

1 JEFFREY H. WOOD
Acting Assistant Attorney General
2 United States Department of Justice
Environment & Natural Resources Division
3

4 S. DEREK SHUGERT, OH Bar No. 84188
Trial Attorney
Natural Resources Section
5 Post Office Box 7611
Washington, D.C. 20044-7611
6 Phone: (202) 514-9269
7 Fax: (202) 305-0506
shawn.shugert@usdoj.gov

8 *Attorneys for Federal Defendants*
9

10 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
11 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION
12

13 CENTER FOR BIOLOGICAL DIVERSITY, *et al.*,

14 Plaintiffs,

15 v.

16 USDA APHIS WILDLIFE SERVICES, *et al.*,

17 Federal Defendants.
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Case No. 3:17-cv-3564-WHA

**STIPULATED
SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT**

1 WHEREAS, Plaintiffs Center for Biological Diversity, Western Watersheds Project, Animal
2 Legal Defense Fund, Project Coyote/Earth Island Institute, Animal Welfare Institute, and Wildearth
3 Guardians (“Plaintiffs”), brought claims pursuant to the Administrative Procedure Act (“APA”), 5
4 U.S.C. §§ 701-706, alleging violations of the National Environmental Policy Act (“NEPA”), 42 U.S.C.
5 §§ 4321-4347, and its implementing regulations, 40 C.F.R. §§ 1500-1508, against the U.S. Department
6 of Agriculture Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service-Wildlife Services (“APHIS-Wildlife
7 Services”) and William H. Clay in his official capacity as the Deputy Administrator of APHIS-Wildlife
8 Services (“Federal Defendants”);

9 WHEREAS, Plaintiffs’ claims allege that APHIS-Wildlife Services is violating NEPA and the
10 APA by failing or refusing to supplement its NEPA analysis regarding wildlife damage management
11 activities in California’s North District;

12 WHEREAS, Plaintiffs’ position is that significant new circumstances and information have
13 emerged since APHIS-Wildlife Services last prepared its 1994 Programmatic Environmental Impact
14 Statement and its 1997 Environmental Assessment (“EA”) and Finding of No Significant Impact
15 (“FONSI”);

16 WHEREAS, the Parties have engaged in good faith settlement negotiations in an effort to avoid
17 the time and expense of further litigation;

18 WHEREAS, Plaintiffs and Federal Defendants believe therefore that it is in the interests of the
19 Parties, and judicial economy to resolve the claims in this action without additional litigation;

20 NOW THEREFORE, it is stipulated and agreed to by Plaintiffs and Federal Defendants as
21 follows:

- 22 1. NEPA Review. APHIS-Wildlife Services entered into a Memorandum of Understanding
23 (“MOU”) with the California Department of Food and Agriculture (“CDFA”) to collaborate
24 on environmental analysis of wildlife management activities in California’s North District.
25 Nothing in this Agreement binds the State of California in any way. It is only an agreement
26 between Plaintiffs and Federal Defendants.
- 27 2. APHIS-Wildlife Services commits to the following:

- 1 a. By December 31, 2023, APHIS-Wildlife Services will issue a new Final
2 Environmental Impact Statement (“FEIS”) and Record of Decision (“ROD”). If
3 either CDFA or APHIS-Wildlife Services terminates the MOU, APHIS-Wildlife
4 Services agrees that it will unilaterally complete an FEIS and ROD. If APHIS-
5 Wildlife Services anticipates that it will be unable to meet the 6 year deadline set out
6 in this Paragraph, APHIS-Wildlife Services will confer with the Plaintiffs regarding
7 the estimated time for completing the actions specified in this first sentence of this
8 Paragraph and reserves the right to seek to modify the Agreement to extend time for
9 completion of the actions specified in this first sentence of this Paragraph pursuant to
10 Paragraph 7 below. Plaintiffs reserve the right to oppose any such extension.
- 11 b. Except activities for the protection of health and human safety,¹ activities targeting
12 invasive species (including feral swine), and activities on behalf of threatened and
13 endangered species, between the date that this Agreement is executed and the date
14 that the ROD is signed, APHIS-Wildlife Services agrees to the following interim
15 measures:
- 16 i. APHIS-Wildlife Services agrees not to use EPA-labeled pesticides targeting
17 mammalian species within the North District;
 - 18 ii. APHIS-Wildlife Services agrees to use only non-lead ammunition for all
19 wildlife damage management activities conducted in the North District, except
20 when dispatching animals for which carcasses will be retrieved from the
21 environment, subject to a 60-day transition period from the date of execution
22 of this Agreement;
 - 23 iii. APHIS-Wildlife Services agrees not to use body-gripping traps, glue traps, or
24 spring-powered harpoon traps in Wilderness Areas and Wilderness Study
25

26 ¹ APHIS-Wildlife Services agrees to provide Plaintiffs an annual report of the number and
27 circumstances surrounding activities undertaken for health and human safety that implicate any of the
28 interim measures identified in 2b.

1 Areas in the North District;

2 iv. APHIS-Wildlife Services agrees not to conduct aerial operations in
3 Wilderness Areas and Wilderness Study Areas in the North District;

4 v. APHIS-Wildlife Services agrees to abide by the recommended gray wolves
5 mitigation measures provided in the April 15, 2014, concurrence letter by the
6 U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (“FWS”).

7 3. Definitions. The parties agree that the following terms used in this Settlement Agreement
8 have the following definitions:

9 a. The term “body-gripping trap” is defined as one that grips the mammal’s body or
10 body part, including, but not limited to, steel-jawed leghold traps, padded-jaw leghold
11 traps, conibear traps, and snares. Cage and box traps, nets, suitcase-type live beaver
12 traps, and common rat and mouse traps shall not be considered body-gripping trap.

13 b. The term “in areas occupied by gray wolves” as it appears in the April 15, 2014,
14 concurrence letter from FWS is defined as, consistent with the consultation by FWS,
15 areas where wolves are known to exist through reports and verification by the FWS
16 and/or the California Department of Fish and Wildlife (“CDFW”).

17 c. The term “North District” is defined as areas within the boundaries of the following
18 counties: Butte, Del Norte, Glenn, Humboldt, Lassen, Mendocino, Modoc, Nevada,
19 Plumas, Shasta, Sierra, Siskiyou, Sutter, Tehama, Trinity, and Yuba.

20 d. The term “protection of health and human safety” is defined as activities, in response
21 to a request by CDFW, to wildlife that demonstrate aggressive action that has resulted
22 in physical contact with a human or exhibits an immediate threat to public health and
23 safety, given the totality of the circumstances. “Immediate threat” refers to wildlife
24 that exhibits one or more aggressive behaviors directed toward a person that is not
25 reasonably believed to be due to the presence of responders. “Public safety” includes
26 situations where a wildlife remains a threat despite efforts to allow or encourage it
27 through active means to leave the area.
28

1 e. The term “activities on behalf of threatened and endangered species” is defined as
2 activities conducted at the direction of, and with the concurrence of, FWS or CDFW
3 on behalf of federally or state listed threatened or endangered species.

- 4 4. Attorneys’ Fees and Costs. The Parties have agreed to settle any and all of Plaintiffs’ claims
5 for attorneys’ fees, costs, and expenses associated with this litigation for a lump sum of
6 \$6,214.86. This Settlement Agreement represents the entirety of the undersigned Parties’
7 commitments with regard to settlement of claims for attorneys’ fees, costs, and expenses.
- 8 5. Modification. This Agreement may be modified by written stipulation between the Parties.
9 In the event that either party seeks to modify the terms of this Agreement, the party seeking
10 the modification will confer at the earliest possible time with the other party.
- 11 6. Subsequent NEPA Challenges. Nothing in this Settlement precludes any challenge by
12 Plaintiffs to the validity or sufficiency of the NEPA analysis completed pursuant to
13 paragraphs 2 and 3 above. Such challenges shall be made only upon (1) completion of the
14 entire NEPA process following the issuance of APHIS-Wildlife Service’s FEIS and ROD,
15 and (2) Plaintiffs’ exhaustion of any and all available administrative appeal opportunities.
16 For any such challenge, judicial review will be conducted only to the extent allowed by, and
17 pursuant to, the judicial review provisions of the APA.
- 18 7. Dispute Resolution. In the event of a dispute among the Parties concerning the interpretation
19 or implementation of any aspect of this Stipulation, the disputing Party shall provide the
20 other Party with a written notice outlining the nature of the dispute and requesting informal
21 negotiations. The Parties shall meet and confer to attempt to resolve the dispute. If the
22 Parties cannot reach an agreed-upon resolution after 60 days following receipt of a written
23 notice requesting informal negotiations or such longer time agreed to by the Parties, any
24 Party may move the Court to resolve the dispute. No motion or other proceeding seeking to
25 enforce this Stipulation or for contempt of court shall be properly filed unless the Party
26 seeking to enforce this Stipulation has followed the procedure set forth in this Paragraph, and
27 the Party believes there has been noncompliance with an order of the Court. In addition, this
28

1 Stipulation shall not, in the first instance, be enforceable through a proceeding for contempt
2 of court.

3 8. Representative Authority. The undersigned representatives of Plaintiffs and Federal
4 Defendants certify that they are fully authorized by the party or parties whom they represent
5 to enter into the terms and conditions of this Settlement Agreement and to legally bind those
6 parties to it.

7 9. Compliance with Other Laws. Nothing in this Settlement Agreement shall be interpreted as,
8 or shall constitute, a commitment or requirement that Federal Defendants obligate or pay
9 funds, or take any other actions in contravention of the Anti-Deficiency Act, 31 U.S.C. §
10 1341, or any other applicable law. Nothing in this Settlement Agreement shall be construed
11 to deprive a federal official of authority to revise, amend, or promulgate regulations, or to
12 amend or revise land and resource management plans. Nothing in this Settlement Agreement
13 is intended to, or shall be construed to, waive any obligation to exhaust administrative
14 remedies; to constitute an independent waiver of the United States' sovereign immunity; to
15 change the standard of judicial review of federal agency actions under the APA; or to
16 otherwise extend or grant this Court jurisdiction to hear any matter, except as expressly
17 provided in the Settlement Agreement.

18 10. Offsetting debts. Under 31 U.S.C. §§ 3711, 3716; 26 U.S.C. § 6402(d); 31 C.F.R. §§ 285.5,
19 901.3; and other authorities, the United States will offset against the payment made pursuant
20 to this stipulation Plaintiffs' delinquent debts to the United States, if any. *See Astrue v.*
21 *Ratliff*, 560 U.S. 586 (2010).

22 11. Mutual Drafting and Other Provisions.

23 a. It is hereby expressly understood and agreed that this Settlement Agreement was
24 jointly drafted by Plaintiffs and Federal Defendants. Accordingly, the Parties hereby
25 agree that any and all rules of construction, to the effect that ambiguity is construed
26 against the drafting party, shall be inapplicable in any dispute concerning the terms,
27 meaning, or interpretation of the Settlement Agreement.

1 b. This Settlement Agreement contains all of the agreements between Plaintiffs and
2 Federal Defendants, and is intended to be and is the final and sole agreement between
3 Plaintiffs and Federal Defendants concerning the complete and final resolution of
4 Plaintiffs' claims. Plaintiffs and Federal Defendants agree that any other prior or
5 contemporaneous representations or understandings not explicitly contained in this
6 Settlement Agreement, whether written or oral, are of no further legal or equitable
7 force or effect. Any subsequent modifications to this Settlement Agreement must be
8 in writing, and must be signed and executed by Plaintiffs and Federal Defendants.

9 c. This Settlement Agreement is the result of compromise and settlement, and does not
10 constitute an admission, implied or otherwise, by Plaintiffs or Federal Defendants to
11 any fact, claim, or defense on any issue in this litigation. This Settlement Agreement
12 has no precedential value and shall not be used as evidence either by Federal
13 Defendants or Plaintiffs in any other litigation except as necessary to enforce the
14 terms of this Agreement.

15 12. Force Majeure. The Parties understand that notwithstanding their efforts to comply with the
16 commitments contained herein, events beyond their control may prevent or delay such
17 compliance. Such events may include natural disasters as well as unavoidable legal barriers
18 or restraints, including those arising from actions of persons or entities that are not party to
19 this Settlement Agreement.

20 13. Dismissal. Concurrently with this Settlement Agreement, the Parties shall file a stipulation
21 of voluntary dismissal of this action. That stipulation will request that the Court retain
22 jurisdiction to oversee compliance with the terms of this Stipulation and to resolve any
23 disputes arising under this Stipulation and any motions to modify any of its terms. *See*
24 *Kokkonen v. Guardian Life Ins. Co. of Am.*, 511 U.S. 375 (1994).

25 14. Effective Date. The terms of this Agreement shall become effective upon execution of this
26 Settlement Agreement. The parties agree that this Settlement Agreement may be executed in
27 one or more counterparts, each of which shall constitute an original, and all of which, taken
28

1 together, shall constitute the same instrument. Facsimile or scanned signatures submitted by
2 electronic mail shall have the same effect as an original signature in binding the parties.

3
4 Respectfully submitted,

5 DATED: October 30, 2017

6 JEFFREY H. WOOD
Acting Assistant Attorney General
United States Department of Justice
Environment & Natural Resources Division

7 By /s/ S. Derek Shugert

8 S. DEREK SHUGERT
Trial Attorney
Natural Resources Section
Post Office Box 7611
Washington, D.C. 20044-7611
Tel: (202) 514-9269
Fax: (202) 305-0506
E-mail: shawn.shugert@usdoj.gov

9
10
11
12
13 *Attorneys for Federal Defendants*

14
15 /s/ Collette L. Adkins
Collette L. Adkins (MN Bar No. 035059X)*
Center for Biological Diversity
P.O. Box 595
Circle Pines, MN 55014-0595
Phone: (651) 955-3821
cadkins@biologicaldiversity.org

16
17
18
19 Jennifer L. Loda (CA Bar No. 284889)
Center for Biological Diversity
1212 Broadway, Suite 800
Oakland, CA 94612-1810
Phone: (510) 844-7136
Fax: (510) 844-7150
jloda@biologicaldiversity.org

20
21
22
23
24 *Attorneys for Plaintiffs*
*Admitted *pro hac vice*

ATTESTATION OF COUNSEL

I attest that I have secured the concurrence of the counsel whose signature appears above as to the form and contents of this document and his authorization to file this document on his behalf, as evidenced by the conformed signature appearing above.

DATED: October 30, 2017

/s/ S. Derek Shugert
S. DEREK SHUGERT

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, S. Derek Shugert, hereby certify that, on October 30, 2017, I caused the foregoing to be served upon counsel of record through the Court's electronic service. I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

DATED: October 30, 2017

/s/ S. Derek Shugert
S. Derek Shugert