



USDA WILDLIFE SERVICES: A Killing Program Out of Control

A little-known USDA program is using brutal methods and taxpayer dollars to indiscriminately kill wildlife across the United States. This program, ironically entitled Wildlife Services (WS), kills approximately five million animals each year in the name of “managing problems caused by wildlife.” It operates with notoriously little transparency, refusing the public access to records documenting many of its killing activities. The program engages in myriad cruel practices, ranging from steel-jaw leghold trapping to poisoning and aerial shooting—at a substantial cost to taxpayers.

Wildlife Services

Kills indiscriminately. WS has killed more than 50,000 non-target animals representing over 150 species since 2000, including endangered species and family pets.¹ In addition, the intentional killing of targeted species often is not limited to those animals who have actually depredated livestock or game.

Uses inhumane traps. WS relies on steel-jaw leghold traps, which cause excruciating and prolonged pain to their victims. Leghold traps also endanger non-target wildlife and domestic pets.

Wastes tax dollars. A vast number of the killings carried out by the program are not only brutal and unnecessary—they are also expensive. The program’s annual budget exceeds \$100 million, about half of which is drawn from federal funds.² Perhaps more disturbing is the fact that a portion of the funding for WS is drawn from private sources who have far more leverage than taxpayers in dictating the priorities and activities of the program.

Harms the environment. WS indiscriminately kills native carnivores in a misguided attempt to protect game



Nathas

and livestock, ignoring detrimental environmental consequences. Eliminating carnivores can wreak havoc on ecosystems because small mammal density can surge, and these animals may carry disease and compete with game species for food.³ Herbivore numbers may also grow unchecked, leading to overbrowsing and overgrazing.

Relies on ineffective methods.

Coyotes respond to lethal control by compensatory reproduction; thus, killing merely leads to increased breeding, litter size and pup survival.⁴ Livestock predation may actually increase because there are more coyote pups to feed, thus perpetuating an endless cycle of government spending on “damage control” efforts with no measurable benefits.

¹ See APHIS Wildlife Services, Program Data Reports for fiscal years 2000–2011, available at http://www.aphis.usda.gov/wildlife_damage/prog_data/prog_data_report.shtml.

² See, for example, APHIS Wildlife Services, 2011 Program Data Report A: Fiscal Year 2011 Federal and Cooperative Funding, available at http://www.aphis.usda.gov/wildlife_damage/prog_data/2011_prog_data/PDR_A/Basic_Tables_PDR_A/PDR_Table_A.pdf.

³ See, for example, Henke, S.E. and F.C. Bryant. 2012. Effects of Coyote Removal on the Faunal Community in Western Texas. *Journal of Wildlife Management* 63(4):1066-1081.

⁴ See Knowlton, F.F. 1972. Preliminary interpretation of coyote population mechanics with some management implications. *Journal of Wildlife Management* 36: 369-382; Windberg, L.A. 1995. Demography of a high-density coyote population. *Canadian Journal of Zoology* 74: 942-954.

⁵ See, for example, Watson, K. and G. Hanscom. 2000. Poison traps kill unintended victims. *High Country News*, March 13.

⁶ See Central Intelligence Agency. 2004. Comprehensive Report of the Special Advisor to the DCI on Iraq's WMD; Federal Bureau of Investigation. 2001. FBI contacts for suspicious pesticide/organophosphate nerve gas incidents; Hickman, D.C. 1999. A Chemical and Biological Warfare Threat: USAF Water Systems at Risk. U.S. Air Force Counterproliferation Center, Maxwell Air Force Base, AL.

⁷ See, for example, Knudson, T. 2012. Suggestions in changing Wildlife Services range from new practices to outright bans. *Sacramento Bee*, May 6, p. 1A; Knudson, T. 2012. Wildlife Services' deadly force opens Pandora's box of environmental problems. *Sacramento Bee*, April 30, p. 1A; Knudson, T. 2012. The killing agency: Wildlife Services' brutal methods leave a trail of animal death. *Sacramento Bee*, April 29, p. 1A.

Ignores humane, nonlethal management options. Although alternatives to WS' inhumane and lethal predator control tools—including fladry, fencing, and light- and sound-generating devices, in addition to shed lambing and night penning practices—are available and have been shown to successfully deter depredation, WS primarily relies on cruel trapping and killing practices.

Threatens public safety. WS employs dangerous, nonselective poisons that can be lethal to humans. Sodium cyanide devices known as M-44s have poisoned non-target wildlife, family dogs, and even humans,⁵ while Compound 1080—a poison that causes prolonged suffering and death, and to which there is no antidote—is a known homeland security risk.⁶



The nearly severed paw of a coyote who suffered in a Wildlife Services trap for days before he was discovered.

Lacks accountability. WS has been widely condemned as lacking transparency and accountability in its practices and protocols. For example, investigations⁷ have revealed a disturbing trend of “shoot, shovel and shut up” for non-target animals—including threatened and endangered species—who are killed and not reported. In addition, because WS fails to verify all livestock losses and allows ranchers to self-report such losses, statistics produced by WS regarding



This husky, named Bella, was captured in an unmarked Wildlife Services neck snare in Boise National Forest. After being trapped for many hours, Bella chewed off her foot in an attempt to free herself. She narrowly escaped death and was so severely injured that her leg had to be amputated.

livestock depredation are dubious at best and raise serious questions about the legitimacy of the program's predator control activities.

The myriad problems plaguing WS underscore the need for substantial changes to program culture and procedure. The program's ineffective, inhumane, and irresponsible practices threaten human health, animal welfare, and the environment. **Ask your legislators to stop the use of taxpayer dollars to indiscriminately and cruelly kill wildlife, and demand transparency and accountability from WS.**

For additional information on Wildlife Services, please contact Carson Barylak at (202) 446-2140 or carson@awionline.org.



Matt Kroth

Animal Welfare Institute
900 Pennsylvania Ave, SE
Washington, DC 20003

Phone (202) 337-2332
Fax (202) 446-2131
www.awionline.org