisted	
			dying. In Switzerland, where this	
			practice is legal, a nitrogen	
			capsule is now available to	
			terminally ill human patients	
			seeking this option	

(https://www.exitinternational.n et/sarco/concept/). In contrast, when the airway is occluded, both oxygen exchange and carbon dioxide removal are prevented. It is well understood that, when the airway occluded, blood levels of carbon dioxide rise rapidly (see Murray 2022, attached, which states, "[d]uring airway obstruction, failure to eliminate CO2 will cause the arterial partial pressure of CO2 (PaCO2) to increase, resulting in hypercarbia and respiratory acidosis."). We know that air hunger, a particular type of respiratory distress, results from the combination of rising CO2 levels and the inability to expand the lungs. As described by Beausoleil (2015 - also attached): "During both drowning and asphyxia, airflow is occluded and thoracic volume expansion is impossible. Pulmonary gas exchange stops and carbon dioxide and oxygen tensions equilibrate between blood and static air (or water) in the lungs (Ludders et al. 1999). Cellular metabolism continues, first using up the available oxygen

and producing an accumulation

of carbon dioxide; progressive hypoxaemia and hypercapnia will stimulate automatic drive to breathe. As oxygen is depleted, cells switch to glycolysis which generates a lactacidosis, further enhancing drive to breathe. The complete lack of afferent feedback from pulmonary stretch receptors, superimposed on rapidly rising automatic drive to breathe will produce severe air hunger before loss of consciousness (Ludders et al. 1999)."

This paper also reports that, in humans, air hunger is "reported to be the most unpleasant sensation and probably has the greatest potential to compromise animal welfare." The attached presentation by Marie Culhane (2023) has an informative slide comparing the different types of foam and their mechanisms (slide 13/38).

Until recently, we didn't have good necropsy comparisons for high expansion N2 foam [HENF] and water-based foam [WBF]. A soon-to-be-released paper (Campler 2025 – preprint we believe has been submitted to the Depopulation Panel) that

compared limited necropsy findings from pigs killed by these methods. Unlike pigs killed with HENF and CO2, pigs killed with WBF were most likely to have pulmonary edema and alveolar hemorrhage. This makes sense, given that previous veterinary forensics research indicates that the lesions associated with obstructive asphyxiation differ from those seen with anoxia (sometimes called nonobstructive suffocation). For example, McEwen (2018, attached) states, "Noncardiogenic pulmonary edema occurs in dogs with partial, total, or intermittent airway obstruction," while "nonobstructive suffocation" (due to either replacement of O2 with other gases or with depletion of O2 in the environment) are nonspecific. McEwen (2016, attached) notes "there are often no macroscopic lesions in nonobstructive suffocation," whereas "partial, total or intermittent airway obstruction causes noncardiogenic pulmonary edema."

3809	At the publication of this	Add carbon dioxide	Carbon dioxide- and carbon	- 2019 Humanely Ending
	document, there are no Tier 2	gassing and carbon	monoxide-based methods	the Life of Animals -
	methods to describe.	monoxide to this	should be moved to Tier 2 for	Research Priorities to
		Tier	animal welfare reasons. Please	Identify Alternatives to
			see comments related to the	C02
			text of these sections.	- 1997 Raj Welfare
				Implications of Gas
				Stunning Pigs: 3. the Time
				to Loss of Somatosensory
				Evoked Potentials &
				Spontaneous
				Electrocorticogram of
				Pigs During Expsoure to
				Gases
				- 1996 Raj Welfare
				Implications of the gas
				stunning of pigs: 2 stress
				of induction of
				anesthesia."
				- 2018 Strangulation,
				Suffocation, and Asphyxia
				book chapter:

3812-	Sodium nitrite	Sodium nitrite	This section lacks a discussion	2015 Introducing breathlessness
3814	High doses of sodium nitrite	Sodium nitrite	of the negative affective states	as a significant animal welfare
3014	have been used in various bait	converts	associated with	issue
	forms for the control of feral	hemoglobin in red	methemoglobinemia and	5. Lower A. Evaluation of
	swine through the induction	blood cells to	vomiting. Discussion of the	Sodium Nitrite for Mass
	of methemoglobinemia when	methemoglobin and	animal welfare impacts of	Euthanasia of Commercial Pigs –
	an adequate amount of bait is	thus prevents	different methods is essential if	NPB #20-118. Carthage
	ingested.	oxygen transport	the Guidelines are to serve their	Veterinary Services, LTD; 2020.
		(Lower 2020). At	stated purpose.	https://porkcheckoff.org/wp-
		high doses, it can		content/uploads/2021/02/20-
		lead to death by		118-LOWER-final-rpt.pdf
		brain and tissue		6. Institute of Medical and
		hypoxia. High doses		Veterinary Science. Assessing
		of sodium nitrite		the Humaneness and Efficacy of
		have been used in		a New Feral Pig Bait in Domestic
		various bait forms		Pigs, Report for the Australian
		for the control of		Government Department of the
		feral swine through		Environment, Water, Heritage
		the induction of		and the Arts.; 2010.
		methemoglobinemi		7. Pepin B. Determine
		a when an adequate		Effective Oral Dosing of Sodium
		amount of bait is		Nitrite for Efficient Euthanasia of
		ingested. However,		Adult Swine Using Oral Drench
		use of sodium nitrite		Technique – NPB #20-122.
		to kill pigs results in		Pipestone Veterinary Services;
		severe welfare		2020.
		compromise.		https://porkcheckoff.org/wp-
		Because of its		content/uploads/2021/02/20-
		mechanism of		122-PEPIN-final-rpt.pdf
		action, pigs who		8. Cowled BD, Elsworth P,
		ingest sodium nitrite		Lapidge SJ. Additional toxins for
		experience dyspnea		feral pig (Sus scrofa) control:
		(labored breathing		identifying and testing Achilles'
		or breathlessness)		heels. Wildl Res. 2008;35(7):651.
		that begins about 30		doi:10.1071/WR07072
		to 60 minutes after		

ingestion and progressively worsens until they die (Pepin 2020, Cowled 2008). As the dyspnea is the result of prolonged hypoxemia (low oxygen levels in the blood), it is experienced as "air hunger" (Beausoleil 2015). Described as "extremely unpleasant and distressing" by humans, air hunger is regarded as the type of breathlessness with the greatest potential to compromise animal welfare. In addition, sodium nitrite poisoning causes multiple episodes of vomiting in roughly half to two-thirds of pigs who ingest it [Lower 2020, Institute of Medical and Veterinary Science 2010, Pepin 2020, Cowled 2008), and pigs killed with

	sodium nitrite	
	exhibit a large	
	increase in the	
	stress hormone	
	cortisol prior to	
	death (Institute of	
	Medical and	
	Veterinary Science	
	2010).	

_		1	T	
3839-	Ventilation shut down plus	Ventilation shut	This section fails to include a	- the rise of heatstroke-
3843	heat and humidity (VSD+)	down plus heat and	discussion of the welfare	-Baysinger 2021 VSD+TH
	Recognizing the limitations of	humidity (VSD+)	impacts of VSD+ and the	- Bruchim, Y.; Horowitz, M.;
	VSD and the desire to achieve	Ventilation	potential for pigs to sustain	Aroch, I. Pathophysiology of
	a quicker time to death, the	shutdown plus heat	burns of the skin and airway at	heatstroke in dogs—Revisited.
	use of additional	and humidity	the temperature and humidity	Temperature 2017, 4, 356–370.
	techniques/tools have been	involves confining	conditions created by VSD+TH. It	[Google Scholar] [CrossRef]
	added (such as heat and	pigs to a barn	is essential that veterinarians	[PubMed] [Green Version]
	humidity) to VSD resulting in	previously	and others considering	
	what is often referred to as	retrofitted for VSD+	implementing this method be	-Bruchim, Y.; Loeb, E.;
	VSD plus. It is recommended	and adding heat and	aware of this information, as it is	Saragusty, J.; Aroch, I.
	that VSD plus approaches be	steam to induce	essential for determining, for all	Pathological findings in dogs
	prioritized as much as	heatstroke in the	Tier 3 methods, whether "the risk	with fatal heatstroke. J. Comp.
	possible over VSD.	animals (Baysinger	of doing nothing is likely to have	Pathol. 2009, 140, 97–104.
		2021, Reyes-Illg	a reasonable chance of resulting	[Google Scholar] [CrossRef]
		2023). Killing via	in significantly more animal	[PubMed]
		heatstroke severely	suffering than that associated	-Koljonen, V. Hot air sauna
		compromises	with the proposed depopulation	burns—Review of their etiology
		animal welfare for a	method" (lines 532-533).	and treatment. J. Burn Care Res.
		prolonged period		2009, 30, 705–710. [Google
		(Reyes-Illg 2023).		Scholar] [CrossRef]
		For example,		-Kluger, N.; Laipio, J.; Virolainen,
		clinical sequelae of		S.; Ranki, A.; Koljonen, V. A Fatal
		heatstroke are		Case of Hot Air Sauna Burn in an
		described as		Elderly Patient Initially
		including		Misdiagnosed as Bullous
		"distributive shock,		Pemphigoid. Acta Derm.
		gastrointestinal		Venerol. 2011, 91, 732–733.
		bleeding and		[Google Scholar] [CrossRef]
		sloughing with		[Green Version]
		attendant vomiting		
		and hemorrhagic		-Ghods, M.; Corterier, C.; Zindel,
		diarrhea, abdominal		K.; Kiene, M.; Rudolf, K.; Steen,
		organomegaly,		M. Hot air sauna burns. Burns
		rhabdomyolysis,		2008, 34, 122–124. [Google
		acute respiratory		Scholar] [CrossRef] [PubMed]
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	l .	

distress syndrome, brain injury and neurological abnormalities, multiorgan dysfunction, and coagulopathies, including disseminated intravascular coagulation (DIC), frequently ending in hemorrhagic diathesis. In dogs, the cause of death in fatal heat stroke is typically shock and respiratory failure due to accumulation of frothy, hemorrhagic fluid in the airways" (Reyes-Illg 2023). In addition, the high levels of temperature and humidity that have been used in an attempt to reduce the time to death in pigs subjected to VSD+ raise concerns about the potential for burns. Reyes-Illg (2023) notes: "It can be

- Koski, A.; Koljonen, V.; Vuola, J. Rhabdomyolysis caused by hot air sauna burn. Burns 2005, 31, 776–779. [Google Scholar] [CrossRef] [PubMed] Papp, A. Sauna-related burns: A review of 154 cases treated in Kuopio University Hospital Burn Center 1994-2000. Burn. J. Int. Soc. Burn Inj. 2002, 28, 57–59. [Google Scholar] [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Kudchadkar, S.R.; Hamrick, J.T.; Mai, C.L.; Berkowitz, I.; Tunkel, D. The heat is on... thermal epiglottitis as a late presentation of airway steam injury. J. Emerg. Med. 2014, 46, e43–e46. [Google Scholar] [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Hathaway, P.B.; Stern, E.J.;
 Harruff, R.C.; Heimbach, D.M.
 Steam inhalation causing
 delayed airway occlusion. Ajr
 Am. J. Roentgenol. 1976, 166,
 322. [Google Scholar] [CrossRef]
 [Green Version]
 Zhai, L.; Adlhart, C.; Spano, F.;
 Innocenti Malini, R.; Piątek, A.K.;
 Li, J.; Rossi, R.M. Prediction of
 Steam Burns Severity using
 Raman Spectroscopy on ex vivo
 Porcine Skin. Sci. Rep. 2018, 8,
 6946. [Google Scholar]
 [CrossRef] [Green Version]

speculated that, in pigs, VSD+TH [VSD+high temperature and humidity] may cause severe burns prior to LOC [loss of consciousness], especially at the higher reported temperature ranges. Pigs are frequently used in burn research because of the extensive anatomical and physiological similarities between porcine and human skin. Temperature conditions at the high end of the range reported for VSD+TH are similar to those known to cause second- and third-degree hot air sauna burns (HASB) and rhabdomyolysis in humans who lose consciousness or become immobile in a sauna for as little as 30 min. As discussed above. when VSD+TH is

- Holm, S.; Engström, O.; Melander, M.; Horvath, M.C.S.; Fredén, F.; Lipcsey, M.; Huss, F. Cutaneous steam burns and steam inhalation injuries: A literature review and a case presentation. Eur. J. Plast. Surg. 2022, 45, 881-896. [Google Scholar] [CrossRef] - Shamohammadi, H.; Mehrabi, S.; Sadrizadeh, S.; Yaghoubi, M.; Abouali, O. 3D numerical simulation of hot airflow in the human nasal cavity and trachea. Comput. Biol. Med. 2022, 147, 105702. [Google Scholar] [CrossRef] - Wan, J.; Zhang, G.; Qiu, Y.; Wen, C.; Fu, T. Heat dissipation by blood circulation and airway tissue heat absorption in a canine model of inhalational thermal injury. Burns 2016, 42, 548-555. [Google Scholar] [CrossRef] - Moritz, A.R.; Henriques, F.C.; McLean, R. The Effects of Inhaled Heat on the Air Passages and Lungs: An Experimental Investigation. Am. J. Pathol. 1945, 21, 311-331. [Google Scholar]

performed, the temperature within the barn may be raised as high as 76.7 °C (170.1 °F), well within the range of temperatures found in saunas (70-100 °C, or 158–212 °F). The introduction of steam with VSD+TH to raise the humidity to a minimum of 90% creates humidity levels similar to those of a steam room. Because of the heat-carrying capacity of steam, steam rooms are typically kept at cooler temperatures than saunas, around 43.3-48.9 °C (110-120 °F), to prevent thermal discomfort (https://www.sauna society.org/saunatypes). Research using ex vivo porcine skin has shown that temperature and humidity conditions similar to those created during

	VSD+TH (70 °C or
	158 °F, relative
	humidity of 75%)
	increase the
	permeability of the
	stratum corneum as
	much as 50 times
	compared to room
	temperature and
	lead to burns of the
	underlying dermis
	before damage to
	the epidermis is
	apparent. Such
	steam burns are
	generally
	considered more
	severe than burns
	from hot dry air.
	Both HASB and
	steam burns may
	initially present with
	limited visually
	apparent skin
	changes, which
	would make it
	difficult for
	veterinarians
	overseeing VSD+TH
	to recognize such
	injuries post-
	mortem. While
	researchers in the
	VSD+TH report
	indicate that they
	took care to avoid
·	

burning pigs with steam as it was discharged from the steam generators, these measures may not protect against burns from hot, highly humidified air. Research on humans and dogs suggests that, at the high end of the temperature range of VSD+TH, inhalation burns may also be possible. This risk may be heightened by the high level of humidity, which carries heat deeper into the respiratory tract." Necropsies have not been performed in pigs killed with VSD+. Because of its prolonged and severely negative impact on animal welfare, VSD+ is not recommended as a depopulation method.

3869-	When ventilation systems fail,	In addition to	The cited reference provides no	- The Rise of Heatstroke as a
3870	pigs may suffer distress or	severely	support for this sentence. While	Method of Depopulating Pigs and
	death due to lack of oxygen or	compromising	the author of the cited reference	Poultry: Implications for the US
	excessive CO2.40	animal welfare for a	conjectures that "If ventilation	Veterinary Profession
		prolonged period	fails, pigs may suffer distress or	- Baysinger - VSD+TH
		(Reyes-Illg 2023),	death by what is commonly	- Bruchim, Y.; Horowitz, M.;
		use of VSD alone	called 'suffocation'" - implying	Aroch, I. Pathophysiology of
		was found	lack of oxygen or excessive CO2	heatstroke in dogs—Revisited.
		ineffective as a	- the reference states that	Temperature 2017, 4, 356–370.
		depopulation	"neither O2 nor CO2 levels"	[Google Scholar] [CrossRef]
		method (Baysinger	were monitored during the	[PubMed] [Green Version]
		2021).	accidental failure of the	- Bruchim, Y.; Loeb, E.;
			ventilation systems in the two	Saragusty, J.; Aroch, I.
			cases. In one of the case	Pathological findings in dogs
			studies, none of the animals	with fatal heatstroke. J. Comp.
			died. In the other study, "around	Pathol. 2009, 140, 97–104.
			30 sows died" after 16 hours,	[Google Scholar] [CrossRef]
			during which barn temperature	[PubMed]
			was documented to rise	- Pathophysiology and
			significantly, to over 95 °F (35	pathological findings of
			°C). All available research	heatstroke in dogs
			suggests that heatstroke is the	
			cause of death with VSD, and	
			the "clinical sequelae of	
			heatstroke are concerning.	
			Across species, they include	
			distributive shock,	
			gastrointestinal bleeding and	
			sloughing with attendant	
			vomiting and hemorrhagic	
			diarrhea, abdominal	
			organomegaly, rhabdomyolysis,	
			acute respiratory distress	
			syndrome, brain injury and	
			neurological abnormalities,	
			multiorgan dysfunction, and	

			coagulopathies, including disseminated intravascular coagulation (DIC), frequently ending in hemorrhagic diathesis. In dogs, the cause of death in fatal heat stroke is typically shock and respiratory failure due to accumulation of frothy, hemorrhagic fluid in the airways [68]" (Reyes-Illg 2023).	
4117- 4119	In rare cases, the use of sedatives or anesthesia	In rare cases, the use of sedatives or	With proper training, administration of	
	delivered via a dart gun might	anesthesia	sedatives/anxiolytics/anesthetic	
	be considered before the	delivered via a dart	via dart gun should reduce	
	depopulation method is	gun might be considered before	stress significantly in non-tame	
	applied. The perceived benefit should be weighed against	the depopulation	animals. Proper training, such as through a Safe-Capture course,	
	the risk of incomplete	method is applied.	is required.	
	sedation and increased stress	The perceived		
	induced by this method.	benefit should be		
		weighed against the		
		risk of incomplete		
		sedation and increased stress		
		induced by this		
		method.		
		Completion of		
		regular training		

4314-	While both landmarks are	programs in safe administration of chemical immobilization and anesthesia via darting is recommended in scenarios in which this might be needed (e.g., Safe-Capture (R) via San Diego Zoo Wildlife Alliance Academy - https://sdzwaacademy.org/safecapture/index.php).	Given that the research paper	Gibson TJ, Whitehead C, Taylor
4316	considered acceptable for	landmarks are	cited concluded: "The	R, Sykes O, Chancellor NM,
	captive bolt placement, if the	considered	behavioural/cranial/spinal	Limon G. Pathophysiology 4482
	frontal site is used increased	acceptable for	responses and the gross	of penetrating captive bolt
	restraint of the animal may be	captive bolt	pathology results of this study	stunning in Alpacas (Vicugna
	required to prevent	placement, the	suggest that the preferred	pacos). Meat Science.
	movement that would result	crown site is	shooting position for alpacas is	2015;100:227-231.4483
	in incorrect placement.	strongly	on midline on top of the head	doi:10.1016/j.meatsci.2014.10.0
		recommended due	(crown position). Shooting in this	22
		to the higher risk of	position maximises the	
		incomplete	probability of the bolt	
		concussion when the frontal site is	penetrating into or damaging the parietal/occipital lobes,	
		used, particularly if	thalamus, midbrain, pons or	
		an increased	medulla. Damage to these	
		restraint (which may	structures was found to be	
		negatively impact	associated with decreased odds	
		welfare) is not	of incomplete concussion," this	
		employed (Ginson	position should be strongly	
		2015).	recommended in the	

			Depopulation Guidelines, rather than concluding that either site is acceptable.	
4337-4341	Carbon dioxide inhalation as a form of euthanasia has been evaluated in young goat kids (< 3 weeks of age). Aversion testing suggests that concentrations below 70% CO2 are not aversive to goat kids, as they were willing to freely enter a test chamber containing up to 70% concentration to receive a milk meal.13 All kids entering the chamber of 70% CO2 lost consciousness while consuming the meal.	Carbon dioxide inhalation as a form of euthanasia has been evaluated in young goat kids (< 2 weeks of age). Aversion testing suggests that concentrations below 30% CO2 lead to a level of aversion insufficient to prevent feeding in these neonates. However, the authors concluded, "It is likely that pain was experienced by all kids during exposure to all CO2 concentrations" (Withrock 2015). The authors speculate that pain perception in this study may have been impacted by concurrent	The single study cited is a master's thesis that only tested CO2 concentrations up to 30%, not 70%. It concluded that "It is likely that pain was experienced by all kids during exposure to all CO2 concentrations. This is supported by the increase in treatment vocalizations during gas treatment days compared to both baseline and washout days, which agrees with previous literature reporting vocalizations as an indicator of stress in kids (Price and Thos, 1980, Lyons et al., 1993, Siebert et al., 2011)Although 30% CO2 had fewer vocalizations than 20% CO2, the difference in vocalization frequency can likely be attributed to the shortened latency to ataxia and unconsciousness during 30%." It also noted that consuming food while being exposed to the elevated CO2 concentrations may have impacted pain	- 2015 The use of carbon dioxide (CO2) as an alternative euthanasia method for goat kids-2019 Humanely Ending the Life of Animals - Research Priorities to Identify Alternatives to CO2 -1997 Raj Welfare Implications of Gas Stunning Pigs: 3. the Time to Loss of Somatosensory Evoked Potentials & Spontaneous Electrocorticogram of Pigs During Expsoure to Gases - 1996 Raj Welfare Implications of the gas stunning of pigs: 2 stress of induction of anesthesia." - 2018 Strangulation, Suffocation, and Asphyxia book chapter:
		consumption of	perception, "a circumstance	

food, something unlikely to occur during depopulation. Exposure to moderate to high levels of CO2 has been confirmed to be painful and causes affective states such as respiratory distress and anxiety in other species (see attached references).

that would likely not occur in practice."

The kids in the study were
"acquired between 1-7 days of
age" and "received at least 3
days of acclimation," that is,
they were extremely young
animals who may not have been
developed sufficiently to
demonstrate other signs of
aversion or may be more tolerant
of hypercarbia/hypercapnia than
even slightly older animals.

This section fails to discuss the welfare concerns associated with the use of CO2, including pain, respiratory distress, anxiety, and other negative affective states in other species. For example:

- -"Based on the time to loss of SEPs [somatosensory evoked potentials], it is concluded that during killing with a high concentration of carbon dioxide, pigs would have to endure a moderate to severe respiratory distress induced with this gas for a considerable period of time prior to the loss of brain responsiveness" (Raj 1999).
- "The results indicated that exposure to 2 per cent oxygen in argon (anoxia) induced minimal

respiratory distress, 30 per cent carbon dioxide in argon with 2 per cent residual oxygen induced a moderate distress and exposure to all the concentrations of carbon dioxide in air induced severe respiratory distress in the pigs" (Raj 1996). - "Inhaled CO2 causes respiratory acidosis and is painful due to the formation of carbonic acid on mucous membranes of the respiratory tract and conjunctiva. It also causes breathlessness (air hunger) and induces a fear response due to its effect on the amygdala" (McEwen 2018). And -"It has been demonstrated that pigs find CO2 in high concentrations aversive and, given a free choice, they avoid such atmospheres (Raj and Gregory, 1995; EFSA, 2004). CO2 itself causes irritation of the nasal mucosa and exposure is therefore inducing a painful sensation (Steiner et al., 2019). CO2 has the potential to cause welfare consequences via three different mechanisms: (1) pain due to formation of carbonic acid on respiratory and ocular membranes, (2) production of so-called air hunger and a

feeling of breathlessness and (3) direct stimulation of ion channels within the amygdala associated with the fear response (Raj, 2006; Beausoleil and Mellor, 2015; AVMA, 2020)" (EFSA 2020 Welfare of pigs at slaughter - doi: 10.2903/j.efsa.2020.6148). Online references (too large to attach): - EFSA Panel on Animal Health and Welfare (AHAW), Nielsen SS, Alvarez J, et al. Welfare of pigs at slaughter. *EFSA J*. 2020;18(6):e06148. Published 2020 Jun 17. doi:10.2903/j.efsa.2020.6148 - EFSA AHAW Panel (EFSA Panel on Animal Health and Welfare), Nielsen SS, Alvarez J, et al. Welfare of sheep and goats during killing for purposes other than slaughter. *EFSA J*. 2024;22(6):e8835. Published 2024 Jun 26. doi:10.2903/j.efsa.2024.8835

4343-	Death by CO2 inhalation has	Death via inhalation	The Guidelines suggest it is not	
4344	not been evaluated in older	of carbon dioxide	clear whether CO2 is aversive to	- 2016 Assessment of aversion
	goats or sheep.	has been evaluated	small ruminants of different age	and unconsciousness during
		in juvenile and adult	groups. However, it fails to	exposure to carbon dioxide at
		sheep and has been	incorporate some current	high concentration in lambs
		determined to	research.	- EFSA Welfare of Sheep and
		cause respiratory		Goats During Killing for Purposes
		distress, fear, pain,	According to Rodriguez (2016),	Other than Slaughter.
		and stress, similar	"Exposure to CO2 at high	
		to other mammalian	concentration induces effective	
		species (Rodriguez	stunning in sheep for a period of	
		2019; EFSA Welfare	124 s. However, during	
		of Sheep and Goats	exposure, the animals exhibited	
		During Killing for	signs of aversion and	
		Purposes Other	breathlessness." Specifically, it	
		than Slaughter	found, "During the CO2	
		2024)	exposure, 93% of the lambs	
			exhibited head-shake and	
			sneezing, when brain activity is	
			not depressed and lambs are	
			still conscious, indicating	
			aversion to the carbon dioxide	
			concentration. These results are	
			consistent across a variety of	
			species, such as chickens	
			(Gallus gallus domesticus),	
			turkeys (Meleagris gallopavo),	
			pigs and mink (Neovison vison)	
			(Raj & Gregory 1995, 1996; Raj	
			1996; Cooper et al 1998), which	
			have shown that they perceive	
			carbon dioxide as being	
			aversive. This aversion to CO2	
			has been reported to be greater	
			than motivation to feed (in a CO2	
			atmosphere) after overnight	

fasting in pigs, poultry and rats (Raj & Gregory 1995; Raj 1996; Kirkden et al. 2005). It also agrees with Cantieni (1976), who found that the majority of the pigs tested preferred to go without water for 72 h rather than endure exposure to carbon dioxide again. The aversive effect of 90% CO2 is due to stimulation of highly sensitised CO2 nociceptors in the nasal mucosae and lungs (Peppel & Anton 1993), where the presence of CO2-sensitive chemoreceptors has been described (Manning & Schwartzstein 1995). Additionally, carbon dioxide induces severe respiratory distress causing hyperventilation and a sense of breathlessness during the induction phase prior to loss of consciousness (Gregory et al. 1990; Danneman et al .1997). Gasping was also exhibited by 42% of the lambs before loss of consciousness (at 21 s), and occurred at the same time that pCO2 increased and pO2 and blood pH decreased compared to basal levels. Gasping is a rudimentary respiratory activity occurring through the mouth, and is associated with

breathlessness during the inhalation of CO2 (Raj & Gregory 1996; Llonch et al. 2012). Afterwards, hypercapnia increased respiration rate (from 22.8 [± 0.93] to 37.3 [± 0.90] respiratory movements per min) at 23 s of CO2 exposure, provoking respiratory distress (Raj & Gregory 1996)." Rodriguez (2016) goes on to note that lambs don't vocalize in painful or fearful situations, but they react to aversion and breathlessness via headshaking with sneezing, gasping, and increased RR. It concludes: "The fact that these behaviours occur when the animal is conscious is evidence that induction of CO2 anaesthesia is not immediate and lambs may suffer from fear, pain and/or stress during immersion into gas. The presence of these behaviours clearly indicates aversion to exposure to an atmosphere with a high concentration of CO2."

The recently released EFSA
Welfare of Sheep and Goats
during killing for purposes other
than slaughter concurs:
"exposure to CO2 at high
concentrations does not cause
immediate loss of

consciousness and lambs may experience discomfort, pain, fear and/or distress." It should be noted that the EFSA's 2024 scientific opinion, Welfare of Sheep and Goats During Killing for Purposes Other than Slaughter, cites numerous studies on the subject of CO2 gassing in small ruminants that have not been included in the draft Depopulation Guidelines, but should be. The EFSA expert panel concluded with 90–100% certainty that the following statement would apply to at least 50% of sheep and goats: "Exposure to CO2 at high concentrations (higher than 90% by volume) causes pain and fear in sheep and goats such in other species like pigs."

4363	Water-based foams	Water-based foam	Water-based foam as a	Sign on letter
		should be moved to	depopulation method should be	16 Beausoleil, N. J., & Mellor, D.
		Tier 3	downgraded to Tier 3. Available	J. (2015). Introducing
			evidence indicates that welfare	breathlessness as a significant
			is severely compromised when	animal welfare issue. New
			death occurs via obstruction of	Zealand Veterinary Journal,
			the airway (Beausoleil 2015;	63(1), 44–51.
			Ludders 1999). The expert Panel	https://doi.org/10.1080/0048016
			on Animal Health and Welfare of	9.2014.940410
			the European Food Safety	17 Ludders, J. W., Schmidt, R. H.,
			Authority (EFSA) has found that	Dein, F. J., & Klein, P. N. (1999).
			water-based foam should not be	Drowning Is not euthanasia.
			used because it is "highly	Wildlife Society
			painful" and, as a "method	Bulletin, 27(3), 666–670.
			designed to cause occlusion of	18 EFSA Panel on Animal Health
			the trachea," is "equivalent to	and Welfare (2020). Welfare of
			death by drowning or	pigs during killing for purposes
			suffocation." The AVMA's 2020	other than
			Guidelines for the Euthanasia of	slaughter. EFSA Journal.
			Animals list both asphyxiation	European Food Safety Authority,
			and drowning as methods that	18(7), e06195.
			are "unacceptable as primary	https://doi.org/10.2903/j.efsa.20
			methods of euthanasia," noting	20.6195
			specifically that drowning is	19 EFSA Panel on Animal Health
			"inhumane." In addition, the	and Welfare. (2019). Killing for
			United Kingdom's governmental	purposes other than slaughter:
			Animal Welfare Committee	poultry. EFSA
			states that water-based foam	Journal. European Food Safety
			should not be used for killing	Authority, 17(11), e05850.
			animals, noting that "[w]elfare	https://doi.org/10.2903/j.efsa.20
			concerns arise from this	19.5850
			mode of action which is	20 EFSA Panel on Animal Health
			equivalent to drowning or	and Welfare. (2024). The use of
			suffocation neither of which	high expansion foam for stunning
			are recognised as humane under	and killing pigs
			European legislation nor the	and poultry. EFSA Journal.

2018 World Organisation for Animal Health guidelines on the killing of animals for disease control purposes." Further, even precautions such as ensuring the foam level rapidly rises to two times the animal's head height do not decrease the average time to unconsciousness much below three minutes from the start of foaming—a relatively long period for animals to suffer pain, respiratory distress, fear, anxiety, and helplessness—and there have been no studies carried out on use of waterbased foam in sheep or goats. There is significant evidence that using water-based foam to depopulate mammals is "contrary to good animal welfare." For this reason, and because of the availability of other practical, scalable, higherwelfare methods, the use of water-based foam should be designated a Tier 3 method.

European Food Safety Authority, 22(7), e8855. https://doi.org/10.2903/j.efsa.20 24.8855. 21 AVMA. (2020). AVMA Guidelines for the Euthanasia of Animals: 2020 Edition. Page 112. 22 United Kingdom Animal Welfare Committee (2024). Opinion on the use of high expansion nitrogen foam delivery systems for depopulation of poultry flocks affected by notifiable disease in the UK. Available at: https://www.gov.uk/government /publications/awc-opinion-onhigh-expansion-nitrogen-foamfor-cullingpoultry/awc-opinionon-the-use-of-high-expansionnitrogen-foam-for-culling-poultry - Campler, M. R., Cheng, T.-Y., Arruda, A. G., Flint, M., Kieffer, J.

D., Youngblood, B., & Bowman, A. S. (2023).
Refinement of water-based foam depopulation procedures for finisher pigs during field conditions: Welfare implications and logistical aspects. Preventive Veterinary Medicine, 217, 105974.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.prevet med.2023.105974

- Korenyi-Both, J., Vidaurre, J., Held, T., Campler, M. R., Kieffer,

	J., Cheng, T. Y., Moeller, S. J., Bowman, A. S., & Arruda, A. G. (2022). Description of electroencephalographic data gathered using water-based medium-expansion foam as a depopulation method for nursery pigs. Scientific Reports, 12(1), 16798. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598- 022-21353-7 - Capria VM, Arruda AG, Cheng TY, et al. Water-based medium- expansion foam depopulation of adult cattle. Trans An Sci. 2023:7(1):txad065 - EFSA AHAW Panel (EFSA Panel on Animal Health and Welfare), Nielsen SS, Alvarez J, et al. Welfare of sheep and goats during killing for purposes other than slaughter. EFSA J. 2024;22(6):e8835. Published 2024 Jun 26. doi:10.2903/j.efsa.2024.8835
--	---

Water based foams 4363-4369 Studies have evaluated the use of water-based foams and provided sufficient evidence of their efficacy to include them as a viable tier 2 option for sheep and goats. Phos-check Class A AFFF concentrate mixed according to label directions can be used in an any solid side vessel or pen. Dwell times of 15 minutes should be used to assure efficacy. These techniques have not been validated in captive cervids but deserve additional study. It is important to utilize foam that is free of PFAFs as these pose significant environmental and public health risks, 15

Water based foams Studies have evaluated the use of water-based foams in poultry and pigs, although no studies have focused primarily on the welfare impacts of this method. This technique has not been validated in captive cervids or small ruminants. While submerging animals in waterbased foam is effective at killing pigs after several minutes, this mechanism of killing (obstructive asphyxia) results in an extremely increased drive to breathe (due to rapidly worsening hypercarbia (Murray 2022)) combined with an inability to expand the lungs. Such physiologic changes in a conscious animal result in severe air hunger, a type of

There has been no research on use of water-based foam for sheep and goats. While it has shown to kill most pigs after a sufficient dwell time, animal welfare is severely compromised prior to losing consciousness. Accurate information about its mechanism of killing and the likely accompanying affective states must be provided for an accurate assessment of this method.

EFSA Welfare of Sheep and Goats During Killing for Purposes Other than Slaughter.

- 2022 Complete tracheal obstruction during anaesthesia for ventral slot decompression
- Beausoleil, N. J., & Mellor, D. J. (2015). Introducing breathlessness as a significant animal welfare issue. New Zealand Veterinary Journal, 63(1), 44-51.

https://doi.org/10.1080/0048016 9.2014.940410

- Ludders, J. W., Schmidt, R. H., Dein, F. J., & Klein, P. N. (1999). Drowning Is not euthanasia. Wildlife Society Bulletin, 27(3), 666-670.
- EFSA Panel on Animal Health and Welfare (2020). Welfare of pigs during killing for purposes other thanslaughter. EFSA Journal. European Food Safety Authority, 18(7), e06195. https://doi.org/10.2903/j.efsa.20

20.6195

- EFSA Panel on Animal Health and Welfare. (2019). Killing for purposes other than slaughter: poultry. EFSAJournal. European Food Safety Authority, 17(11), e05850.

https://doi.org/10.2903/j.efsa.20 19.5850

respiratory distress that negatively and severely impacts animal welfare (Beausoleil 2015). Airway occlusion or drowning (a potential concern if the foam has a very high water content/low expansion ratio) results in pulmonary edema (McEwen 2018, Lunetta 2005, McEwen 2016, McEwen 2018). Both airway obstruction and pulmonary edema result in anxiety and fear. Because of the negative affective states caused by obstructive asphyxia, methods relying on this mechanism of killing are not legally permitted in the EU or UK, and are not recognized as humane under the 2018 World Organisation for **Animal Health**

- EFSA Panel on Animal Health and Welfare. (2024). The use of high expansion foam for stunning and killing pigs and poultry. EFSA Journal. European Food Safety Authority, 22(7), e8855. https://doi.org/10.2903/j.efsa.2024.8855.
- United Kingdom Animal Welfare Committee (2024). Opinion on the use of high expansion nitrogen foam deliverysystems for depopulation of poultry flocks affected by notifiable disease in the UK. Available at:https://www.gov.uk/governme nt/publications/awc-opinion-onhigh-expansion-nitrogen-foamfor-cullingpoultry/awc-opinionon-the-use-of-high-expansionnitrogen-foam-for-culling-poultry - 2018 Drowning and Bodies Recovered from Water book chapter
- 2005 Macroscopical, microscopical, and laboratory findings in drowning victims a comprehensive review-Macroscopical, microscopical, and laboratory findings in drowning victims a comprehensive review
- 2016 Nondrowning asphyxia in veterinary forensic pathology_ suffocation, strangulation & mech asphyxia

guidelines on the	- 2018 strangulation, suffocation
killing of animals for	& asphyxia
disease control	
purposes. Water-	
based foam that	
relies on obstructive	
asphyxia and/or	
drowning is	
therefore	
categorized as a Tier	
3 method whose	
use should be	
avoided unless it is	
the only possible	
option and will	
relieve more	
suffering than it	
causes. Phos-check	
Class A AFFF	
concentrate mixed	
according to label	
directions can be	
used in any solid	
side vessel or pen.	
Dwell times of 15	
minutes should be	
used to assure	
efficacy. It is	
important to utilize	
foam that is free of	
PFAFs as these pose	
significant	
environmental and	
public health risks.	

4374-	Due to low numbers of	Due to low numbers	If numbers are sufficiently low,	
4375	animals, these settings can	of animals, these	use of euthanasia methods,	
1070	generally be handled with Tier	settings can	rather than depopulation	
	1 methods.	generally be	methods, must be	
	i modiodo.	handled with	recommended. This sentence	
		euthanasia	must be changed to be coherent	
		methods.	with other parts of the	
		motrious.	Guidelines. For example, at lines	
			263–264, the draft Guidelines	
			currently say, "Depopulation	
			may employ euthanasia or	
			slaughter methods, especially	
			when the number of animals is	
			low or the emergency has been	
			contained." At 164–166, the	
			definition of depopulation	
			specifies, "Depopulation refers	
			to the implementation of a	
			unique, large-scale emergency	
			or disaster management plan	
			involving the rapid termination of	
			a population(s) of animals." Low	
			number of animals or ending	
			animals' lives when there is no	
			large-scale emergency requires	
			use of euthanasia, not	
			The state of the s	
			depopulation, methods.	

	T			
4387-	in some cases, it may be	cases, it may be	If numbers are sufficiently low,	
4389	appropriate to require	appropriate to	use of euthanasia methods,	
	depopulation of the livestock	require euthanasia	rather than depopulation	
	guard animal. In such cases,	of the livestock	methods, must be	
	the guardian should be the	guard animal. In	recommended. This sentence	
	first animal depopulated.	such cases, the	must be changed to be coherent	
		guardian should be	with other parts of the	
		the first animal	Guidelines. For example, at lines	
		euthanized.	263–264, the draft Guidelines	
			currently say, "Depopulation	
			may employ euthanasia or	
			slaughter methods, especially	
			when the number of animals is	
			low or the emergency has been	
			contained." At 164–166, the	
			definition of depopulation	
			specifies, "Depopulation refers	
			to the implementation of a	
			unique, large-scale emergency	
			or disaster management plan	
			involving the rapid termination of	
			a population(s) of animals." Low	
			number of animals or ending	
			animals' lives when there is no	
			large-scale emergency requires	
			use of euthanasia, not	
			depopulation, methods.	
4460-	4. AVMA. AVMA guidelines for	4. AVMA. AVMA	Outdated reference cited.	
4461	the euthanasia of animals:	Guidelines for the	Please confirm that the updated	
	2013 edition. Accessed Feb 7,	Euthanasia of	reference supports all claims it	
	2019.	Animals: 2020	is being used to substantiate.	
	www.avma.org/KB/Policies/D	edition.		
	ocuments/ euthanasia.pdf			

	T			1
4462-	5. AVMA. AVMA guidelines for	5. AVMA. AVMA	Outdated reference cited.	
4463	the humane slaughter of	Guidelines for the	Please confirm that the updated	
	animals: 2016 edition.	Humane Slaughter	reference supports all claims it	
	Accessed Feb 7, 2019.	of Animals: 2024	is being used to substantiate.	
	www.avma.org/KB/Resources	edition.		
	/Reference/AnimalWelfare/D			
	ocuments/Humane-4463			
	Slaughter- Guidelines.pdf.			
4568	Cage/aviary poultry housing	Divide this	There are different	
	systems	discussion into 2	considerations for cage v. aviary	
		sections, one on	housing in terms of	
		conventional cage	depopulation. Catching birds	
		housing and one on	and the risk of workers falling	
		aviary housing.	from a height is a challenge of	
			aviary housing. High expansion	
			foam hasn't been tested in cage	
			housing yet.	
4647-	As recent poultry disease	As recent poultry	The HPAI outbreak that began in	https://www.aphis.usda.gov/live
4649	outbreaks in the United	disease outbreaks	2022 is ongoing. This section	stock-poultry-
	States (i.e., HPAI in 2014-	in the United States	could be strengthened by noting	disease/avian/avian-
	2015 and 2022-2024) have	(i.e., HPAI in 2014-	that HPAI appears to now be	influenza/hpai-
	demonstrated, the necessity	2015 and 2022-	endemic in wild birds in North	detections/commercial-
	for meticulous advanced	2025 and ongoing)	America, depopulations of	backyard-flocks
	planning and preparation is of	have demonstrated,	poultry are now a regular part of	- 2024 Watt Poultry
	paramount importance.	the necessity for	agriculture, and are likely to	
		meticulous	continue to be for the	
		advanced planning	foreseeable future. At a	
		and preparation is of	minimum "2024" should be	
		paramount	changed to "2025."	
		importance. Given		
		that HPAI now	Online reference:	
		appears to be	https://www.aphis.usda.gov/live	
		endemic in wild	stock-poultry-	
		birds in North	disease/avian/avian-	
		America (O'Keefe,	influenza/hpai-	
		Watt Poultry, 2023),		

		depopulation of millions of poultry annually is likely to continue for the foreseeable future, unless measures such as HPAI vaccination of poultry are adopted.	detections/commercial- backyard-flocks	
4654- 4657	Additionally, during the preparedness phase, investments in equipment, supplies and contracts should be incentivized to ensure that Tier 1 methods can be readily deployed. Inadequate planning that results in unnecessary animal suffering is unacceptable.	Additionally, during the preparedness phase, investments in equipment, supplies and contracts must be prioritized by producers and animal owners, and incentivized by governmental programs, to ensure that Tier 1 methods can be readily deployed. Inadequate planning that results in unnecessary animal suffering is unacceptable.	This is a great start. However, given that HPAI is an ever-present risk and HPAI-related depopulation is a foreseeable concern, stronger language (e.g., "must" rather than "should") is needed.	

Particularly in the poultry 4698-6.3 Events That May Require 6.3 Events That May Graber, R. (2024). Iowa Pure 4701 industry, it is not the case that Depopulation Require Prairie Poultry chickens Fortunately, animal health or animal health incidents that Depopulation depopulated. WATTPoultry. safety incidents that require Unfortunately, Available at: require depopulation are relatively uncommon. Since the https://www.wattagnet.com/broi depopulation methods to animal health or eradicate or prevent disease, safety incidents that start of the current HPAL lersprotect public health, or outbreak in the US, over turkeys/broilers/article/1570689 require maintain a secure food supply depopulation 145,000,000 birds have died or 4/iowa-pure-prairie-poultrychickens-depopulated are relatively uncommon. methods to been depopulated. For any given hen raised for egg production, - 2024 Former Pure Prairie eradicate or prevent disease, protect the odds that she will be chickens depopulated public health, or depopulated due to HPAI in any - Brosch, C.; Cartanza, G. maintain a secure given year is approximately 10%. COVID-19 Acutely Impacted the food supply have This is a crucial ethical Delmarva Poultry Industry in Early 2020. Del. J. Public Health become common in consideration because those responsible for animal care have 2021, 7, 38-39. [Google Scholar] the poultry sector, largely because a stronger obligation to prevent [CrossRef] HPAI has become end-of-life suffering when the - Hauser, C. Nearly 2 Million endemic in wild bird circumstances surrounding it Chickens Killed as Poultry are likely and foreseeable populations. For Workers Are Sidelined. The New compared to when they are rare York Times. 28 April 2020. example, in 2022, approximately 11% and unpredictable. Available online: https://www.nytimes.com/2020/ of layer hens were 04/28/us/coronavirus-chickendepopulated due to Online reference: HPAI (O'Keefe, Watt https://www.aphis.usda.gov/live poultry-farm-workers.html Poultry, 2024). In stock-poultry-(accessed on 20 September addition, there has 2022). disease/avian/avian-- Arkansas chicken growers sue influenza/hpaialso been a recent increase in detections/commercialpoultry execs for damages from economic issues backyard-flocks closure, depopulated flocks By: Antoinette Grajeda: (e.g., bankruptcy) https://arkansasadvocate.com/2 resulting in urgent 024/09/25/arkansas-chickendepopulation. While unplanned growers-sue-poultry-execs-fordepopulations were damages-from-closurepreviously rare depopulated-flocks/

		occurrences in animal agriculture, this is no longer the case. As these practices have become a regular part of commercial production, the need for appropriate planning, preparation, and preparedness has increased, and increased attention to risk mitigation is also required.		
4999- 5000	Utilization of higher expansion ratio foam (>200 and beyond) improves the effectiveness, efficiency, and humaneness of the depopulation process.	Utilization of higher expansion ratio foam (>300 and beyond) improves the effectiveness, efficiency, and humaneness of the depopulation	Too small an expansion ratio increases the risk of bubbles entering and occluding the airway.	EFSA HEFT opinion AWC high exp N2 foam opinion Culhane presentation
5334	Ventilation Methods	process. Heatstroke-based methods or Hyperthermia-based methods	This would be a more accurate descriptor, as the ventilation system must also be shut down for different types of wholehouse gassing. The category of	

			method should reflect its	
			mechanism of killing, rather than	
			one step in its deployment.	
			one step in its deptoyment.	
5335	Ventilation Shutdown Plus	[This method should	In the poultry section, Tier 1	- Reyes-Illg, G., Martin, J. E.,
	Heat and Humidity (VSD+)	be moved to Tier 3	methods are those that, properly	Mani, I., Reynolds, J., &
		and described as	deployed, result in immediate	Kipperman, B. (2023). The rise of
		"not	loss of consciousness or rapid	heatstroke as a method of
		recommended."]	loss of consciousness with no	depopulating pigs and poultry:
			pain and very little, if any, fear,	Implications for the US veterinary
			distress, and other negative	profession. Animals, 13(1), 140.
			affective states. With the	https://doi.org/10.3390/ani1301
			exception of VSD+, other Tier 2	<u>0140</u>
			methods generally cause pain	- United Kingdom Department for
			and/or distress for a short	Environment, Food and Rural
			period. However, VSD+ involves	Affairs - Animal Welfare
			conscious animals experiencing	<u>Committee. (2023).</u>
			a wide range of negative	Advice on emergency culling for
			affective states, including	the depopulation of poultry
			overheating, pain, frustration,	affected by high pathogenic
			anxiety, fear, fatigue,	avian influenza (HPAI) –
			exhaustion, and respiratory	consideration of ventilation
			distress for a prolonged period.	shutdown (VSD). Available at:
			For this reason, it should only be	https://www.gov.uk/government
			used when the risk of doing	/publications/advice-
			nothing is likely to result in more	onemergency-culling-for-the-
			suffering than utilizing the	depopulation-of-poultry-
			method—which is the criteria	affected-by-high-pathogenic-
			described for Tier 3.	avian-influenza-hpai
			For both pigs and poultry, if	 VIN survey
			VSD+ continues to be listed in	<u>- EFSA Panel on Animal Health</u>
			the Guidelines, it must be	and Welfare (2020). Welfare of
			described as "not	pigs during killing for purposes
			recommended." According to	other than slaughter. EFSA
			the Guidelines, the	Journal. European Food Safety
			temperatures required for VSD+	Authority, 18(7), e06195.
			are "painful for the birds," and	

			time to death is prolonged, ranging from 53 minutes in controlled laboratory settings over 300 minutes under simulated field conditions. Further, even after several hours, VSD+ frequently fails to kill every bird. According to the U.S. Department of Agriculture 74% of layer hen houses employing VSD+ report survivors, whose subsequent killing via a secondary depopulation may take up to fi days. Thus, there is substantia evidence that using VSD+ to depopulate poultry is "contrart to good animal welfare," and it must, therefore, be designated Tier 3 method.	and Welfare. (2019). Killing for purposes other than slaughter: poultry. EFSA Journal. European Food Safety Authority, 17(11), e05850. https://doi.org/10.2903/j.efsa.2019.5850
--	--	--	--	---

5369-This paragraph ostensibly Hyperthermia is an elevation Hyperthermia is an The rise of heatstroke, other 5372 describes the mechanism of in core body temperature papers on the pathophysiology of elevation in core above the accepted normal body temperature death with hyperthermia, heatstroke. range, which in poultry is 105above the accepted however it neglects a large 2019 Modelling and validating 107 °F (40.6-41.7 °C)112,113 normal range, which amount of information the indoor environment and to the lethal core body in poultry is 105–107 necessary for understanding the supplemental heat requirement temperatures. The effect of °F (40.6–41.7 °C), to mechanism of death and likely during ventilation shutdown for elevated heat and/or heat and the lethal core body accompanying affective states. hens and turkeys re humidity on the bird depends temperatures. In For example, conditions like rhabdomyolysis. on age, body weight, mammals, death rhabdomyolysis, which have - Stanley M, Chippa V, Aeddula environment, and species as due to hyperthermia NR, et al. Rhabdomyolysis. been observed in birds [Updated 2024 Dec 11]. In: well as past management is typically due to depopulated with VSD+ (Zhao experiences.114 distributive shock 2019), are known to be painful StatPearls [Internet]. Treasure and respiratory (Stanley 2025), and videos of Island (FL): StatPearls Publishing; 2025 Jan-. Available failure due to birds destroyed with VSD+ under experimental conditions accumulation of from: frothy, hemorrhagic demonstrate that they https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/b fluid in the airways experience respiratory distress ooks/NBK448168/ (Reyes-Illg 2023; prior to loss of consciousness. Brunchim 2009). See: North Carolina State University VSD Videos 5 (5 Other lesions noted videos), VSD Videos 6 (7 videos), with lethal VSD Videos 8 (the following hyperthermia include videos: VSD- Camera 2 VH 1-21-"gastrointestinal 16 (1), VSD- Camera 2 VH 1-21-16 (2), VSD- Camera 2b VH 2-3bleeding and sloughing with 16 (1), VSD- Camera 2b VH 2-3attendant vomiting 16 (2), VSD- Camera 2b VH 2-3and hemorrhagic 16 (3), VSD- Camera 2b VH 2-3diarrhea, abdominal 16 (4), VSD- Camera 2b VH 2-3-16 (5)) obtained via request by organomegaly, rhabdomyolysis, Animal Outlook under North acute respiratory Carolina Public Records Law. distress syndrome, G.S. §132-1. Available online: brain injury and https://drive.google.com/drive/f neurological

abn	normalities,	olders/10cvpj6kcc1w-	
mu	ltiorgan	oHEw6yQUHs2DkK-WbzT7	
dys	function, and		
coa	ngulopathies,		
incl	luding		
diss	seminated		
intr	avascular		
coa	agulation (DIC),		
frec	quently ending in		
hen	norrhagic		
diat	thesis" (Reyes-		
Illg	2023). The effect		
of e	elevated heat		
and	l/or heat and		
hur	midity on the bird		
dep	ends on age,		
boo	dy weight,		
env	rironment, and		
spe	cies, as well as		
pas	st management		
exp	eriences.		

5372-5381	When ambient temperatures increase, the bird attempts to regulate its core body temperature through sensible and insensible heat loss. Sensible heat loss is the dissipation of body heat to the surrounding environment through radiation, conduction, and convection.115 The proportion of sensible heat loss is dependent on the temperature difference between the core body temperature of the bird and the temperature of its environment. With sensible heat loss, birds do not need to drastically alter their normal behavior patterns or metabolism.116 Insensible heat loss (Latent heat loss) is the transfer of heat when water within the bird's respiratory tract is converted to water vapor.115 Dissipation of body heat into water vapor requires the use of energy creating metabolic heat through hyperventilation, which causes respiratory alkalosis, or a shift in bodily fluids towards an alkaline pH.116	When ambient temperatures increase, the bird attempts to regulate its core body temperature through sensible and insensible heat loss. Sensible heat loss is the dissipation of body heat to the surrounding environment through radiation, conduction, and convection. The proportion of sensible heat loss is dependent on the temperature difference between the core body temperature of the bird and the temperature of its environment. With sensible heat loss, birds do not need to drastically alter their normal behavior patterns or metabolism. Insensible heat loss (Latent heat loss) is the transfer of heat	Hodgson 2022, on page 94 of 99 in Figure 3.5 (C), notes that birds killed with VSDH had a blood pH of about 7.54 at the final time point. Montesinos notes that this is within the normal range for most birds.	- 2013 Acid-base status in the Avian Patient - 2022 Physiology of Poultry during Ventilation Shut Down (+) in Response to a Foreign Animal Disease Outbreak
-----------	--	---	---	---

	_	
when water within		
the bird's		
respiratory tract is		
converted to water		
vapor. Dissipation of		
body heat into water		
vapor requires the		
use of energy		
creating metabolic		
heat through		
hyperventilation,		
which causes		
respiratory		
alkalosis, or a shift		
in bodily fluids		
towards an alkaline		
pH. However, the		
resulting acid-base		
imbalance does not		
appear severe		
enough to result in		
death (Hodgson		
2022, Montesinos		
2013).		

5381-In severe hyperthermia, death 5388 is caused by circulatory and/or respiratory collapse and/or metabolic imbalance.114 With an increase in heat and humidity the insensible heat loss methods become ineffective and accelerate the increase in core body temperature. By utilizing supplemental heat and potentially humidity, hyper-thermic depopulation exploits the limits of sensible and insensible heat loss leading to the loss of consciousness and death. The sensory perception of the brain begins decreasing due to denaturation of lipid and protein that begins at approximately 45 C (113 F) with cell damage increasing in a linear rate as temperature increases.119

In severe hyperthermia, death is caused by circulatory and/or respiratory collapse. Prior to loss of consciousness, negative affective states may include: anxiety, debility, dyspnea, disorientation, exhaustion, fear, frustration, helplessness, nausea, malaise, overheating, pain, panic, and thirst (Reves-Illg 2023). With an increase in heat and humidity the insensible heat loss methods become ineffective and accelerate the increase in core body temperature. By utilizing supplemental heat and potentially humidity, hyperthermic depopulation exploits the limits of sensible and insensible heat loss

It is essential that the pathophysiology of environmental hyperthermia (heatstroke or VSD+) be clearly described, as this helps ensure that the affective states that animals experience prior to loss of consciousness can be accurately assessed. A depopulation method's impact on animal welfare depends in large part on the affective states experienced by the animal prior to loss of consciousness. (See discussion repotential for delayed loss of consciousness in porcine and gallinaceous species at 2.1 in Reyes-Illg 2023; cited references are attached.)

- Reyes-Illg et al Rise of Heat Stroke
- Romanucci, M.; Salda, L.D. Pathophysiology and pathological findings of heatstroke in dogs. *Vet. Med. Auckl. NZ* **2013**, *4*, 1–9.
- Bruchim, Y.; Horowitz, M.; Aroch, I. Pathophysiology of heatstroke in dogs— Revisited. *Temperature* **2017**, *4*, 356–370.
- Yarmolenko, P.S.; Moon, E.J.; Landon, C.; Manzoor, A.; Hochman, D.W.; Viglianti, B.L.; Dewhirst, M.W. Thresholds for thermal damage to normal tissues: An update. *Int. J. Hyperth.* **2011**, *27*, 320–343. - Lassche, G.; Frenzel, T.; Mignot, M.H.; Jonker, M.A.; van der Hoeven, J.G.; van Herpen, C.M.L.; Scheffer, G.J. Thermal distribution, physiological effects and toxicities of

extracorporeally induced whole-

body hyperthermia in a pig

e14366.

model. Physiol. Rep. 2020, 8,

- 1. McKechnie, A.E. Regulation of body temperature. In *Sturkie's Avian Physiology*; Elsevier: London, UK, 2022; pp. 1231–1264. ISBN 978-0-12-819770-7. [Google Scholar] [CrossRef]
- 2. Porter, W.R.; Witmer, L.M. Avian Cephalic Vascular

leading to the loss of consciousness and death. The sensory perception of the brain begins decreasing due to denaturation of lipid and protein that begins at approximately 45 °C (113 °F) with cell damage increasing in a linear rate as temperature increases. Some species, such as chickens, turkeys, and pigs, have anatomic cooling systems that protect the brain from extreme heat, likely delaying the onset of unconsciousness compared to species without this adaptation. (Reyes-Illg 2023); this potentially worsens the animal welfare impacts of VSD+. For this reason, VSD+ is considered "not recommended" as a depopulation method.

- Anatomy, Sites of Thermal Exchange, and the Rete Ophthalmicum. *Anat.*Rec. 2016, 299, 1461–1486.

 [Google Scholar] [CrossRef]

 [Green Version]
- 3. Richards, S.A. Brain temperature and the cerebral circulation in the chicken. *Brain Res.* 1970, 23, 265–268. [Google Scholar] [CrossRef]
- 4. Kilgore, D.L.; Birchard, G.F.; Boggs, D.F. Brain temperatures in running quail. J. Appl. Physiol. Respir. Environ. Exerc. Physiol. 1981, 50, 1277–1281. [Google Scholar] [CrossRef]
- 5. Bech, C.; Midtgård, U. Brain temperature and the rete mirabile ophthalmicum in the Zebra finch (Poephila guttata). *J. Comp. Physiol. B* **1981**, *145*, 89–93.

5427	Oral anesthetics	Oral anesthetics,	This section should be	
		anxiolytics and/or	appropriately titled to	
		analgesics [This	encompass the various oral	
		section should be	medications described in the	
		relocated to a	text. In addition, oral anesthetics	
		separate section,	and anxiolytics are NOT being	
		perhaps entitled	proposed as a means of	
		"Other Handling	depopulation, but rather as a	
		Considerations" to	means of minimizing/eliminating	
		make its application	negative affective states	
		clear]	associated with depopulation	
			methods, for example, fear at	
			the buildup of high expansion	
			nitrogen foam or handling for	
			other methods. Therefore, it is	
			inappropriate to place this	
			measure in Tier 3, as tiers are	
			reserved for depopulation	
			methods. Locating this	
			discussion in Tier 3 means that	
			(1) it might not be reviewed by	
			those seeking to implement	
			higher-tier methods, and (2) it	
			gives the impression the Panel	
			has a negative opinion about	
			providing poultry with	
			anesthetic/anxiolytic	
			medications prior to	
			depopulation. Including it in a	
			separate section on animal	
			handling ensures that it is	
			recognized as an adjunctive	
			means of minimizing animal	
			welfare harms. Relocating the	
			discussion to a different section	
			(not under "Tiers") would also be	

consistent with how other sections of the Guidelines handle the issue of providing animals with anesthetics or sedation prior to depopulation. For example, at lines, 2982-2983, the statement "Chemical restraint may be necessary to improve efficacy and lower the risk of human injury" is included under a subsection titled "Dangerous Animals" under the "Bovids" section. In the section on Small Ruminants, Cervids, and Camelids, at lines 4103-4106, pre-killing sedation is not put in Tier 3 due to limited research but is rather discussed in the section "Other Handling Considerations": "In cases where cervids are very fractious and restraint poses a safety risk to the animals or personnel, the use of feed or water delivered pre-sedation is sometimes could be considered. Acepromazine maleate, diazepam, and haloperidol administered orally have all been shown to reduce stress, aggression, and fear of humans in captive cervidae." Finally, relocating this section would also make the **Depopulation Guidelines more** consistent with the 2020 AVMA Euthanasia Guidelines, which

			state, "Apart from delineating appropriate methods and agents, these Guidelines also recognize the importance of considering and applying appropriate pre-euthanasia (e.g., sedation) and animal handling practices."	
5428- 5430	The use of anesthetic, anxiolytic, and/or analgesic agents delivered via the water system is a potential means of improving animal welfare at the end of life by mitigating negative affective states, such as pain and fear	The use of anesthetic, anxiolytic, and/or analgesic agents delivered via the water or food system is a potential means of improving	Some medications may be best provided in feed rather than water.	

	associated with depopulation	animal welfare at		
	methods.	the end of life by		
	metrious.	mitigating negative		
		affective states,		
		such as pain and		
		fear associated with		
		depopulation		
		methods.		
5459-	The foam quality is poor,	The foam quality is	"Mechanical hypoxia" is an	2016 Nondrowning asphyxia in
5460	bubbles are too strong to	poor, bubbles are	ambiguous and inaccurate term	veterinary forensic pathology_
	burst and release their gases,	too strong to burst	for describing airway occlusion.	suffocation, strangulation &
	and the method of death is	and release their	Per the attached reference	mech asphyxia;
	mechanical hypoxia, rather	gases, and the	(McEwen 2016), mechanical	2015 Beausoleil Mellor
	than gas exposure.	method of death is	asphyxiation is defined as one of	Introducing Breathlessness
		airway occlusion	the following: (1) positional	
		(obstructive	asphyxia (position of the animal	
		asphyxia), rather	compromises the ability to	
		than gas exposure.	breathe) or (2) traumatic	
			asphyxia (external chest or	
			abdominal compression by a	
			heavy object preventing	
			respiration). Furthermore,	
			airway occlusion results not only	
			in hypoxia but also in	
			hypercarbia. In a conscious	
			animal, elevated PaCO2	
			increases drive to breathe and,	
			based on our understanding of	
			_	
			types of dyspnea in humans,	
			increases the intensity of the	
			unpleasant sensation of air	
			hunger when coupled with	
			inability to bring in more air. The	
			terms "airway occlusion" or	
			"obstructive asphyxia" are more	
			accurate and precise	

			descriptors for the mechanism of death of both compressed air form and low- or medium-expansion water-based foam.	
5464	Ventilation shutdown alone (VSD)	[This proposed depopulation method should not be listed in the Guidelines. If the Panel on Depopulation elects to continue to list the method, it should be made clear that it is "not recommended."]	Live burning, live burial and other methods that cause severe, prolonged animal suffering are not included in the Guidelines. VSD alone is on par with such methods and therefore should not be included. State public records (pages 4, 6, & 8) indicate that, at present, VSD alone is sometimes being used for HPAI depopulation, but is being described as VSD+ in order for animal owners to avail themselves of indemnity payments.	Iowa records indicating that the only heat provided was lights being left on.
5508	In summary, VSD alone does not meet the AVMA depopulation expectation of >95% death rate in < 1 hour.	In summary, VSD is ineffective as a depopulation method, as it does not reliably kill a large percentage of the birds. It also results in a	This is the only place in this document that a 95% death rate in less than one hour is discussed. If this is an expectation, then the section on VSD+ should also include this language, given that state records indicate that, even	

		prolonged period of	performed according to	
		negative animal	parameters described by USDA	
		welfare. It must not	and AVMA, VSD+ as used in	
		be used.	poultry typically does not result	
			in 95% death rate in less than	
			one hour.	
5533-	Bird embryos that have	Bird embryos that	The reference used to support	2023 awc-opinion-alternatives-
5535	attained > 80% incubation	have attained > 50%	this statement (Close B, Banister	culling-newly-hatched-chicks-
	should be euthanized by	incubation should	K, Baumans V, et al.	poultry-industry
	methods similar to those	be euthanized by	Recommendations for	2015 Ethical Euthanasia and
	used in avian neonates. Eggs	methods similar to	euthanasia of experimental	Short-Term Anesthesia of the
	at < 80% incubation may be	those used in avian	animals: part 2. DGXT of the	Chick Embryo
	destroyed by prolonged	neonates. Eggs at <	European Commission. Lab	2018 Evaluation of Alternative
	exposure (> 20 minutes) to	50% incubation may	Anim. 1997;31:1–32.) is	Euthanasia Methods of Neonatal
	CO2, cooling (< 4°C for 4	be destroyed by	outdated and does not reflect	Chickens
	hours) or freezing.130	prolonged exposure	current science that embryonic	
		(> 20 minutes) to	chickens are able to consciously	
		CO2 or freezing (<	feel pain by day 13 of incubation	
		-20 °C for 4 hours).	(13/21 = 62% of hatch), as	
			described in the UK	
			governmental Animal Welfare	
			Committee's recent report,	
			which is based on a review of all	
			the existing literature. Therefore,	
			referencing 80% of the hatching	
			period is inappropriate.	
			Furthermore, recent references	
			note that freezing is not	
			appropriate as a euthanasia	
			technique for fertilized eggs	
			beyond 50% of the incubation	
			period. In addition, the	
			temperature required for	
			freezing as a method of	
			terminating fertilized eggs is	
			misstated. Finally, every effort	

	should be made to specify a concentration of carbon dioxide that would be effective for both sentient and non-sentient chick embryos. Studies have tended to support very high concentrations of CO2 (75%–90%) for neonatal chickens and also support nitrogen anoxia as well as low atmospheric pressure stunning (LAPS). (See Gurung 2018)	
--	--	--

Lines	Specific	Specific language to	Rationale for suggested change	References uploaded
	language to	replace current		
	be changed	language		
318-	The POD is	The POD is committed to	It would be preferable for the AVMA	https://www.canadianveterinaria
320	committed to	ensuring that no	Depopulation Guidelines to begin with a	ns.net/policy-and-
	ensuring that	unnecessary pain or	discussion about the ethical basis for the	outreach/position-
	no	distress is inflicted on	decision to depopulate rather than pursue	statements/statements/decision-
	unnecessary	conscious animals	other alternatives. The Canadian	making-for-mass-depopulation-
	pain or	during an emergency like	Veterinary Medical Association has a	of-domesticated-animals/
	distress is	depopulation. If	position statement on this issue. There	2016 Ethical and societal
	inflicted on	alternatives to	have also been books and academic	considerations on killing animals
	conscious	depopulation are	papers written about this topic. It is	
	animals during	deemed impossible	essential that the veterinary profession	
	an emergency	through a collaborative,	not merely provide technocratic	
	like	robust ethical decision-	"solutions" when robust discussion and	
	depopulation.	making process and	debate about the underlying ethical or	
	When	significant effort to save	scientific issues has not occurred.	
	significant	animals has been		
	effort to save	exhausted, animals		
	animals has	must be destroyed in the		
	been	most humane methods		
	exhausted, it is	available, and their		
	imperative that	carcasses be disposed		
	animals are	consonant with high		
	destroyed, and	ethical standards.		
	their			
	carcasses be			
	disposed			
	consonant			
	with high			
	ethical			
	standards.			

682-	Periodic	Dariadia professional	Stronger language should be used to	
		Periodic professional		
684	professional	continuing education on	ensure that professionals involved in	
	continuing	the latest methods,	euthanasia and depopulation are held to a	
	education on	techniques, and	standard of ongoing competence,	
	the latest	materials available for	ensuring they are aware of the most	
	methods,	both euthanasia and	recent advancements, ethical	
	methods, and	depopulation is	considerations, and regulatory changes.	
	materials	required.		
	available for			
	both			
	euthanasia			
	and			
	depopulation			
	is highly			
	encouraged.			
810-	Ethical	Ethical reasoning must	"Must" is used in the language of a nearly	
812	reasoning	not be suspended or	identical statement at the top of the	
	should not be	ignored and is essential	Veterinary Ethics section in 731–732:	
	suspended or	in guiding crisis	"Ethical deliberation and critical	
	ignored and is	management and	reasoning must not be suspended or	
	essential in	depopulation	ignored in an emergency declaration." So,	
	guiding crisis	procedures.	"must" should be substituted in 810–812	
	management		instead of "should."	
	and			
	depopulation			
	procedures.			
846-	Depopulation,	Depopulation, as a	The reasons used to justify the drastic	
848	as a method of	method of containment	measures used in emergency situations	
	containment	for effective emergency	requiring depopulation are "human well-	
	for effective	or disaster management	being, animals and their welfare, and the	
	emergency or	and response, must	importance of specific human-animal	
	disaster	account for human well-	bonds and relationships." So, the	
	management	being, animals and their	"should" must be changed to a "must."	
	and response,	welfare, and the		
	should	importance of specific		
	account for			

	human well- being, animals and their welfare, and the importance of specific human-animal bonds and relationships.	human-animal bonds and relationships.		
955- 957	The cyclic nature of emergencies and cross-relation of all four phases confirms that planning does not end with the publication of a plan. Disaster preparedness is a continual effort in which the phases of the cycle of emergency management are constantly being anticipated, reviewed, and improved.	The cyclic nature of emergencies and cross-relation of all four phases confirms that planning does not end with the publication of a plan. Disaster preparedness is a continual effort in which the phases of the cycle of emergency management are constantly being anticipated, reviewed, and improved. A written report should be produced, including a review of the plan, process, and outcomes, as well as suggestions for improvement in the future.	Requiring a publicly available written report as part of the "reflect and reimagine" step in animal depopulation disasters ensures transparency and accountability by documenting the rationale behind decisions and outlining strategies to minimize future animal suffering. This report demonstrates a commitment to continuous improvement, ethical responsibility, and the prioritization of more humane (Tier 1) methods.	

910-	Sound ethical	[see "Rationale for	The statements in this section must be	-Barry's 2022 chapter vet
964	decision-	Suggested Change"]	supported by citations of relevant	advocacies & ethical dilemmas
	making can be		references. An extensive body of literature	-
	bolstered		exists on ethical decision-making across	-(2) Vettical, B. S. (2018). An
	through the		different fields. The lack of citations in this	Overview on Ethics and Ethical
	following		section outlining discrete steps raises	Decision-Making Process in
	steps: section		concerns that the Guidelines expect	Veterinary Practice. Journal of
			veterinarians to engage in ethical	Agricultural & Environmental
			decision-making without providing a peer-	Ethics, 31(6), 739-749.
			reviewed framework for ethical decision-	https://doi.org/10.1007/s10806-
			making practices (either in veterinary	<u>018-9752-5</u>
			science or in other closely related	- <u>(3) Ashall, V. (2023). Reducing</u>
			disciplines like emergency ethics).	Moral Stress in Veterinary Teams?
				Evaluating the Use of Ethical
				Discussion Groups in Charity
				<u>Veterinary Hospitals. Animals</u>
				(Basel), 13(10), 1662
				https://doi.org/10.3390/ani13101
				<u>662</u>
989-	A poorly	A poorly executed	Moral stress, distress, and residue are	2020 Compassion Fatigue in
990	executed	depopulation may harm	some of the types of harm that emergency	Animal Care Workers
	depopulation	patients, as well as	responders may experience. Secondary	
	may harm	emergency responders,	trauma (sometimes called vicarious	2011 Whiting - perpetration-
	patients, and	who may experience	trauma) is another. These harms can be	induced traumatic stress
	moral distress	moral distress, moral	worsened when a depopulation is poorly	
	or residue for	residue, and an	executed. The suggested sentence is	
	emergency	increased level of	clearer and easier to understand in the	
	responders.	secondary/vicarious	suggested language replacement.	
		trauma.		

3324-	The goal of	The goal of commercial	This section takes for granted that "there	2022 One Health Animal Disaster
3330	commercial	swine production is to	is little flexibility in the current marketing	Management An Ethics of Care
	swine	provide a wholesome,	channel," rather than highlighting this as a	Approach
	production is	safe, high-quality food	crucial moral issue that must be	2021 COVID-19 effects on
	to provide a	for consumers. Because	considered and addressed in the	livestock production - a one
	wholesome,	there is currently little	mitigation phase of the disaster	welfare issue
	safe, high-	flexibility in the current	management cycle.	
	quality food for	marketing channel, any		
	consumers.	eventuality that reduces		
	Because there	or eliminates the		
	is little	marketability of swine		
	flexibility in the	could have a negative		
	current	impact on animal		
	marketing	welfare in a very short		
	channel, any	period of time. This puts		
	eventuality	a high level of		
	that reduces or	importance on the		
	eliminates the	speed at which a		
	marketability	decision can be made		
	of swine could	for swine that cannot be		
	have a	moved or marketed		
	negative	because of regulatory		
	impact on	issues, natural and		
	animal welfare	manmade disasters,		
	in a very short	food safety, and other		
	period of time.	public health issues. In		
	This puts a	addition, integrators and		
	high level of	other large scale animal		
	importance on	owners must work to		
	the speed at	reduce pigs' vulnerability		
	which a	to depopulation by		
	decision can	identifying and adopting		
	be made for	systemic changes that		
	swine that	ensure that		
	cannot be	depopulation as a		
	moved or	response to market		

	marketed because of regulatory issues, natural and manmade disasters, food safety, and other public health issues.	issues is exceedingly rare.		
3331- 3332	Ideally a plan should be developed and tested before an incident requiring depopulation.	Particularly for larger operations, it is imperative that a plan for depopulation be developed and tested, and that farm operators and animal owners have prepared for its implementation prior to any incident requiring depopulation. Adequate preparedness may involve securing equipment, establishing contracts for necessary supplies, training personnel, and performing drills as well as tabletop, functional, and full-scale exercises.	For an industry at high risk of resorting to depopulation for both disease- and non-disease-related purposes, it is insufficient to merely note that developing and testing a plan is "ideal." If one is responsible for large numbers of animals, it is morally required that one is able to reasonably ensure that their lives can be ended without suffering if needed, and adequate planning and preparedness are core to fulfilling this ethical duty. See page 7 (17 of 75) of attached reference for an example of a progressive preparedness approach.	2024 USDA APHIS VS EMERGNECY Preparedness and response training and exercise strategy & plan

3338-	Methods used	Methods used for	Throughput is one consideration, but	AVMA animal welfare principles
3339	for	depopulation must be	given the ethical and animal welfare	AVMA PVME
	depopulation	evaluated in terms of	principles espoused by the profession and	Joint AVMA – FVE-CVMA
	should be	their impact on animal	the numerous different depopulation	statement on the roles of
	evaluated on	welfare, with priority	methods available, methods that prioritize	veterinarians in promoting animal
	the basis of	given to methods to	animal welfare must be prioritized, with	welfare
	their ability to	avoid negative welfare	throughput a secondary consideration.	
	achieve the	states including pain,		
	necessary	fear, respiratory		
	throughput to	distress, and other		
	accomplish	negative affective		
	work within the	states. In addition, the		
	allotted time	ability to achieve the		
	frame.	necessary throughput to		
		accomplish work within		
		the allotted time frame		
		must be considered.		
3439-	There are	There are certain	This sentence incorporates the definition	Raymond Anthony One Health
3441	certain	incidents where the	of depopulation utilized in the 2019	Care ethics article
	incidents	rapid destruction of a	version, which builds lower regard for	
	where the	population of swine	animal welfare into the definition. It	
	rapid	must occur in response	should be updated with the new	
	destruction of	to urgent circumstances	definition, as well as an acknowledgment	
	a population of	with the least amount of	that veterinarians and the profession's	
	swine must	negative welfare	ethical obligations related to	
	occur in	impacts to the animals.	depopulation begin long before the	
	response to	As depopulation	decision to depopulate is made.	
	urgent	becomes more		
	circumstances	common, reducing the		
	with as much	vulnerability of farms to		
	consideration	circumstances that		
	given to the	result in depopulation		
	welfare of the	must be considered a		
	swine as	core ethical duty of the		
	practicable.	veterinary profession		
		and veterinarians		

		working in animal agriculture.		
3542- 3543	If depopulation using a slaughter plant is feasible, the disposition of the carcass must be carefully considered.	If depopulation using a slaughter plant is feasible, the disposition of the carcass must be carefully considered. If the animals are healthy and the decision to depopulate stems from slaughterhouse staffing shortages, processing for the production of carcasses or large cuts is a potential means of increasing slaughterhouse throughput compared to standard post-slaughter processing (Grandin 2021).	This section seems to assume the animals would be processed after slaughter. Commercial slaughter for carcass production is another option, which requires far fewer workers and would be especially fitting in an event such as the COVID-related slaughterhouse closures, where the animals did not pose any kind of health threat.	2021 - Methods to prevent animal welfare problems caused by Covid in pork industry - grandin

3595	Carbon	[Carbon Monoxide	While carbon monoxide (CO) is described	2022 Quantifying a Technique
	Monoxide	should be moved to Tier	as a euthanasia method "with conditions,"	Using Carbon Monoxide for the
		2 for pigs]	the conditions described in the 2020	Depopulation of Swine
			AVMA Euthanasia Guidelines are unlikely	1980 euthanasia of young pigs
			to be obtained on a farm. Such conditions	with carbon monoxide
			include:	2021 Mass depopulation of swine
				facilities via on-site generation of
			- "The CO chamber must be of the	carbon monoxide
			highest-quality construction and should	2022 Assessment of non-
			allow for separation of individual animals.	catalytic converter gasoline
			If animals need to be combined, they	engine exhaust for swine
			should be of the same species, and, if	euthanasia
			needed, restrained or separated so that	
			they will not hurt themselves or others.	
			Chambers should not be overloaded and	
			need to be kept clean to minimize odors	
			that might distress animals that are	
			subsequently euthanized." These	
			requirements are not met in any of the	
			recent porcine studies on this method:	
			Schwarz 2022, Ramirez 2021, Groth 2022	
			- "The chamber must be well lighted and	
			must allow personnel direct observation	
			of animals." The two National Pork Board-	
			funded studies on CO as a means of	
			euthanasia/depopulation did not permit	
			visualization of animals (Ramirez 2021,	
			Groth 2022), nor did a study presented at	
			AASV in 2022 (Schwarz 2022).	
			- "Carbon monoxide must be supplied in a	
			precisely regulated and purified form	
			without contaminants or adulterants,	
			typically from a commercially supplied	
			cylinder or tank." Groth (2022), a study	

completed for the National Pork Board, notes that mature sows and feeder pigs were killed "using carbon monoxide (CO) produced by a 1970 gas grain truck. The gas engine system, with no modifications, had been previously used to euthanize [sic] weaned pigs." Other recent studies similarly rely on filtering engine exhaust, rather than utilizing "a precisely regulated and purified form [of CO] without contaminants or adulterants, typically from a commercially supplied cylinder or tank."

- "The direct application of products of combustion or sublimation is not acceptable due to unreliable or undesirable composition and/or displacement rate. As gas displacement rate is critical to the humane application of CO, an appropriate pressure-reducing regulator and flow meter combination or equivalent equipment with demonstrated capability for generating the recommended displacement rate for the size container being utilized is absolutely necessary." Groth (2022) attempted to modify a gas engine system to produce CO by "cooling and filtering particulates out of the exhaust gas prior to contact with the pigs" as did Schwarz (2022); however, none of these modifications result in compliance with the language in the AVMA Euthanasia Guidelines regarding necessary conditions for using CO as a means of euthanasia.

In addition, the swine section of the 2020 AVMA Euthanasia Guidelines does not actually describe or discuss use of carbon monoxide beyond noting that CO is one of multiple "[s]tudied gas mixtures" (p 73). In fact, little research has been conducted on pigs regarding the use of CO and none has specifically examined animal welfare impacts. A 1980 study found that "visual signs of severe excitation were observed (sometimes before unconsciousness)" when CO was applied at a high flow rate to piglets. The two recent studies funded by the National Pork Board did not involve any systematic assessment of animal welfare. Ramirez (2021) did not involve live pigs at all while Groth (2022) described a relatively wide range of times until presumed loss of consciousness (cessation of movement): 8-13 minutes.

Given the availability of depopulation methods that involve instantaneous or relatively rapid loss of consciousness, combined with minimal negative affective states (considering both duration and intensity), gassing with carbon monoxide should be recategorized as Tier 2. The use of engine exhaust should be described as "not recommended" unless precise limits of temperature and particulate content can be assured and specific levels and rate of carbon monoxide levels adhered to.

3597-	Carbon	Carbon monoxide is	It must be made clear that the proposed	AVMA. AVMA guidelines for the
3598	monoxide is	categorized as	means of applying CO (via exhaust from	euthanasia of animals: 2020
	acceptable	"acceptable with	an engine) in no way complies with the	edition.
	with	conditions" for pigs in	"conditions" described in the Guidelines	
	conditions for	the 2020 AVMA	on Euthanasia in the general discussion of	
	euthanasia of	Euthanasia Guidelines,	carbon monoxide (p 27). The "conditions"	
	swine5	although it is not	referred to here should be discussed,	
		discussed in the text of	especially given the means by which CO is	
		the swine section.	described below as being produced. (See	
		Conditions include	previous comment for list of conditions	
		being supplied in a	that are described in the 2020 AVMA	
		regulated, purified form,	Euthanasia Guidelines regarding use of	
		typically from a	CO, but that are very unlikely to exist on	
		commercially supplied	swine farms in the context of	
		cylinder or tank and	depopulation.) It must also be clearly	
		ensuring animals can be	communicated that no research has	
		easily visualized.	focused on the welfare impact on pigs	
		According to the 2020	when death is achieved through exposure	
		AVMA Euthanasia	to CO.	
		Guidelines, unmodified		
		products of combustion		
		are not acceptable due		
		to unreliable		
		composition and		
		displacement rate. A		
		pressure-reducing		
		regulator and flow		
		meter, or equivalent		
		equipment, are critical		
		to ensure the		
		recommended		
		displacement rate.		
		These conditions are		
		unlikely to obtain in an		
		agricultural setting. Very		
		little research has been		

done to assess animal welfare when carbon monoxide is used as a method of killing pigs, particularly under field conditions when gas engines are used to generate carbon monoxide.		
--	--	--

3615-	Equipment	Equipment available on	It is essential that the information	
3616	available on	farms, such as gas	provided in this section conveys that use	
	farm may be	engine systems, are	of exhaust fumes for animal killing is very	2021 Mass depopulation of swine
	useful for	capable of generating	different from use of purified carbon	facilities via on-site generation of
	generation of	CO. However, in	monoxide in terms of the animal welfare	carbon monoxide
	CO and the	addition to CO, the	impacts of the method. In addition, the	1980 Euthanasia of young pigs
	method has	exhaust gas thus	lack of animal welfare science research	using carbon monoxide
	been used	generated contains	on this topic needs to be made clear.	
	successfully	"carbon particles,		2022 Quantifying a Technique
	on sows and	nitrates, (hydro)		Using Carbon Monoxide for the
	feeder swine	carbonates, various		Depopulation of Swine
		oxides and heat, which		1980 euthanasia of young pigs
		cause irritation to the		with carbon monoxide
		mucous membranes		2021 Mass depopulation of swine
		and a considerable		facilities via on-site generation of
		degree of excitation"		carbon monoxide
		(Lambooy 1980).		2022 Assessment of non-
		Therefore, use of		catalytic converter gasoline
		unfiltered or uncooled		engine exhaust for swine
		exhaust must not be		euthanasia
		used for depopulation of		
		pigs. CO gassing has		
		been used to effectively		
		kill sows and feeder		
		swine when means of		
		filtering and cooling		
		exhaust were utilized		
		(Groth 2022); however,		
		welfare assessments		
		have not been		
		performed on pigs killed		
		with this method. In		
		humans, negative		
		affective states such as		
		pain (headache) and		
		nausea have been		

reported (Lambooy 1980). An early study on piglets found that "when carbon monoxide was administered at a fast flow rate, visual signs of severe excitation were observed (sometimes before unconsciousness)"; this included vocalizations during and after the excitation phase (Lambooy 1980). Recent research recommended necessary modifications to the method that are essential to avoid unacceptable welfare outcomes, including cooling the exhaust gas to ambient air temperature with an intercooler, filtering particulates using a Hoover vacuum HEPA filter or MERV 14 V-Bank filter, and ensuring the absence of a catalytic converter. Smaller pigs lost posture starting at 5–6 minutes and continuing until 11 minutes after start of gassing; sows took longer (Groth 2022).

3641	Нурохіа	[Delete sentence or	N2 is nonaversive to pigs, while CO2 is	- 2014 Raj Stunning CO2 and
	produced by	reword to ensure it	clearly aversive. Hypoxia, by any means,	other gases book chapter
	N2 appears to	makes sense]	may be aversive, but this section is	- 2010 Stunning pigs with different
	reduce, but not		discussing anoxia (2% or less residual	gas mixtures: Aversion in pigs
	eliminate,		oxygen). Mixtures of N2 and CO2 are	- Raj ABM 1999 Behaviour of pigs
	aversive		aversive because of the CO2 in them.	exposed to mixtures of gases and
	responses in			the time required to stun and kill
	swine.			them: welfare implications.
				Veterinary Record 144: 165-168
				- Raj ABM and Gregory NG 1995
				Welfare implications of the gas
				stunning of pigs 1. Determination
				of aversion to the initial inhalation
				of carbon dioxide or argon.
				Animal Welfare 4: 273-280
				- Raj ABM and Gregory NG 1996
				Welfare implications of the gas
				stunning of pigs 2. Stress of
				induction of anaesthesia. Animal
				Welfare 5: 71-78

3628-	Nitrogon thi	[See Rationale for	This spection is poorly organized and	PAMI N2 trailer abstract
3628-	Nitrogenthi s can lead to	-	This section is poorly organized and	- 2014 Raj Stunning CO2 and
3671	inhalation of	suggested change]	confusing, and a rewrite of this section	
			should be considered. For example:	other gases book chapter
	foam into the		- It must be specified that nitrogen gassing	- 2010 Stunning pigs with different
	trachea and		should only be considered as a method of	gas mixtures: Aversion in pigs
	lungs		killing when nitrogen is used to achieve	- Raj ABM 1999 Behaviour of pigs
			anoxic conditions, and "anoxia" must be	exposed to mixtures of gases and
			clearly defined. For example, it is defined	the time required to stun and kill
			by the UK governmental Animal Welfare	them: welfare implications.
			Committee) as "the absence, or near	Veterinary Record 144: 165-168
			absence, of oxygen"; the EFSA (2024)	- Raj ABM and Gregory NG 1996
			defines anoxia as "< 2% by volume of	Welfare implications of the gas
			residual oxygen"; and the 2020 AVMA	stunning of pigs 2. Stress of
			Euthanasia Guidelines specify oxygen	induction of anaesthesia. Animal
			levels must be held at sufficiently low	Welfare 5: 71-78
			levels (2% or 3%) when nitrogen is used	
			for the euthanasia of poultry (p 77).	
			Currently, lines 3532–3633 are correct	
			("Nitrogen depopulation methods	
			displace air containing 21% oxygen and	
			reduce oxygen levels to < 2%, producing	
			death through anoxia"); however, the term	
			"hypoxia" is then intermittently used	
			(without being defined).	
			- High expansion nitrogen-filled foam is	
			currently discussed both in this section as	
			well as the section below on foams, and	
			contradictory statements are made. High	
			expansion nitrogen foam belongs in	
			"Inhaled methods," given its mechanism	
			of action. It could be included as a	
			subsection of "Nitrogen" or immediately	
			subsequent to this section.	
			- It must be clarified that nitrogen is not	
			directly aversive to animals; aversive	
			responses are only noted when it is	
		1	130ponoso are only noted when it is	

			combined with carbon dioxide or if residual oxygen levels are excessively high, resulting in prolonged hypoxia. References to CO2/N2 mixtures should be relocated to the CO2 section or in a separate section discussing gas mixtures. There should be an expanded discussion regarding the availability of a nitrogengassing trailer, developed by the Prairie Agricultural Machinery Institute (PAMI) and presented at the Humane Endings conference. Key references have been omitted and should be included, including some of those attached.	
3642- 3644	Exposure to 90% Ar, 70% N2/30% CO2, and 85% N2/15% CO2 all resulted in signs of aversion, defined by the authors as escape attempts and	[Delete or relocate statement]	The reason for including this sentence is unclear in a section entitled "Nitrogen," and it creates confusion. If this information is to be included, it must be made clear that the pigs' signs of aversion to the gas mixtures containing CO2 were due to the CO2 itself, not the N2. And the reason for discussing argon in this section is not clear. If argon is to be discussed, the section should be re-titled "inert gasses." If gas mixtures are to be discussed, there should be a separate	

gasping; however, the proportion of pigs showing these behaviors was lowest with Ar.17		section for gas mixtures, or gas mixtures including CO2 should be included in the CO2 section, since CO2 is well documented to be directly aversive to animals. (See references attached to the comments above.)	
3644- While swine 3649 did not show any strong aversive behaviors when exposed to air-filled or nitrogen-filled foams, they seemed to avoid putting their heads and snouts into the foam, and the rate of escape attempts through the lid increased when foam levels became high.18 Escape behaviors were observed and foam residues were noted in the trachea of swine stunned	[Delete or correct and relocate this statement]	Studies in swine show increased escape attempts but no gasping. Newer research suggests that escape attempts occur in response to noise from turning on foam generators or foam levels rising above snout level. Environmental adjustments (driving trailers toward foam generators so the sound increases gradually, and increasing rate of fill) can help mitigate fear and anxiety and result in fewer escape attempts (Campler 2025, a preprint that we believe has been submitted to the Depopulation Panel; Evaluation of High Expansion Nitrogen Foam for Depopulation of Market Swine in Missouri, a report that we believe has been submitted to the Depopulation Panel).	2024 Behavioural response in pigs at gas stunning with foam 2020 Improved pig welfare at slaughter - pigs' responses to airor nitrogen foam (abstract and presentation)

	with high expansion N2 foam for slaughter, with a high rate of follow-up stunning required (22%) following foam exposure for 3.5 min.19			
3655- 3656	Nitrogen gas mixtures do not appear to be directly aversive to swine and appear to reduce, but not eliminate, the behavioral responses to hypoxia.	[Delete or correct and relocate this sentence]	Whether gas mixtures containing N2 are aversive or not depends on what the other gases are in the mixture. N2 itself is nonaversive, while CO2 is—at least to most mammals and to pigs with genotypes commonly found in commercial lines. CO2/N2 mixtures should be discussed in the CO2 section or in a separate section about gas mixtures. The paper cited in the original paragraph states: "Hypoxia, induced by the inhalation of inert gases, such as argon or nitrogen, has also been evaluated to stun pigs under experimental conditions (Raj & Gregory 1995, 1996; Raj 1999). In contrast to hypercapnia, research has shown that hypoxia or anoxia does not cause aversion in pigs and does not induce any signs of respiratory distress prior to loss of consciousness (Raj & Gregory 1995)."	- 2010 Stunning pigs with different gas mixtures: Aversion in pigs - Raj ABM 1999 Behaviour of pigs exposed to mixtures of gases and the time required to stun and kill them: welfare implications. Veterinary Record 144: 165-168 - Raj ABM and Gregory NG 1995 Welfare implications of the gas stunning of pigs 1. Determination of aversion to the initial inhalation of carbon dioxide or argon. Animal Welfare 4: 273-280 - Raj ABM and Gregory NG 1996 Welfare implications of the gas stunning of pigs 2. Stress of induction of anaesthesia. Animal Welfare 5: 71-78 - 2014 Raj Stunning _ CO2 and Other Gases chapter

3656-	Nitrogen is	Nitrogonio	If there is to be a discussion of the forms	2024 Evaluation of nitrogen whole
	_	Nitrogen is		2024 Evaluation of nitrogen whole
3657	nonflammable	nonflammable and	in which it is available, this should include	house gassing for the mass
	, nonexplosive,	nonexplosive. It can be	a discussion of the different delivery	depopulation of poultry
	and readily	extracted from the	forms, for example, LIN (liquid nitrogen	2024 Utilizing Nitrogen Foam
	available as	atmosphere (for	tankers) v. N2 pumper trucks, and the	Euthanasia in Pennsylvania Swine
	compressed	example, for utilization	means by which access to the volumes	& Poutrly Operations
	gases.	in N2 gassing trailers	needed can be arranged during the	
		manufactured by Prairie	preparedness stage of the	
		Agricultural Machinery	emergency/disaster management cycle.	
		Institute). It can be	Please also note that the availability of	
		purchased as a	different forms of N2 is already discussed	
		compressed gas in	above at lines 3630–3631. A thorough	
		cylinders. If large	review of this section with an emphasis on	
		volumes are needed,	improving structure, coherence, and	
		liquid nitrogen is	applicability would be beneficial.	
		available via nitrogen		
		pumper trunks or LIN		
		tankers. [See "Rationale		
		for suggested change"		
		for additional		
		recommendations on		
		expanding this section.]		
3658-	N2-CO2 gas	[Delete or correct and	Neither of these sentences belongs in this	Livetec CGU info
3660	mixtures are	relocate this sentence]	section. CO2 mixtures should be covered	Bergen PAMI trailer abstract
	heavier than		in the CO2 section or in a new section	
	air and can be		regarding gas mixtures. It is unclear that a	
	contained		depopulation method that relies on	
	within an		lowering animals into a N2-CO2 gas	
	apparatus into		mixtures is currently available, so removal	
	which animals		of this reference may be preferred over	
	and birds can		retaining it. If the ability of gas to be	
	be lowered or		contained "within an apparatus into which	
	immersed.17		animals and birds can be lowered or	
	N2 containing		immersed" is discussed, the discussion	
	high-		should be expanded to discuss the N2	
1		1	1	1

	foams appear to be mildly aversive to neonatal and adult swine.18,20,21		words "animals and birds" should be replaced with "pigs," especially as containerized gassing systems that use inert gases are available (reference). High expansion nitrogen foam should be discussed in the section devoted to that topic.	
3727- 3728	Specialized electrocution trailers can be built or obtained to improve human safety and throughput of the depopulation process.27	Specialized electrocution trailers can be built or obtained to improve human safety and throughput of the depopulation process. A mobile electrocution trailer was found to have a high throughput. However, due to the specialized nature of this equipment, and extended time for manufacturing of this equipment, specialized electrocution trailers must be obtained preemptively and must be maintained such that it is available for rapid deployment.	This method will only be feasible if the electrocution trailer is secured ahead of time, as it is unlikely it could be rapidly acquired in an emergency.	2020 Validation of a Mobile Electrocution System for Humane Mass Depopulation of Swine – NPB #20-123

3783	The mode of	The mode of death	It is important to make clear that there are	HEFT A SYSTEM FOR LARGE-
	death	associated with	two different systems and then to indicate	SCALE EUTHANASIA OF
	associated	nitrogen-filled foam is	which system was being studied in the	LIVESTOCK
	with nitrogen-	anoxia. There are two	research reported in the remainder of the	
	filled foam is	different systems for	section. While they have an identical	2024 Utilizing Nitrogen Foam
	anoxia.	utilizing high expansion	mechanism of action, they are	Euthanasia in Pennsylvania Swine
		nitrogen foam. One,	appropriate in different contexts and carry	& Poultry Operations
		developed by the	different risks.	
		company HEFT, relies on		2023 N2 Foam stunning Culhane
		utilization of a closed	Please see "Evaluation of High Expansion	NAMI
		container. After being	Nitrogen Foam for Depopulation of Market	
		filled with the high	Swine in Missouri," a report that we	2024 Behavioural response in
		expansion nitrogen	believe has been submitted to the	pigs at gas stunning with foam
		foam, a burst of nitrogen	Depopulation Panel.	
		gas is used to		2023 The Utilization of Livetec
		simultaneously burst the		Systems' Nitrogen Foam Delivery
		bubbles and rapidly		System for the Rapid, Large-scale
		release the nitrogen they		Depopulation of Swine
		contain. The other,		
		utilized by the UK		2023 HEFT Technological
		company Livetec and		Summary
		the US-based AES, can		
		be applied in a corral,		2022 Validation and
		barn, or open-topped		Demonstration of Utilizing High
		container and relies on		Expansion Nitrogen Foam for
		building up the foam to a		Large Scale Depopulation of
		high level and allowing		Swine
		animal movement to		
		burst the foam bubbles.		2022 Euthanasia of healthy &
				non-viable piglets using high-exp
				n2 foam

3788-	Fill time will	[See Rationale for	First, it is important to clarify which type of	HEFT A SYSTEM FOR LARGE-
3790	depend on	suggested change]	high expansion N2 system is being	SCALE EUTHANASIA OF
	container size		discussed—closed container v. open	LIVESTOCK
	but in one		container/barn. For example, HEFT's C3	https://heftinternational.com/wp-
	setting in field		container system (area = 108 sq ft) can be	content/uploads/2024/10/C3-
	conditions was		filled within 30 seconds and HEFT reports	Product-Folder.pdf
	accomplished		animals lose consciousness in 10 to 20	- 2022 Controlled atmosphere
	in 100 to 140		seconds. The rate at which a Livetec or	stunning of pigs using N2, Ar, CO2
	seconds with		AES system can fill a container depends	in high-exp foam
	cessation of		on how many separate foam generators	2008 Assessment of
	movement of		are used and how big the container is.	unconsciousness during carbon
	nursery pigs at			dioxide stunning in pigs
	89-100.3		Second, it is also crucial to clarify here	2016 Time to loss of
	seconds,		and in the section on water-based foam,	consciousness and its relation to
	market pigs at		that cessation of movement may occur at	Behavior in slaughter Pigs during
	63.3 seconds		different times relative to loss of	stunning with 80 or 95% carbon
	and adults at		consciousness; therefore relying solely on	Dioxide
	169.25		cessation of movement when comparing	2013 Assessment of
	seconds.15		different foaming methods is problematic.	unconsciousness in pigs during
			It has been shown that, when pigs are	exposure to nitrogen and carbon
			killed with high concentrations of CO2,	dioxide mixtures
			muscular contractions begin before loss	1999 Behaviour of pigs exposed
			of consciousness (Verhoeven 2016,	to mixtures of gases and the time
			Rodriguez 2008). In addition, hypercarbia	required to stun and kill them:
			shortens the duration of muscular	welfare implications
			contractions after loss of consciousness	
			(Lindahl 2022). Although it has not been	
			specifically assessed, hypercarbia is likely	
			to develop with water-based foam due to	
			its mechanism of obstructive asphyxia	
			(airway occlusion), which prevents gas	
			exchange (it is well accepted in	
			anesthesiology that airway occlusion	
			rapidly results in hypercarbia, or elevated	
			CO2 levels in the blood). In contrast,	
			muscular convulsions resulting from	

			anoxia alone begin after consciousness is lost and convulsions persist for longer when anoxia is not accompanied by hypercarbia (Raj 1999). Thus, when comparing HENF and waterbased foam, it may not be appropriate to equate time to cessation of movement with time to loss of consciousness. A significant percentage of the movement of pigs in the water-based foam is likely due to conscious struggling in response to the inability to expand the lungs in the face of rapidly worsening hypercarbia. In contrast, much of the movement of pigs subjected to HENF is likely to occur after loss of consciousness.	
3793	Some foams may cause dermal and eye irritation.	[This sentence should be deleted.]	Reference is needed or this sentence should be removed. The EFSA scientific opinion entitled "The use of high expansion foam for stunning and killing pigs and poultry" (https://efsa.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/epdf/10.2903/j.efsa.2024.8855) specifically examined this issue and noted, "The results provided do not indicate that mucosal irritation occurs due to exposure of animals to the foam. Therefore, it is concluded with a certainty > 50%–100% (more likely than not) that no	UK AWC opinion on the use of high exp N2 foam EFSA scientific opinion use of high exp foam 2023 HEFT Technological Summary

			mucosal irritation due to foam occurs." The UK governmental Animal Welfare Committee noted in their scientific opinion, "A further welfare concern is the possibility of eye and skin irritation from the foam constituents. However, the lack of an "irritant" behavioural response in studies of broilers and laying hens would perhaps suggest that these particular types of bird are not sensitive to the foam formulation used in that specific study. Birds were submerged in air filled foam and stood quietly without signs of irritation until retrieved." At least some high expansion foam products marketed for depopulation/euthanasia specifically note that the foam is non-irritating: https://heftinternational.com/heftfoamag ent/	
3794- 3795	Have suitable respiration equipment (self-contained breathing apparatus [SCBA], oxygen) and human rescue equipment available.	[This sentence should be deleted.]	High expansion foam is a very light foam that can be blown away rapidly with a leaf blower. Free nitrogen gas will rapidly disperse unless one is in a small, enclosed space. SCBA equipment is not required.	2023 The Utilization of Livetec Systems' Nitrogen Foam Delivery System for the Rapid, Large-scale Depopulation of Swine 2024 Opinion on the Use of High Expansion N2 foam delivery systems for depop of poultry flocks UK AWC 2022 Validation and Demonstration of Utilizing High Expansion Nitrogen Foam for Large Scale Depopulation of Swine

3796	Nitrogen is nonflammable , nonexplosive, and readily available as compressed gases.	Nitrogen is nonflammable, nonexplosive, and readily available as a compressed gas or as liquid nitrogen.	If there is to be a discussion of the forms in which it is available, this should include a discussion of the different delivery forms, for example, LIN tankers v. N2 pumper trucks, and the means by which access to the volumes needed can be arranged during the preparedness stage of the emergency/disaster management cycle. Please also note that the availability of different forms of N2 is already discussed above, at two different locations in the "Nitrogen" section. A thorough review of this section with an emphasis on improving structure,	2024 Evaluation of nitrogen whole house gassing for the mass depopulation of poultry 2024 Utilizing Nitrogen Foam Euthanasia in Pennsylvania Swine & Poultry Operations
			coherence, and applicability would be beneficial.	
3799- 3801	In one study to of the animals were determined to have their tracheas occluded by foam but in most studies where necropsy was performed, death was determined to be via anoxia versus occlusion.15	In one study two of the animals were determined to have some foam in their tracheas, but without occlusion. In all of the studies in which necropsy was performed, death was determined to be via anoxia rather than occlusion.	The original statement is false. The study cited (https://porkcheckoff.org/wp-content/uploads/2022/06/21-069-WILLIAMS-final-rpt.pdf) found that in one trial, 50–60% of pigs had high expansion foam present in the airway, however "Of these 60 animals evaluated, none showed signs of occlusion of the trachea." It also states, "In summary, a total of 6 replicates utilizing a total of 551 pigs from wean age to adult were successfully depopulated, with none displaying occlusion of the trachea, utilizing high expansion foam with the Livetec Nitrogen Foam Depopulation System." The EFSA, in its literature review on the use of high expansion foam for poultry and swine slaughter, found no evidence that high expansion nitrogen foam results in	- EFSA Panel on Animal Health and Welfare. (2024). The use of high expansion foam for stunning and killing pigs and poultry. EFSA Journal. European Food Safety Authority, 22(7), e8855. https://doi.org/10.2903/j.efsa.2024.8855 .
			occlusion of the airway, but notes that	

			foam may enter the airway (posing a welfare concern) if bubble size is incorrect. A preprint publication we believe has been submitted to the Swine Working Group (Campler 2025) similarly did not find evidence of airway occlusion in pigs depopulated with high expansion N2 foam. Postmortem lesions were similar between CO2, high expansion N2 foam, and pentobarbital, but very different for WBF.	
3802-3805	Based on the wash-in and wash-out functions, gradual displacement methods using N2 alone or mixed with other gases, may result in exposure to hypoxic conditions prior to loss of consciousness . Loss of consciousness will be preceded by open mouth breathing and hyperpnea,	[Delete or relocate this section]	This is applicable to systems that inject gas directly, but not to high expansion N2 foam systems. Part of the benefit of using foam to create a low oxygen environment is that the foam can rapidly displace oxygen and avoid prolonged exposure to inadequately hypoxic conditions. (See attached reference: "An innovative technique dispersing the nitrogen gas in soap foam bubbles resulted in 2.7 times quicker oxygen depletion than when only using free nitrogen gas" (Wallenbeck 2020).)	2020 Improved pig welfare at slaughter –pigs' responses to air-or n2 foam ABSTRACT

	which may be distressing for non-avian species.			
3805-3806	Studies in swine show increased escape attempts but no gasping	Studies in pigs show increased escape attempts but no gasping. Newer research suggests that noise from the foam generators and rising levels of foam are responsible for escape attempts. Provision of anxiolysis via pharmaceutical means, as is mentioned in other species sections in this document and routinely used in companion animal medicine, may be another means of reducing or eliminating negative affective states such as fear and anxiety. Further research is needed.	Given that tissue residues are less of a concern when animals are depopulated rather than slaughtered for consumption, the Panel on Depopulation should seriously consider recommending research into whether anxiolytic medications can be utilized to minimize outcomes indicative of negative welfare, such as escape attempts. Unlike CO2-, airway occlusion- or hyperthermia-based methods, neither high expansion foam nor the nitrogen gas is inherently aversive or painful; rather, pigs seem to react to the noise and buildup of foam past snout level. This suggests that providing anxiolysis prior to depopulation with this method might further improve welfare.	2021 Pharmacokinetics of oral and compounded intravenous gabapentin in Duroc swine (Sus scrofa) Di Cesare, F., Negro, V., Ravasio, G., Villa, R., Draghi, S., & Cagnardi, P. (2023). Gabapentin: Clinical Use and Pharmacokinetics in Dogs, Cats, and Horses. <i>Animals</i> , 13(12), 2045. https://doi.org/10.3390/ani13122 045 Erickson, A., Harbin, K., MacPherson, J., Rundle, K., & Overall, K. L. (2021). A review of pre-appointment medications to reduce fear and anxiety in dogs and cats at veterinary visits. <i>The Canadian veterinary journal = La revue veterinaire canadienne</i> , 62(9), 952–960. Rørvang, M. V., Blad, M., Lindahl, C., & Wallenbeck, A. (2024). An added aroma changes the

				behaviour of domestic pigs in a novel situation aimed for stunning. <i>Applied Animal</i> <i>Behaviour Science</i> , <i>270</i> , 106145 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.applani m.2023.106145
3806-3807	The Nitrogen is expensive and specialized equipment is needed to get the gas in the proper form and mixed with the foam.	Nitrogen is less costly and more widely available than carbon dioxide, and costs continue to decrease as nitrogen separation systems improve. One of the primary benefits of nitrogen is that it is produced throughout the country via air separation units that are owned and operated by gas supply companies, unlike CO2, suppliers of which are reliant on third party manufacturing plants for which CO2 is not their primary product. For these reasons, N2 is far less prone to significant supply chain interruption. In addition, because the liquid form of nitrogen is much denser than CO2, a greater amount of	The claim that "nitrogen is expensive" lacks context and is false when compared to the cost of CO2 and, in some parts of the country, the cost of the large volumes of water required for use of water-based foam. The source of this information is Livestock Welfare Strategies, which can be consulted regarding procurement and costs of nitrogen and carbon dioxide in the context of depopulation. Specialized equipment is necessary, but is currently readily available from three different distributors: HEFT (https://heftinternational.com/), Livetec (https://www.livetecsystems.co.uk/), and AES Inc. (https://www.agemergency.com/).	2024 Evaluation of nitrogen whole house gassing for the mass depopulation of poultry

3807	Travel costs for nitrogen tanks may be	[Delete this sentence or provide substantiation]	Reference is required. Per attached reference, liquid nitrogen (LIN) is "readily available throughout North America,low	2024 Evaluation of nitrogen whole house gassing for the mass depopulation of poultry
		nitrogen can be transported per trailer. Thus, transport costs are generally much lower for nitrogen than for CO2. Specialized equipment is needed for the utilization of high expansion nitrogen foam, therefore it is necessary to purchase and stockpile such equipment in the preparedness stage, prior to any actual emergency.		

4519 6.1 General [Consider adding the Consideration following language somewhere within the s **General Considerations** section] Historically, the need for depopulation has been rare and intermittent, however, in recent years, depopulation due to highly pathogenic avian influenza (HPAI) has become a regular occurrence. The current outbreak began 3 years ago in the United States and, due to the virus having become endemic in wild birds on numerous continents. including North America, HPAI infections of commercial flocks are likely to be a common occurrence for the foreseeable future. For this reason, it is essential that adequate attention be given to other parts of the emergency management cycle, particularly in terms of mitigating risk.

This section does not mention the fact that intensive poultry production has contributed to HPAI, via increased frequency of LPAI-HPAI conversion events (Dhingra 2018) or that research suggests larger flocks are likely to become infected with HPAI. This is important, given that risk mitigation is an important step in the disaster management cycle that is referenced in the introduction. The draft Guidelines emphasize exposure to wild birds as increasing disease risk, but do not address issues like stocking density, air quality, house/farm population size, and stress level, which can also affect disease risk. Biosecurity and minimizing exposure to wild birds who may be carrying HPAI is one component of this. In addition, approaches including vaccination, reducing flock sizes, and improving animal resilience via minimizing housing-related stressors, such as poor air quality, have been proposed (Granger et al. 2024, World Organization for Animal Health 2023, OHHLEP 2023, UNEP CMS 2024).

- https://www.woah.org/app/uploads/2023/12/en-woah-policybrief-avianinfluenzavaccinationandtrade.pdf
- 2018 Geographical &Historical Patterns in the Emergences of Novel Highly Pathogenic Avian Influenza (HPAI) H5 &H7 Viruses in Poultry
- The Panzootic Spread of Highly Pathogenic Avian Influenza H5N1 Sublineage 2.3.4.4b: A Critical Appraisal of One Health Preparedness and Prevention."
- https://awionline.org/sites/defaul t/files/uploads/documents/Com ments-APHIS-HPAI-Response-Activities-Draft-Programmatic-EIS.pdf
- Swayne, D.E. & Sims, L. (2023).
 Vaccine Usage to Control Highly
 Pathogenic Avian Influenza in
 Poultry and Other Domestic
 Birds: Setting the Scene, available
 at: https://rr-
- americas.woah.org/app/uploads/ 2023/05/0206-engswayne-hpaivax-setting-stage.pdf
- Resolution 14.18, UN
 ENVIRONMENT PROGRAMME,
 CONVENTION ON MIGRATORY
 SPECIES, (February 2024),
 https://www.cms.int/sites/defaul

		t/files/document/cms_cop14_res .14.18_avian-influenza_e.pdf

4707-	More recently,	More recently, during	This statement requires substantiation. It	-
4710	during the	the HPAI outbreak	equates correlation with causation. The	https://awionline.org/sites/defaul
	HPAI outbreak	starting in 2022, there	USDA/CEAH analysis that investigated	t/files/uploads/documents/Com
	starting in	was a significant	this was unable to draw any firm	ments-APHIS-HPAI-Response-
	2022, there	decrease in the time to	conclusions. For example, it states,	Activities-Draft-Programmatic-
	was a	depopulation. This	"While one intent of the 24–48 hour	EIS.pdf
	significant	change is theorized to	depopulation goal is to prevent lateral	
	decrease in	have decreased the	spread, it is difficult to objectively define	
	the time to	lateral spread of the	and measure the extent to which	
	depopulation.	virus from farm to farm,	depopulation methods or timing prevent	
	This change	though this has not been	or contribute to lateral spread.	
	has markedly	confirmed (APHIS 2024)	Phylogenetic data helps identify premises	
	decreased the	and the current HPAI has	where lateral spread likely occurred, and	
	lateral spread	resulted in more than	may provide insight into directionality;	
	of the virus	twice the number of	however, the epidemiological and	
	from farm to	birds destroyed as	production data is needed to better	
	farm.	during the previous	understand the timing and mechanisms of	
		outbreak.	virus movement. Conclusive evidence	
			indicating the exact day of transmission is	
			rare, and this makes it challenging to	
			confidently separate cases of lateral	
			spread that occurred before detection	
			from cases of lateral spread that occurred	
			after detection due to the timing of	
			depopulation For commercial turkey	
			and duck premises, this report suggests	
			that premises involved in a CSLT	
			[common source or lateral transmission]	
			cluster started depopulation sooner than	
			premises categorized as IND	
			[independent point source introduction].	
			However, similar median times were	
			observed for commercial table egg and	
			commercial broiler premises. This	
			association is counterintuitive to what	
			CEAH analysts expected and is likely due	

4903	Inort good		to State-level influence, given that the time to start depopulation for premises categorized as CSLT varied by State." Given research that flock size on a given operation is inversely correlated with the speed at which it can be depopulated, please consider expanding this section to discuss other means of reducing lateral spread (see pages 11-20 of the attached document, which include an analysis of depopulation records showing that excessively large farm populations make it impossible to meet APHIS's 48-hour depopulation goal, which presumably increases the risk of these operations spreading HPAI to other flocks, wildlife, etc.).	EESA Danal on Animal Haalth
4802-4803	Inert gases cause death by hypoxia, and therefore have an animal welfare advantage compared to carbon dioxide which can potentially be aversive to avian species.19-21	Inert gases cause death by anoxia, and therefore have an animal welfare advantage compared to carbon dioxide which can potentially be aversive to avian species.	Given the extremely low levels of oxygen in Nitrogen Whole House Gassing (NWHG), it appears that "extreme hypoxia" or "anoxia" would be more precise/correct terms than "hypoxia." Since anoxia is referenced above in the Swine sections on nitrogen and nitrogen foam (lines 3800, 3783, 3633), it seems this would be preferred. For example, the UK governmental Animal Welfare Committee defines anoxia as "the absence, or near absence, of oxygen." The EFSA (2024) defines anoxia as "< 2% by volume of residual oxygen." The 2020 AVMA Euthanasia Guidelines specify	- EFSA Panel on Animal Health and Welfare. (2024). The use of high expansion foam for stunning and killing pigs and poultry. EFSA Journal. European Food Safety Authority, 22(7), e8855. https://doi.org/10.2903/j.efsa.2024.8855. - 2024 UK AWC Opinion on the Use of High Expansion N2 foam delivery systems for depop of poultry flocks

			oxygen levels must be held at sufficiently low levels (2% or 3%) when nitrogen is used for euthanasia of poultry (p 77).	AVMA. (2020). AVMA Guidelines for the Euthanasia of Animals: 2020 Edition. https://www.avma.org/sites/default/files/2020-02/Guidelines-on-Euthanasia-2020.pdf. Page 77.
4843- 4844	In situations where it is possible to maintain gas concentrations	In situations where it is possible to maintain gas concentrations at a high level, an inert gas such as nitrogen or argon can	Consider expanding discussion so that readers will be able to access necessary equipment.	PAMI N2 trailer abstract Livetec CGU product information
	at a high level, an inert gas such as nitrogen or argon can also be used in a containerized	also be used in a containerized system. For example, a nitrogen gassing trailer, with a built-in nitrogen generator, has been developed by the Prairie		
	system.	Agricultural Machinery Institute. Although it was developed for pigs, it can be utilized for poultry species as well. In addition, Livetec has developed containerized gassing units for poultry that can utilize both argon and nitrogen.		

	T		T	T
4858-	Field research	Field research has	It should be clearly communicated that	http://www.lapsinfo.com/press-
4860	has	demonstrated that LAPS	mobile LAPS units are available in the U.S.	<u>release</u>
	demonstrated	is an effective and	from TechnoCatch.	
	that LAPS is an	humane method of		
	effective and	depopulation of poultry,		
	humane	however there remain		
	method of	significant technical		
	depopulation	hurdles, (such as		
	of poultry,	handling		
	however there	modules/crates,		
	remain	removing birds, etc.),		
	significant	hindering its widespread		
	technical	adoption. However,		
	hurdles, (such	mobile units that can be		
	as handling	transported via trailer		
	modules/crate	are available		
	s, removing			
	birds, etc.),			
	hindering its			
	widespread			
	adoption.			

4973-	At slaughter	A mobile device called	This current section appears very out of	2020 Improving welfare in poultry
4984	houses,	the H2H Euthanizer can	place, as it discusses electrical stunning	slaughter
1004	electrical	be utilized for	with water baths in slaughterhouses,	2018 Effectiveness and method
	stunning in the	depopulation of small	failing to mention the controversy	H2H Euthanizer 9 page report
	United States	flocks, or survivors of	regarding whether the type of electrical	2019 Top Equipment_Operators
	involves	other depopulation	stunning performed in the US actually	Manual_H2H Euthanizer_2019-
	pulsed direct	methods. When utilized	renders birds unconscious rather than	12-30
	current with	per manufacturer's	merely immobilizing them (McKeegan,	H2H_Euthanizer - Chicken
	low current (25	instructions, it is	2020, p 10-12). Moreover, the mobile	_ electrocution
	to 45 mA/	reported to lead to	individual bird electrical stunning systems	
	bird),103 low	instantaneous and	most applicable to a depopulation	
	voltage (10 to	irreversible loss of	scenario do not use a waterbath at all.	
	25 V),55-57	consciousness,	This section should be deleted and	
	and high	followed by death.	replaced with information relevant to on-	
	frequency		farm euthanasia and depopulation.	
	(approx. 500			
	Hz).55-57 This			
	type of system			
	became			
	possible with			
	advances in			
	electrical			
	circuitry and			
	changes to the			
	length of the			
	water bath			
	cabinet that			
	increase dwell			
	time of the			
	birds and			
	decrease the			
	total			
	resistance in			
	the water			
	bath.55 In a			
	survey of 329			

US poultry		
plants, 92.1%		
reported using		
electrical		
stunning and		
77.4% of those		
plants used		
low-voltage (10		
to 25 V), high-		
frequency (500		
Hz)		
systems.58		
Efficacy of		
these systems		
is influenced		
by the species,		
number, and		
size of the		
birds passing		
through the		
water bath		
because with		
increasing size		
and number of		
birds in the		
bath at one		
time the		
resistance		
increases and		
because		
parallel paths		
of current arise		
with increasing		
numbers of		
birds. Variable		
resistance can		

	result in insufficient current to produce immediate unconsciousn ess. Constant-current stunners may alleviate this problem.59			
5001- 5002	High expansion	High expansion foams with large bubble sizes	Given the extremely low levels of oxygen in HENF, it appears that "extreme hypoxia"	EFSA HEFT opinion AWC HEFT opinion
	foams with	(> 15mm; 0.6 in) are less	or "anoxia" would be more precise/correct	Culhane presentation
	large bubble	likely to result in	terms than "hypoxia." Since anoxia is	
	sizes (>15mm;	occlusion of the airway	referenced above in the Swine sections on	
	0.6in) are less	compared to smaller	nitrogen and nitrogen foam (lines 3800,	
	likely to result	bubbles, instead	3783, 3633), it seems this would be	
	in occlusion of	causing death due to	preferred. For example, the UK	
	the airway	severe hypoxia or anoxia	governmental Animal Welfare Committee	

	compared to		defines anoxia as "the absence, or near	
	smaller		absence, of oxygen." The EFSA (2024)	
	bubbles,		defines anoxia as "<2% by volume of	
	instead		_	
			residual oxygen." The 2020 AVMA	
	causing death		Euthanasia Guidelines specify oxygen	
	due to		levels must be held at sufficiently low	
	hypoxia.60,61		levels (2% or 3%) when nitrogen is used	
F400	Viota - II	The contains and	for euthanasia of poultry (p 77).	Malkagas DEE 0040 Mass
5188-	Virtually any	The container size and	At present, several different methods of	McKeegan, DEF. 2018. Mass
5244	sealable	number need to be	containerized gassing are described and	depopulation. In: Advances in
	container	appropriate for the flock	presented as relatively equivalent.	Poultry Welfare (Mench Ed.). 5726
	could be used	size and equipment	However, some containers offer very little	Woodhead Publishing, Kidlington,
	for	available to handle the	control over gas flow and temperature,	United Kingdom.
	containerized	containers. Virtually any	factors that impact animal welfare. In	Livetec CGU product information
	gassing, from	sealable container could	addition, the design of the container and	
	trash cans with	be used for	the gas(es) used also significantly impact	
	lids to	containerized gassing,	animal welfare. In order to ensure readers	
	purpose-built	from trash cans with lids	understand the welfare implications of	
	units with	to purpose-built units	different types of containerized gassing, a	
	automated gas	with automated gas	more careful and nuanced discussion is	
	delivery	delivery systems,	needed.	
	systems. The	however, the animal		
	container size	welfare impacts of		
	and number	different systems vary		
	need to be	widely depending on		
	appropriate for	factors such as (1)		
	the flock size	whether gas flow and		
	and equipment	temperature can be		
	available to	controlled, (2) whether		
	handle the	the design of the		
	containers.	container results in		
		piling of birds such that		
		some birds die via		
		mechanical asphyxia		
		(pressure on their		
		coelom preventing		

			<u> </u>	
		breathing), (3) what type		
		of gas is used and at		
		what concentration, and		
		(4) whether birds require		
		extensive handling or		
		can be walking into the		
		enclosure used for		
		gassing (McKeegan		
		2018). If containerized		
		gassing is to be used,		
		every effort should be		
		made to utilize a system		
		that maximizes animal		
		welfare. To achieve this,		
		advanced planning and		
		preparation are		
		required, including		
		obtaining the necessary		
		equipment and enacting		
		contracts to enable		
		rapid acquisition of		
		needed gases.		
5294-	It is important	It is important to	The welfare implications of low- and	
5296	to differentiate	differentiate between	medium-expansion foams should be	
	between	medium/low-expansion	articulated here. To avoid confusion, the	
	medium/low-	foams and high	terms "expansion," rather than "density"	
	expansion	expansion foams as they	should be used. High expansion foams	
	foams and high	have very different	will necessarily be low density, and vice-	
	expansion	animal welfare impacts.	versa.	
	foams as they	[Reiterate the difference		
	have very	between the two		
	different	different types of foam in		
	animal welfare	terms of the mechanism		
	impacts (see	of killing, associated		
	other foam	affective states, and		
	sections).	time to loss of		

		consciousness, and impact on animal welfare, rather than merely referring to another section]		
5311	The method of death is mechanical hypoxia.61,63	The method of death is airway occlusion (obstructive asphyxia), which prevents respiration and the exchange of both oxygen and carbon dioxide.	"Mechanical hypoxia" is an ambiguous and inaccurate term for describing airway occlusion. Per the attached reference (Ewen, B.J. 2016, Nondrowning Asphyxia in Veterinary Forensic Pathology: Suffocation, Strangulation), and Mechanical Asphyxia, mechanical asphyxiation is defined as one of the following: positional asphyxia (= position of the animal compromises the ability to breathe) or traumatic asphyxia (= external chest or abdominal compression by a heavy object preventing respiration). Furthermore, airway occlusion results not only in hypoxia but also in hypercarbia (Murray 2022). In a conscious animal, increase in PaCO2 increases drive to breathe and, based on our understanding of types of dyspnea in humans, increases the intensity of the unpleasant sensation of air hunger when coupled with inability to bring in more air. The terms "airway occlusion" or "obstructive asphyxia" are more accurate and precise descriptors for the mechanism of death of both compressed air foam and low- or medium-expansion water-based foam.	2016 Nondrowning asphyxia in veterinary forensic pathology_suffocation, strangulation & mech asphyxia 2014 Mellor Introducing Breathlessness 2022 Complete tracheal obstruction during anaesthesia for ventral slot decompression

5436-	In addition to	Depending on the	Anesthetic, analgesics, and anxiolytics	
5439	the challenges	medication utilized, it is	are not being proposed as a killing (lethal)	
	described	possible that, in some	method. Therefore, discussion of	
	above for use	cases, differentiating	sublethal doses is inappropriate.	
	of oral drugs to	sedated animals from		
	decrease	deceased animals may		
	negative	be challenging. In such		
	affective	cases, consideration		
	states at the	should be given to		
	time of killing,	delaying disposal of		
	another	carcasses beyond the		
	challenge in	time point at which		
	this context is	sedated birds who		
	individual	survived the		
	consumption	depopulation method		
	of sublethal	would have recovered.		
	doses and			
	differentiating			
	sedated			
	animals from			
	deceased			
	individuals.			
	Confirmation			
	of death prior			
	to disposal is			
	crucial with			
	this method.			
5446-	Because of	Because of the pain	It is accepted that birds feel pain	2016 Efficient halal bleeding,
5447	the anxiety	associated with	immediately in response to trauma, such	animal handling, and welfare- A
	associated	laceration of the cervical	as deep laceration of the skin and soft	holistic approach for meat quality
	with extreme	region and anxiety	tissues in the cervical region (McKeegan	2020 Improving walfare of navity
	hypovolemia,	associated with extreme	2020 p. 33, Aghwan 2016, p. 424-425).	2020 Improving welfare of poultry
	exsanguinatio	hypovolemia,	Therefore, both pain and anxiety are potential concerns with this method of	at slaughter
	n as a sole method of	exsanguination as a sole		
		method of killing must	killing.	
	killing should			

be used only	not be used on	
on	conscious animals.	
unconscious		
animals.14		